

# Planning & Transportation Commission Action Agenda: April 26, 2023

Council Chambers & Virtual 6:00 PM

## 6 Call to Order / Roll Call

- 7 6:02 pm
- 8 Chair Summa called the meeting to order.
- 9 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, conducted the roll call and announced all
- 10 Commissioners were present with the exception of Commissioner Hechtman and Commissioner 11 Bockdabl who were absent
- 11 Reckdahl who were absent.
- 12 Chair Summa noted Commissioner Reckdahl was running late. She welcomed Commissioner
- 13 Akin and Commissioner Lu to the Commission and congratulated Commissioner Templeton on
- 14 her reappointment.

#### 15 Oral Communications

- 16 The public may speak to any item not on the agenda. Three (3) minutes per speaker.<sup>1,2</sup>
- 17 Chair Summa invited members of the public to provide their comments to the Commission on18 items not on the Agenda.
- 19 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, announced there were no speakers.

#### 20 Agenda Changes, Additions and Deletions

- 21 The Chair or Commission majority may modify the agenda order to improve meeting management.
- 22 Ms. Amy French, Chief Planning Official, announced there were no changes from Staff.

## 23 City Official Reports

- 24 1. Directors Report, Meeting Schedule and Assignments
- 25 Ms. Amy French, Chief Planning Official, reminded there would be a joint session with the
- 26 Planning and Transportation Commission (PTC) and City Council on May 8, 2023 to discuss the
- adoption of the Housing Element. She shared that adjustments were made to the PTC liaisons
- 28 for Council for the remaining portion of the year.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Ripon Bhatia, Senior Engineer from the Office of Transportation, reported the Department
- 2 of Public Works continued to make progress on the Charleston/Arastradero Corridor.
- 3 Commissioner Templeton inquired about the maintenance work happening on El Camino Real.
- 4 Mr. Bhatia confirmed the City continued to work with Caltrans on their major Capital 5 Improvement Project but the City was not involved in Caltran's maintenance work.
- 6 Commissioner Templeton requested further details be shared with the Commission offline on7 the maintenance work.
- 8 Chair Summa announced Commissioner Reckdahl had joined the meeting in person.
- 9 Ms. French announced on May 10, 2023, the Commission would discuss the Capital
- 10 Improvement Plan (CIP) Report and discuss adjusting the By-Laws. She noted there would be a
- 11 re-election of the Chair and Vice-Chair at that time.

### 12 Study Session

- 13 Public Comment is Permitted. Three (3) minutes per speaker.
- Study Session to Review Quiet Zone Study and Conceptual Plan for Caltrain Corridor at Palo Alto Avenue.
- Mr. Ripon Bhatia, Senior Engineer from the Office of Transportation, reported the Quiet Zone Study was done in collaboration with the City of Menlo Park. The study analyzed four crossings in Menlo Park and one crossing, the Palo Alto Avenue/Alma Street crossing, in the City of Palo Alto. He introduced Peter Meyerhofer and Brent Ogden from Kimley-Horn and Associates who were procured to conduct the study.

Mr. Peter Meyerhofer, Kimley-Horn and Associates, explained the Federal Railroad 21 22 Administration (FRA) regulated the railroads and they required trains to sound their horn 20 23 seconds before entering a crossing. The horns are designed to reach a designated decibel level 24 to catch the attention of folks crossing the railroad crossing. A Quiet Zone is a section of the 25 railroad where the train was not required to sound its horn. Per FRA requirements, crossings 26 must reach specified safety thresholds in order to be certified as a Quite Zone. Those safety thresholds were reached by adding Supplemental Safety Measures (SSM) at every crossing; or 27 28 through proposed designs in the Quiet Zone Risk Index (QRZ) that qualified the crossings as a 29 Quiet Zone. Phase 1 of the study included agency coordination, conceptual design/ safety 30 analyses, public outreach and the production of a final report. Phase 2 included permitting with 31 the various regulatory agencies, final design, procuring funding, construction and FRA 32 certification. Many stakeholders had been consulted during the study including Caltrain, the 33 City of Menlo Park, the City of Palo Alto, FRA, and several others. The study analyzed a 1.5 mile

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 stretch of railroad between the City of Menlo Park and the City of Palo Alto. The four crossings 2 considered for the City of Menlo Park included Encinal Avenue, Glenwood Avenue, Oak Grove 3 Avenue, and Ravenswood Avenue. He shared photos of the conceptual designs for each of the 4 crossings. The proposed safety improvements for the City of Menlo Park's crossings were a 5 Four-Quadrant Gate System and sidewalk realignments. For Palo Alto Avenue, a raised median 6 was required to meet FRA Quiet Zone safety thresholds. All crossings were subject to receiving 7 new roadway striping and updated signage. He explained at Palo Alto Avenue an elongated, 8 8 inch or higher raised median was proposed to discourage folks from driving on the wrong side 9 of the road and going around the down gates. The proposed median improvement was less 10 expensive than a Four-Quadrant Gate System. Public outreach was conducted and he 11 encouraged folks to share their thoughts with the City as the project moves to Phase 2. The 12 next step was to draft the final report before moving to Phase 2. The final report would include 13 summarized the process of Phase 1 and provide formal recommendations for each city.

Mr. Bhatia noted the final report was anticipated to be completed in the fall of 2023. Then the report would be presented to City Council for final approval. He said the construction cost of the project was \$150,000. Though Staff was exploring various funding opportunities, funding would not delay the project and the project would move forward to design and construction without further delay. Staff predicted it would take 18 to 24 months to receive permits and bids for the project. Based on the lessons learned from the Palo Alto Avenue crossing, Staff would begin another Quiet Zone Study for the other three crossings in Palo Alto.

- 21 Chair Summa invited Commissioners to ask clarifying questions of Staff.
- Commissioner Templeton found the report interesting and appreciated the City was going to consider the lessons learned before pursuing Quiet Zones on the other three crossings. She
- asked if warning bells would still be used if a Quiet Zone was installed.
- 25 Mr. Bhatia confirmed that is correct.
- 26 Mr. Meyerhofer agreed but noted the horns are louder than the bells on the gate assemblies.
- 27 Commissioner Templeton encouraged Staff to make that point clear to the public.
- 28 Mr. Bhatia added that engineers were not prohibited from sounding the horn but routine horns29 would not be required.
- 30 Vice-Chair Chang understood the Palo Alto Avenue crossing was included in the study because
- 31 of its proximity to the City of Menlo Park's crossings.
- 32 Mr. Bhatia confirmed that was correct.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Meyerhofer mentioned the City of Palo Alto could implement its Quiet Zone in advance of 2 the crossings in the City of Menlo Park.
- Mr. Brent Ogden, Kimley-Horn and Associate, noted the whistle post, which indicated when an engineer should sound their horn, is placed a quarter of a mile ahead of a crossing. The nearest Menlo Park crossing was over a quarter of a mile from the City of Palo Alto and that added distance allowed the City to move forward on its Quiet Zone project ahead of the City of Menlo Park.
- 8 Commissioner Reckdahl asked what agencies had to approve the project and what was the9 timeline.
- 10 Mr. Meyerhofer answered approval was needed from the California Public Utilities Commission 11 (CPUC) in the form of a GO 88-B Grade Modification Permit. That process took four to six 12 months but that could be done concurrently to design. Another approval came from the FRA 13 and Caltrain. He stated that because a field diagnostic meeting was done, the conceptual 14 designs presented to the Commission already received tentative approval from CPUC and the 15 FRA. He noted often the process was delayed due to agencies not reaching a consensus on 16 design but consensus was reached already via the field diagnostic meeting.
- 17 Commissioner Reckdahl understood the City will be funding the project unless external funding18 was found.
- Mr. Bhatia confirmed that was correct. Quiet Zones were considered to be in the interest of thecommunity and it was to be paid for by local agencies.
- Commissioner Lu summarized the City would wait 18 to 24 months to complete the Palo AltoAvenue Quiet Zone and then begin exploring the other crossings.
- Mr. Bhatia clarified the City was not waiting 18 to 24 months to start a Quiet Zone Study on the
   other crossings. After City Council approves the concept and the design of Palo Alto Avenue,
   Staff will begin work for the other crossings.
- Chair Summa inquired if there was an advantage of Palo Alto moving forward with its QuietZone ahead of the City of Menlo Park.
- 28 Mr. Bhatia restated the advantage was having the opportunity to implement a Quiet Zone at
- 29 Palo Alto Avenue ahead of Menlo Park's crossings. He noted the City of Menlo Park's crossings
- 30 were a more complex and expensive project than Palo Altos. Each crossing in Menlo Park was
- 31 estimated to be \$2 million and that delayed their project while they sought out funding.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- Chair Summa understood coupling the City's project with the City of Menlo Park's project was
   an advantage.
- 3 Mr. Bhatia answered no, coupling the project together would delay Palo Alto's project.

Chair Summa was interested in discussing if the City should separate its project from MenloPark's project.

- 6 Mr. Bhatia asked if Chair Summa was commenting on all the crossings in the City.
- 7 Chair Summa answered yes.

8 Mr. Bhatia shared the other three railroad crossings in the city are close to signalized

9 intersections and would be more complex than Palo Alto Avenue. Staff believed there was no
 10 significant cost savings if the Palo Alto Avenue/Alma Street crossing was coupled with the other

- 11 crossings in the city.
- 12 Commissioner Templeton reiterated once the Quiet Zone at Palo Alto Avenue was approved,

13 Staff would move forward with the installation of the Quiet Zone at Palo Alto Avenue and kick

- 14 off a new Quiet Zone Study for the other three crossings.
- 15 Mr. Bhatia confirmed that was correct.
- 16 Chair Summa invited members of the public to share their comments with the Commission.
- 17 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, called the public speakers.

18 Mr. Josh Orenberg, the representative for the 101 Alma Community, shared he submitted a 19 petition with over 200 signatures in support of the Quiet Zone and the community was 20 anxiously waiting for the completion of the project.

- Mr. Neilson Buchanan mentioned he lived two blocks away from the crossing and thanked City Staff for pursuing a Quite Zone at the crossing. He stated he was optimistic the City would receive approval from the various agencies and this was a great opportunity for model planning
- 24 on tough issues.

Mr. Adrian Brandt encouraged the City to move forward with Palo Alto Avenue and found there was no advantage to coupling the crossing with the other crossings in the City. The City was extremely fortunate the proposed improvements were considerably less expensive than the City of Menlo Park's. He encouraged the City to solicit funding from the High Speed Rail Authority because they are required to have four-quadrant gates when they bring High Speed Rail to the peninsula. He predicted a Four-Quadrant Gate System would be needed for the other three crossings in the city. He mentioned the bells are significantly less than the horns

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 and Caltrain did not have a drive-around problem. He encouraged the City to reach out to the
- 2 City of Petaluma and other cities in California that had been able to implement their Quiet
- 3 Zones very quickly.
- 4 Chair Summa closed public comment and brought the item back to the Commission for 5 discussion.
- 6 Commissioner Reckdahl stated this was a really good idea but asked what additional risk was
- 7 there. Also, he wanted to know how many accidents were caused by gate malfunctions.
- 8 Mr. Bhatia answered if a gate malfunctioned, the train is required to reduce its speed and blow9 its horn.
- 10 Mr. Ogden concurred the engineer would blow the horn if the gates malfunctioned.
- 11 Commissioner Reckdahl pressed if the engineers are informed that the gates were 12 malfunctioning.
- 13 Mr. Ogden explained there was a signal that the engineer could see that indicated the crossing
- 14 signal had been activated. The gates are designed to drop down in a power failure and remain
- 15 down until power returns.
- 16 Commissioner Reckdahl asked how many car/train accidents there were in Palo Alto in general.
- 17 Mr. Bhatia noted the FRA website had those statistics.
- 18 Mr. Meyerhofer added that statistics directly impacted the QRZ and what improvements are19 needed to implement a Quiet Zone.
- 20 Commissioner Reckdahl inquired how Palo Alto Avenue differed from the other three crossings21 in the City.
- Mr. Bhatia restated the other crossings are close to a signalized intersection. Other factorsconsidered were the volume of traffic, pedestrian volume and collision history.
- Mr. Ogden remarked he had not analyzed the other crossings in the city and concurred withMr. Bhatia's explanation.
- Commissioner Reckdahl asked if the approval rate for a Quiet Zone at the other crossings washigh or did the additional factors impact the approval.
- 28 Mr. Ogden restated that if the approved SSMs are implemented then a Quiet Zone will be 29 approved.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- Commissioner Templeton found Commissioner Reckdahl's question to be informative. She
   asked if the risk of the safety features failing was included in the study.
- 3 Mr. Ogden remarked once a median is built, it is not subject to failure and that SSM only4 applied to Palo Alto Avenue.
- 5 Vice-Chair Chang inquired how the improvement would be impacted by the City's grade 6 separation project.
- Mr. Bhatia answered Palo Alto Avenue crossing was currently on hold. Once the other three
  crossings complete the conceptual design phase, Palo Alto Avenue will be moved into the
  review of alternatives and development.
- 10 Vice-Chair Chang supported moving the project forward due to the low cost and its significant11 benefit to the City.
- 12 Mr. Ogden mentioned with respect to the collision history at Palo Alto Avenue, there were two 13 collisions within the past decade and there were no recorded injuries in those accidents.
- 14 Commissioner Lu mentioned cycling along Palo Alto Avenue was reasonably awkward and the 15 left turn where the median was being extended into cause the bicycle lane to be exposed.
- 16 Chair Summa also wondered if the improvements would affect bicycles. She agreed the project
- 17 should move forward and folks nearby have been requesting relief from the horns for a while.
- 18 She encouraged Staff to consider any and all improvements that would increase bicycle safety.
- Commissioner Akin stated the benefits of the project far outweighed the cost and he couldsupport it.
- 21 Commissioner Templeton echoed Commissioner Akin's comment.
- 22 Chair Summa closed the item and moved to Action Item 3.

#### 23 Action Items

- Public Comment is Permitted. Applicants/Appellant Teams: Fifteen (15) minutes, plus three (3) minutes rebuttal.
   All others: Five (5) minutes per speaker.<sup>1,3</sup>
- 26 3. Review and Adopt 2023-24 PTC Work Plan
- 27 Ms. Amy French, Chief Planning Official, reported on March 29, 2023 the PTC discussed its
- 28 2023-2024 Work Plan. PTC provided direction to Staff to return with an updated Work Plan that
- reflected the March 29, 2023 discussion. In the Work Plan, Goals A, G, H, I and J were goals for
- 30 the Office of Transportation. With respect to goals related to the Planning and Development

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Services Department, the Housing Element's implementation continued to be a large amount of

2 work for the City. Goal F was split into Goals F1 and F2, and they were related to State

3 legislation passed in 2021/2022 and future legislation. Goals K, L and M were added to the

- 4 Work Plan and they addressed the Rental Registry Ordinance, Bird Safe Glass and Dark Skies,
- 5 and Comprehensive Plan Implementation.
- 6 Commissioner Akin asked if there was any work in the pipeline for San Francisquito Creek.
- 7 Ms. French noted any current planning projects would come before the Commission whether8 they were anticipated or not.
- 9 Commissioner Reckdahl inquired if the work on the creek was Council directed or Staff driven
  10 with respect to the replacement of the bridges. He acknowledged that the Joint Powers
  11 Authority (JPA) was driving the work on the bridge located in the City of Menlo Park.
- 12 Ms. French answered the Public Works Department was in charge of public improvements 13 located within the public right of way but said she'd follow up with the Director of the Public 14 Works Department
- 14 Works Department.
- 15 Commissioner Reckdahl requested Staff provide an answer on the next steps for the bridges16 and who were the decision-makers who were driving the schedule.
- 17 Commissioner Templeton suggested Commissioner Reckdahl clarify which bridges he was18 asking about.
- 19 Commissioner Reckdahl answered the bridge at Newell Street and Chaucer Street.
- 20 Commissioner Akin asked if the Lincoln Avenue/Middlefield Road safety improvements should 21 be included in the PTC's Work Plan under Goal A.
- Ms. Sylvia Star-Lack, Transportation Planning Manager, thought that Work Plan was on the PTC's Work Plan and noted she'd check with Staff to see if the plan could be added.
- Vice-Chair Chang recalled Mr. Rius, at a prior PTC meeting, had mentioned the Commission
  would be considering that safety work at a future meeting in 2023-2024.
- 26 Commissioner Templeton believed that was an oversite and emphasized there must be a
- 27 discussion about collision areas in Palo Alto. The community needed to understand why certain
- 28 areas were being prioritized over other areas.
- 29 Chair Summa commented on Goal L and suggested the language read "Bird Safe Construction".
- 30 She emphasized both Goals L and M were a high priority for her.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Akin asked for Goal G, did the commercial parking improvements include an 2 update on parking capacity signage?
- 3 Ms. Star-Lack believed that was correct.
- 4 Commissioner Templeton endorsed Chair Summa's suggestion regarding Goal L.
- 5 Commissioner Lu strongly believed the Work Plan should be prioritized and asked if there was 6 interest in that.
- 7 Vice-Chair Chang noted the majority of the Commission's work was reactionary and not of the 8 Commission's choosing. As a group, the Commission did not want to create an artificial 9 prioritization because the Commission cannot control the work. However, the Commission 10 agreed that road safety was a high priority but acknowledged the majority of its time would be 11 focused on implementing the Housing Element.
- Ms. French believed there was some leeway in some of the goals with respect to priority.
- 13 Commissioner Templeton believed prioritizing the Work Plan would not be a productive use of
- 14 the Commission's time. She predicted it would take hours for the Commission to discuss and
- 15 come to a consensus on what was the highest priority. She noted each Commissioner
- 16 individually expressed what their priority was for the coming year and she encouraged the new
- 17 Commissioners to do the same.

12

- 18 Commissioner Lu agreed with Commissioner Templeton. With respect to Goal C, he asked if the 19 Coordinated Area Plans (CAP) should be prioritized in the Work Plan.
- 20 Chair Summa believed that required Council direction but encouraged Commissioner Lu to 21 share his preferred prioritization.
- 22 Commissioner Lu commented he did not have a strong preference and would consider what 23 options were available with respect to prioritization.
- 24 Vice-Chair Chang stated Commissioners should voice their opinion but agreed it would take a 25 long time for the Commission to reach a consensus on what should be prioritized.
- 26 Commissioner Akin saw value in the observation that prioritization was a separate task from 27 the generation of the list of goals.
- 28 Commissioner Templeton stated her highest priority is to decrease the amount of bicycle, 29 pedestrian and car collisions. That priority was reflected in several of the goals and she
- 30 supported the Work Plan.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Reckdahl agreed prioritizing the Work Plan would not be a good use of time. He
- considered the Work Plan to be a broad to-do list and encouraged Commissioners to considerperiodically how they could move each goal forward.
- 4 Ms. Star-Lack remarked the Transportation Element prioritized safety as its highest priority and 5 that was called out in the Comprehensive Plan.
- 6 Commissioner Lu appreciated having the priorities baked into the Comprehensive Plan.
- 7 MOTION
- 8 Vice-Chair Chang moved the Planning Commission approve the Work Plan with the suggested
- 9 modifications. First to add to Project Goal A the Lincoln Avenue and Middlefield project. Second
- 10 to add a study of collision issues and third, modify Project Goal L to read Bird Safe
- 11 Glass/Construction.
- 12 SECOND
- 13 Commissioner Templeton seconded.
- 14 Chair Summa invited public comment; seeing none she asked for a roll call vote.
- 15 VOTE
- 16 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, conducted a roll call vote and announced the 17 motion passed 6-0-1.
- 18 MOTION PASSED 6(Akin, Chang, Lu, Reckdahl, Summa, Templeton) -0 -1(Hechtman absent)
- 19 **<u>Commission Action</u>**: Motion by Chang, seconded by Templeton. Pass 6-0 (Hechtman absent)

#### 20 Approval of Minutes

- 21 Public Comment is Permitted. Five (5) minutes per speaker.<sup>1,3</sup>
- Approval of Planning & Transportation Commission Draft Verbatim and Summary
   minutes of March 8, 2023
- 24 MOTION
- 25 Commissioner Reckdahl moved to approve the minutes.
- 26 SECOND

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Vice-Chair Chang seconded.
- 2 VOTE
- 3 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, conducted a roll call vote and announced the 4 motion passed 4-0-2-1.
- 5 MOTION PASSED 4(Chang, Reckdahl, Summa, Templeton) -0 -2(Akin, Lu abstain) -1(Hechtman 6 absent)
- 7 <u>Commission Action</u>: Motion by Reckdahl, seconded by Chang. Pass 4-0-2-1 (Akin and Lu abstain)(Hechtman absent)
- 9 5. Approval of Planning & Transportation Commission Draft Summary Minutes of March
  29, 2023.
- 11 MOTION
- 12 Commissioner Reckdahl moved to approve the draft summary minutes of March 29, 2023.
- 13 SECOND
- 14 Vice-Chair Chang seconded.
- 15 VOTE
- 16 Ms. Veronica Dao, Administrative Assistant, conducted a roll call vote and announced the 17 motion passed 4-0-2-1.
- MOTION PASSED 4(Chang, Reckdahl, Summa, Templeton) -0 -2(Akin, Lu abstain) -1(Hechtman
   absent)
- 20 <u>Commission Action:</u> Motion by Reckdahl, seconded by Chang. Passed 4-0-2-1 (Akin and Lu
   21 abstain)(Hechtman absent)

#### 22 Committee Items

23 None

#### 24 Commissioner Questions, Comments or Announcements

- 25 Vice-Chair Chang announced the May Fete Parade would take place on Saturday, May 6, 2023
- and Commissioners should RSVP by April 28, 2023.

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- Commissioner Templeton asked if the City was working with Caltrans on bicycle paths for El
   Camino Real.
- 3 Ms. Sylvia Star-Lack, Transportation Planning Manager, stated the City Manager would be 4 briefing City Council soon on the matter.
- 5 Chair Summa adjourned the meeting.

#### 6 Adjournment

7 7:27 pm

<sup>1.</sup> Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

<sup>2.</sup> The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

<sup>3.</sup> The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.