

HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Thursday, June 9, 2016

Community Meeting Room Palo Alto Civic Center 250 Hamilton Avenue 7:00 PM REGULAR MEETING

ROLL CALL:

Commissioners Present: Alhassani, Chen, Gordon Gray, O'Nan, Savage, Stinger, Stone

Council Liaison: Council Member Wolbach

Staff: Minka van der Zwaag, Mary Constantino

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS:

I. ROLL CALL

II. AGENDA CHANGES, REQUESTS, DELETIONS

Chair Stone: Do we have any agenda changes, requests or deletions?

III.ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

(Members of the public are invited to address the Commission during the period reserved for Oral Communications on any subject not on the agenda. In the interest of time, the Commission requests that speakers limit the length of their remarks to five minutes.)

Chair Stone: Any oral communications?

IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chair Stone: We don't have any minutes to approve.

Mary Constantino: I sent out three sets. I sent out the Implicit Bias, and I sent out April and May. They are verbatim and are 26 pages each. I did hear back from Valerie for the Implicit Bias. I called the Clerk's Office today because I didn't want to make copies of 26 pages times 3 times 7. What the Clerk's Office said, since they're verbatim, the Clerk's Office does not have the Council approve them. We wanted to have it up for discussion on how does the HRC want the minutes to be handled. Did you just want to have them approved or did you want to ...

Commissioner Gordon Gray: What are the options?

Ms. Constantino: The options are the HRC could just have them approved, so you don't even have to make a motion to approve them. We just upload them on the City's site or you can review them and make changes like you were before.

Commissioner Savage: If they're verbatim, we've already heard them once. I say we just ...

Commissioner Chen: Just let it go.

Commissioner Savage: Yeah, let it go. Just upload.

Commissioner O'Nan: I do have one concern. Sometimes people's names are misspelled or the organization is misspelled.

Ms. Constantino: I read through them. I go through the minutes and clean it up. I look at different names. It's up to you.

Minka van der Zwaag: It is being reviewed; it's just not being reviewed—it would not be reviewed then by the Commission. The Council, they don't have time to read six hours of weekly minutes, so it's just entered into the record. That's basically what this would be, entering it into the record.

Chair Stone: I trust Mary on her ability to read through it and catch anything, if you have any concerns or there's anything that you feel that the Commission or a particular Commissioner needs to review before proceeding. That might be the best way to go about it. Do we need to vote on something like this?

Ms. Constantino: I know the Council doesn't.

Ms. van der Zwaag: No, vote on whether or not on that.

Ms. Constantino: I don't think so. It's just procedure.

Chair Stone: Does anyone object to Mary just reviewing the minutes and sending them along?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: You said you were going to upload them somewhere?

Ms. Constantino: I always do it, always up on that HRC page.

Chair O'Nan: I'm just wondering, if Mary should ever move on or retire, do we need a formal process that somebody with a certain staff position is in charge of doing this?

Ms. van der Zwaag: There will always be someone in her position that is staffed to the HRC. That will fall to the next person in that position.

Chair Stone: Thank you, Mary.

V. BUSINESS

1. Presentation by Raul Rojas, Social Worker at Palo Alto Housing on mental health challenges faced by residents

Chair Stone: Moving on to our business items. Tonight we have Raul Rojas; a social worker from the Palo Alto Housing to discuss the mental health challenges faced by residents at Palo Alto Housing. Mr. Rojas, if you wouldn't mind coming and joining us at the table, we've got a nice spot here for you. Just make sure that your speaker is on. It's got a little red light there. If that's on, then you're good to go.

Raul Rojas: I think it's on.

Chair Stone: No, it's not? Press the little button. Now you go. Thanks for being here with us tonight. We appreciate it. We look forward to hearing what you have to say.

Mr. Rojas: First, I want to thank you for your invitation to speak with you about this topic that is very close to my heart and is very important to me and the work that I do with Palo Alto Housing, an issue that is very important not only for Palo Alto Housing residents, but of course for the City of Palo Alto at large. I want to talk specifically about our residents, because that is the experience that I have directly, working with them. I work at Alma Place; probably you know Alma Place and the Barker Hotel. We have these SROs, single occupancy rooms. Combined, we have about 130 residents. It's a very nice, diverse community. We have people from almost every continent, from Africa to Asia, Europe and of course America. Also it's a very vibrant, cultural diverse community. I think it reflects the community at large especially in California. We have a great number of Caucasians, African-Americans, Asian-Americans, Latinos and people from other countries. We have people from Turkey and Iran, Iraq. It really makes a very nice mixture of people from different countries, different levels of education. We have people with Master's Degrees and people with Ph.D., and also people with only a high school diploma. They're able to really interact in a way that really creates a sense of community. We have also in terms of health, mental health and physical health, it's also very diverse. We have people with, in this part of the spectrum, really severe, chronic mental health issues, and also people who are highly functioning. They hold a job; they have a life; and they are able to survive in a normal environment, a normal life. For us, it's kind of like a microcosm. It's a social place where we want to feel that everyone regardless of their mental health status or gender or background, that they feel included, that they are part of this community. That's why, even though we have about 20-30 percent of our population with severe mental health issues, we try to really make sure that they feel like they are in a normal environment. It's like a good practice. It's a good way for them to really learn to live and interact in society. That's part of my job as a resident service, as a case manager, to really make sure they feel welcome. At the same time—that's another thing. If they feel that they want to be autonomous, they don't want any kind of support from us, we'll respect that. I try to outreach. Whenever a resident moves into our properties, I go and I meet with them. I do an assessment about their needs and the situation. We develop some kind of plan to help them to achieve the goals. If there is any kind of mental health issue, substance abuse issue, we work together in developing some kind of treatment plan with them. There is always something that they are willing to do. We cannot force them to do it. Of course, it's about building relationships. My role is basically to be there, connecting with

them, building the relationship, the trust. Whenever there is an issue, a concern, they will come because they know that I'm there all the time. I have two offices, one in Alma Place at the Barker Hotel. Our model is to have a case manager on site, because we think that's a really good approach in terms of helping in the treatment by helping them take their medications, working their treatment. If they need any help, they know that they can come to me. I work with agencies outside Palo Alto Housing, like with Momentum for Mental Health, the VA. We work also with the North County Mental Health, Palo Alto Medical Foundation, with other organizations that are interested or concerned about mental health. The idea is once I have a resident who has been diagnosed with any kind of mental health issue, I immediately contact their other professionals who are involved in his treatment. If he has a social worker, psychiatrist, therapist, I want to know because that's a good way for me to whenever there is some issue or concern I can just approach them and say, "I'm concerned here about such-andsuch person. We need to work together and see how we can help him." That's the team approach that we work with the residents. We also work with relatives. Unfortunately, most of our residents are alone. Sometimes they don't have any relatives around. Sometimes it's an issue. Whenever a relative is around, we really want to get them involved in helping us and ensure that the resident is doing well. The challenges that most of our residents face is there is not enough services for mental health patients in general. It's even more difficult to find mental health services for people who don't speak English. That's really, really a gap. There is also they are by themselves. They don't have a support system. What I have observed in my experience working with residents is when the family is around, when the family is involved and I'm able to build that relationship, that connection, we have better outcomes. Usually our residents do well. When there is no family around it is more difficult. It's more difficult to get them into the process. That's a challenge. We have dual diagnoses, people with mental health issues and substance abuse. It is another big, big challenge. There are very few treatments as well for substance abuse. We rely so much on the County. They have a really good system, the County, but most of the services are either in San Jose or South County. We don't have many services in North County for substance abuse. In addition to the mental health issues, there are other health issues. We have so many people with diabetes or hypertension or heart problems, obesity because they gain weight when they're taking this medication. We have obesity, diabetes and hypertension. It's mental health, dual diagnoses, substance abuse and also physical problems, physical health problems. It's difficult for them to lead a normal life. What we try to accomplish in our communities is for them to feel welcome and create a sense of connection and community. Unfortunately, they don't feel sometimes that they belong to a larger community. Sometimes they feel like they are not really welcome. That's probably one of the things that is a concern. Still, we have a lot of stigmas and discrimination for people with mental illness and substance abuse. Maybe you have some questions, and we talk more specifically about the things that you are concerned about.

Chair Stone: Perfect, thank you. We'll open it up. Commissioner Savage.

Commissioner Savage: When the individual does have a mental health episode at the property, what is the protocol?

Mr. Rojas: We just had one before I came here. That's part of my job, basically is to prevent that. When it happens, I try to do some crisis intervention, try to get the person a little bit calm

and try to get the person, if it's possible, to develop some kind of plan for the future. It's like maybe you need to see your doctor, your psychiatrist. Maybe the medication is not working. If that's the case, that I'm able to build that relationship at that moment, if I succeed in getting the person really calmed down and able to talk, I will develop a good plan and follow up, contacting the doctor, the psychiatrist, and make sure that we follow up. If it is not possible, we call for the police. The crisis intervention team, we have a great team here in Palo Alto. They've been trained in how to deal with mental health patients. They do a really good job. We have a really good collaboration with them, and they've been very good in assisting us in treating our residents with dignity and respect, at the same time protecting the safety of the other people.

Commissioner Chen: To follow-on that question. You may have already identified the people, the persons who are in danger of the mental problem or substance abuse. Do you have any specific program? Sometimes they have to be on medications, and they will not take the medication unless you watch them. Have you had a systemic to make sure they stick on taking their medicine? Maybe you have some counseling service at site to help them out, take medicine and counseling to help them out.

Mr. Rojas: When we have developed this relationship and trust, they will come and tell me that they are feeling a little bit off because something is happening. They approach me with whatever is necessary to really get him or her back on track, taking the medications. I do the monitoring. I make sure that he is doing it or she is doing it. If it's not possible because the person is—again, we serve people who—it's about confidentiality also. They live in our properties; we don't want to invade their space. If they are willing to share that information with us, we immediately provide support. That's the challenge that we face as a resident service, as case managers of our property. Unless they authorize us to intervene in their treatment, we can't do it. If they want to remain—we do as much as possible, once they move in, to build that relationship and to make sure that they come to us before it's too late, before we reach that level of emergency.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I have a question. Do they get disability, the clients?

Mr. Rojas: Yeah, some of them.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Is there an issue of confidentiality with their therapist or their psychiatrist? Is that ever an issue?

Mr. Rojas: In terms of confidentiality, if they don't authorize us to talk about those issues, we cannot. That's the challenge for us. Even though we want to intervene, we know that it's important to do it, but if they don't allow us to do it.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Do most of them allow it?

Mr. Rojas: Only if they sign the release of information. That's what we do. On the in-take form, we say, "Would you allow me to speak with your social worker? Would you allow me to speak with your doctor, your family members?" We make sure that once we build that connection, we have the information that we can speak with other people outside, other health professionals.

Chair Stone: Do most residents agree to that when they—would you say there's a certain percentage of residents who say, "That's fine"?

Mr. Rojas: I would say most of the residents. We have a few of them that decide they don't want to get involved in any kind of treatment with us or follow-up with us, and we respect that. Now, they have a relapse and they start—for example, they have a history of substance abuse, and they have a relapse, and they're in violation of the rules. For us the management and me, it's a good time to speak with the person and say, "We want you to stay with us. We want you to keep your housing, but we need to address this problem, because it's affecting other people. You are in violation of the rules." Sometimes that is how we encourage them to work with us in their treatment and allow us to speak with other helping professionals outside Palo Alto Housing.

Commissioner Chen: Another question I have is that by your estimate, what percentage of residents may have this problem, mental health or substance abuse problem? Just a rough percentage. Among 110 residents, how many of them? Ten, 20?

Mr. Rojas: I would say it's about 25, 30.

Commissioner Chen: 25 percent? Wow, that's pretty high.

Commissioner O'Nan: Raul, I was curious about a couple of things. You mentioned that you have residents with very high levels of education, such as Ph.D.s. Are these people who are highly educated but not functional because of mental health issues or other issues or do they not speak English well enough to function in their profession in this country?

Mr. Rojas: That's a really good question. What we have found is that they have the credentials, they have the education, but sometimes there are some underlying issues such as psychiatric problems, psychological issues that they have to deal with it that prevents them from fully functioning and develop the potential by using their education. That's the answer.

Commissioner O'Nan: A second question I had was you mentioned that many of your residents are lacking a support network. They may be alone; they don't have family. Is this a case where there perhaps are relatives, but the relatives have disowned them or disengaged from them because of longstanding issues or are some of these folks from another part of the world or another part of the country and they're just far away from their families? What's the situation?

Mr. Rojas: I think it's both. Unfortunately, some of our residents have relatives probably in California somewhere or in the Bay area, but they are not really involved in assisting them. They feel discouraged because probably they have a history of 10, 20 years of mental illness. They've been really struggling in trying to get the person. They just disappear sometimes, and they are not in the picture. The other is the lack of social network, social support. We know that social interaction, social support, social relationships is important for every human being. It's even more important when you are facing this kind of challenges in your life.

Vice Chair Stinger: What is the age range of the residents?

Mr. Rojas: Excellent question. That's another very great diversity. We have people in their 20s and 92, 93. One of our residents, she has been with us since Alma Place opened. It's like 18 years, and she's turning 92 this year. It's a very nice group of people. Spectrum, diversity in ages is also very important.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I had another question about families. Is there any kind of family support? Like you just said, they're really affected by this. Sometimes it's hard for them to be involved because of the stress of living with someone with a mental illness. Do you have any kind of counseling for the families at all or provide any resources for them?

Mr. Rojas: Yes, we provide the services. When they come to see us and they're involved and they ask questions, definitely we take that as an opportunity to talk with them, educate them about different things and provide the support that they need in dealing with the situation.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: What would that support look like?

Mr. Rojas: For us?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: For the families.

Mr. Rojas: Sometimes it's just to listen. Just to listen to them and really provide any resources in the community. It's mostly listening and trying to understand the stresses and the challenges that they're facing and confirm they're not alone. There are so many people that are facing the same situation. It is difficult. Just providing more of the support and listening.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Empathy.

Mr. Rojas: Counseling.

Commissioner Alhassani: I'm sure it varies a lot over 100 residents, but roughly how long do people stay in Alma Place? Somebody's been there since the beginning. Is it like they get their units, and then they stay as long as they can? They stay for a while. Is it people are there a year or two and they find somewhere else to go? What's the average tenure at Alma Place?

Mr. Rojas: In terms of years, I don't have a figure. I don't know. People, in general, once they get into Alma Place ...

Commissioner Alhassani: They stay.

Mr. Rojas: ... they stay. It's difficult to find housing.

Commissioner Alhassani: That's why I'm asking.

Mr. Rojas: It's such a nice location; it is close to downtown, walking distance to transportation. They know the value of that, and they stay as much as they can.

Commissioner Alhassani: Another question was, I'm sure some of the residents have a lot of doctors' appointments to go to. Is there a service, like a shuttle service, that goes to PAMF or

anything like that? If they don't drive, for example, do they have easy access to get to PAMF or a medical facility?

Mr. Rojas: Now we receive funding from the City of Palo Alto to have a shuttle bus to take some of our residents to do shopping. We take them every week to different locations, especially for seniors. That is very, very popular, so we're planning to expand the program for next year. We have Alma Place and the Barker Hotel every week, two trips a week. That's a very good question. Even though we have transportation, some of them don't have cars and it's difficult to get by.

Chair Stone: Can you speak a little bit about how a person qualifies to apply to Palo Alto Housing and how long a wait list is and issues like that?

Mr. Rojas: There are some income requirements, and it depends on the unit. The managers would be more in a position to respond to that question. I don't have that; it's very specific. What was the question?

Chair Stone: Is there a wait list?

Mr. Rojas: The wait list is probably 3-5 years. This is long, long for Alma Place. Barker Hotel is probably less than that. In Alma Place, it's 3-5 years.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Where is the Barker Hotel? I'm not familiar with that.

Mr. Rojas: It's on Emerson between Lytton and University.

Commissioner Savage: Across from the Aquarius.

Chair Stone: Besides the location, are there any significant differences between Alma Place and Barker Hotel?

Mr. Rojas: Location?

Chair Stone: Besides the location, was there anything that really distinguishes the two?

Mr. Rojas: The size. Alma Place is bigger. We have 106 residents. Barker Hotel is smaller; we have about 25. Lower rents, the size of the units is smaller in the Barker Hotel than Alma Place.

Commissioner O'Nan: Isn't there some difference in the cooking facilities?

Mr. Rojas: At Alma Place, we have at least a community kitchen. In terms of the population, it's about the same. I will say there are lower income residents at Alma Place because they pay less, a little bit less in rent. Other than that, they're about the same.

Vice Chair Stinger: Is there a sense of community in each residence? I guess I'm wondering, you said 20-30 percent of the people have mental health issues or substance abuse problems, do they feel that they can access social support from other units or does each—is there a sense of neighborhood?

Mr. Rojas: In our community? At Alma Place? Yeah, we try. That's probably one of the most important goals that we have as a program, to try to build that sense of community. We have a lot of gatherings.

Vice Chair Stinger: That's what I wanted to hear.

Mr. Rojas: We have community gatherings. We have a coffee and friends every Friday. People just show up and we talk about anything. We have food with friends twice a week. We provide some food that is donated by a local company. We have a lot of opportunities for people to really connect with each other. We have Thanksgiving dinner every year. We have a Christmas party. We have other celebrations. That's a really good question. The idea is we know how important it is to create that sense of connection, sense of belonging.

Vice Chair Stinger: Is there ever training for the families? There was training on how to listen or how to respond to a question. Is there training for residents on how to listen, how to be supportive?

Mr. Rojas: For residents or their relatives?

Vice Chair Stinger: For the residents.

Mr. Rojas: We have some in the counseling process. Part of my job in general is very diverse also. I wear different hats. As case manager, I do resident service, community building. I also do counseling, support counseling. I spend a lot of time one on one with the residents. The good thing is I have a background in counseling, psychology, so that helps me. The one-on-one helps the residents to improve communication, relationships, conflict management, dealing with conflict, mediation. We do a lot of those things. That is part of the counseling process that I do. I spend a lot of time of my day sometimes just listening to them. They come to my office; I have an open-door policy. If I'm working on a report, they come just to see me. I stop whatever I'm doing and try to connect with them, say what's happening, what's going on. They just leap.

Commissioner Chen: How many people in your position? Are you the only one there to do the counseling? Is there a social worker there? Do you get help?

Mr. Rojas: No, I'm the only one. The good thing is that we get the funding. I want to really thank you, the City of Palo Alto and the Human Relations Commission, for your support. Basically, your funding has helped us to provide these kinds of services. Yes, I'm the only one.

Commissioner O'Nan: Raul, speaking of services, I was struck by your comment that many of your residents have substance abuse issues, but there's not the services available here in North County that are available in San Jose. Can you tell us what's missing here in North County?

Mr. Rojas: That would be more out-patient programs, counseling and support groups. We have AA and other groups, which are great, wonderful, but not everyone really feels comfortable with AA. They want more of the other type of counseling or substance abuse treatment.

Commissioner O'Nan: What would be needed to bring that type of program to the North County? Is it a question of getting enough staffing or is it a question of getting beds?

Mr. Rojas: Maybe getting more local organizations who can provide the service in other areas to come here and to provide the out-patient services. We're talking about low-income patients who have difficulties accessing in general those kinds of services.

Commissioner O'Nan: In your mind, what would be helpful? Would it be a residence-based program, so they wouldn't have to travel? A program that would be held at Alma Place or at Barker.

Mr. Rojas: A program in place in North County or Palo Alto that is closer to our residents; a good out-patient or a residential program.

Chair Stone: You also mentioned that a significant gap was not having services for the different languages that you have at Alma Place. How does your organization help fill that gap? Do you provide translators? What do you do to help with that?

Mr. Rojas: That's a challenge. For example, last week we organized a community gathering at the Sheraton. It was like a community health fair. We were able to provide translation services for the Cantonese, Russian community and Spanish because it was a community event. It was within our means as an organization, but we don't have the resources to really provide services to this particular population. There is a need to have more onsite counseling and mental health services for diverse groups, minorities in general.

Chair Stone: Do you see that as a gap across all areas of mental health organizations, that they're not able to meet those needs?

Mr. Rojas: I think in general we're talking about a nationwide problem; it's not just Palo Alto. It's nationwide. I think there's a huge disparity in terms of access to mental health services and substance abuse services for minorities in general.

Chair Stone: That's not just up here in North County. You've seen that also down in San Jose?

Mr. Rojas: It's just a reflection of what is going on in the country.

Chair Stone: We have time for one or two more questions. Anyone else?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Do you have volunteers that come to the center?

Mr. Rojas: Yes. In our program we have a couple of volunteers. We have a health coach; she comes every other week, one on one, and talks to them about how to improve their nutrition and health. We have others, teaching English as a second language. That's another important resource. We rely on volunteers. Another volunteer activity is computer classes, especially for seniors and adults. That's volunteer-based.

Chair Stone: Any more questions? Thank you so much for taking the time to come out here and talk to us. That was really informative and interesting.

Mr. Rojas: Thank you very much.

2. Update on the upcoming landlord outreach event in regards to homeless veterans

Commissioner Alhassani: We are less than 1 week away, June 15th, 8:00 a.m.

Commissioner O'Nan: Why, dude why?

Commissioner Alhassani: It's a breakfast. We actually belabored this point a little bit. We debated when best to do it, and we decided to go with the breakfast. Are we going to be able to send flyers to the Commissioners?

Ms. van der Zwaag: We did once; I can do it again.

Commissioner Chen: I saw it in the Next Door neighbor.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I think one of the reasons, to add to Commissioner Alhassani, is when we were reviewing the landlord list for the City of Palo Alto, most of them were individuals. Of the 300 or so that were listed, maybe less than 20 were what looked to be large corporations. We felt like we wanted to catch these people either before they went to work or right after they came home from work. The 8:00 a.m. hour is an attempt to get people before they go on with their day. Tomorrow I will send out the flyer for you to send out to people who you know that might be landlords.

Commissioner Savage: What's the location?

Commissioner Alhassani: Mitchell Park Community Center. Obviously we welcome anybody who is interested to come join. The specific demographic we really want are landlords and people from faith-based communities who may have housing options. Of course, any and all are welcome. If you know especially landlords who may have units available, this is a great session for them.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The intention is to make landlords feel comfortable at the event and hear the information and able to direct the questions, and not individuals who are just curious about the program itself. We can do something else on that.

Commissioner Alhassani: So far, we have the flyer out. We have an ad in *Palo Alto Online*. This has gone to the realtors association. This has gone to several cities and Minka can speak better than I can about all the different City email groups that it's gone out to.

Ms. van der Zwaag: Neighboring communities.

Commissioner Alhassani: Next Door, we sent it out to Next Door. The City of Palo Alto has tweeted it out. We tweeted it.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Project Sentinel, I assume they've been invited.

Ms. van der Zwaag: Yes, I invited them.

Commissioner Alhassani: Also, we're doing this with our partners, Destination Home. They've also been putting it on their social media and emailing their respective lists as well, trying to get the landlords recruited for this event.

Chair Stone: If it wasn't for Hillary Clinton's tweet, it probably would have been the number one tweet. Oh, well. Any questions?

Commissioner O'Nan: I did want to comment. I received a nice email from Council Member Karen Holman, who had seen our ad, I think, on *Palo Alto Online*. She just wanted to extend her thanks to the whole Human Relations Commission for tackling this issue. She's a big supporter of ours, as you know.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I had one question. What's the outcome you're hoping for?

Commissioner Alhassani: The outcome is that we get landlords especially educated about the County's new incentive program. We get some of them to consider dedicating units and participating in the program, which basically is that they would house a homeless veteran in one of their units. They get paid through a combination of HUD-VASH vouchers and money from the County directly. That is comparable to what they would get in the market.

Chair Stone: Thank you for that update.

3. Update on the Finance Committee discussion of the Human Services Resource Allocation Program (HSRAP) FY2017 budget

Ms. van der Zwaag: Thank you, Commissioners. As I mentioned at the May meeting, there have been some discussions at earlier Finance Committee meetings about the Fiscal Year '17 budget regarding the HSRAP budget and possible increases that they just wanted to discuss in depth as a Committee. It was brought up again at the May 23rd meeting. Thank you, Vice Chair Stinger, who was at the meeting, and Council Member Wolbach, who was there as well as one of the four Council representatives on that committee. HSRAP increases were discussed. There were discussions regarding some significant increases to HSRAP. There were discussions about the emerging needs fund that staff had proposed for Council consideration, and there was a great deal of discussion about both. At the end, as far as any significant increases to HSRAP for next fiscal year, that at this point is mostly off the table. The feeling was this is in the middle of a 2year cycle for HSRAP. These types of conversations are better had at the beginning of the HSRAP cycle. There was not consensus on the emergency need fund. At the end of that meeting, further discussion about the HSRAP emerging needs fund was pushed off as an agenda item for when the full Council considers the budget next Monday night, on the 13th. They also, as part of that, made a referral for the Council to consider that and give a specific referral that greater increases that actually significant increase to HSRAP be considered for Fiscal Year '18. As you may recall, one of the barriers that we've had in the past is Council has expressed an interest in increasing HSRAP, but a specific referral to a committee was never made. It was hard

to have some of those conversations, should it be at Policy and Services, should it be at Finance. They specifically made a recommendation that the full Council consider referring this item, referring increasing funds to HSRAP, to a committee. I'm hoping that very specific referral happens so the next HSRAP cycle we can have a conversation about HSRAP. I will let you know that I'm excited actually about both of those things. I'm excited because, if they do consider the emerging needs funds for HSRAP, what staff had actually proposed is to take the \$50,000 that was in the Reserve Fund and convert it to an emergency needs fund. It was a wash; it was the same \$50,000. It's my understanding that if there would be an emerging needs fund, it could possibly be a new allocation of \$50,000. The \$50,000 in the Reserve would stay there for bad times, but this new \$50,000 that is being considered would be something that would be in addition. That is a positive. The hope for a referral to a committee for increases to HSRAP for Fiscal Year '18, I think, is a real positive. The other real positive is the commitment of the Finance Committee to go forward with a recommendation of refunding the collaborative we have with the County of Santa Clara for homeless housing. That's \$125,000 for a 2-year commitment. The \$250,000 for homeless housing is a huge commitment for the Council. Staff was really pleased that it is going through as well. That is really where we are now. It's really up to the full Council to decide in which manner it wants to bring up these two items on the 13th. Council Member Wolbach, if you want to add anything to that, you're welcome to. You don't have to feel that you need to. I wanted to give you all an update on that, because I know increases to the HSRAP program is near and dear to all of your hearts as the recommending body and the review body to Council and Finance Committee on this important program. I'll take any questions you have.

Chair Stone: Can you explain a little bit what is the practical difference between the Reserve Fund and what an Emergency Fund would look like?

Ms. van der Zwaag: How that is specifically different the Reserve Fund if the future the City is going through tough economic times, many times what happens is we get the direction from our budget department that says, "5 percent across the board, you need to make cuts to your budgets or within your department you need to see a \$1 million cut. You can figure out how best that works." What Council did when they approved this a couple of years ago is gave the ability that if recommended or needed cuts because of a budget crisis presented itself, that they can access the reserve fund to lessen or negate the hit on the HSRAP grantees. The emerging needs fund would be a new pot of money that we could use to help HSRAP grantees if something comes up. Two perfect examples that I've thought about, that an emerging need fund could possibly help. I'll use agency names not for the fact that they actually came to us, but just so I can illustrate the type of need such as Adolescent Counseling Services. As you know we had deaths on the tracks last year very tragically. The need for their services increased substantially. They could potentially have come to us and said, "We're in this crisis situation. If we could get X amount of money, it would be really helpful. We could hire another intern at XYZ high school, Gunn or Paly or whatever, to be able to bring another intern in to meet the need of students that we're seeing." Another example is Abilities United which is another HSRAP grantee. A couple of months ago, you may have read in the paper that their storage trailer with all their camping equipment for disabled adults was stolen. They could have potentially come to us and said, "We do not have the ability to replace this equipment. We are in peril of not having this vital program this summer that meets the needs of these participants, but also gives a needed respite for their

caregivers during that time. Could we possibly apply for such a funding program?" That's the difference between the two, how I see it. The emerging needs fund, have we worked out all of the criteria of how that will work? Of course not. We're still waiting to see if that will be approved, and we're waiting for Council's direction if they would like staff to go back to them with the specific criteria to have them approve it.

Council Member Wolbach: I don't have much to add, but just to phrase it slightly differently, not to contradict what she said, but just another way of thinking about it maybe. The Reserve Fund is for preparing potentially for a decrease in revenue. Whereas, the emerging needs fund is for preparing for increasing demand. One's preparing for emergencies with revenue. The other's preparing for emergencies in needs.

Ms. van der Zwaag: At this point, the Human Services budget is so incredibly lean that we are not able to respond to any need in the community. Once those HSRAP funds have been decided for a 2-year period, if one of our grantees had a need, we literally could not respond. Part of these emerging needs, that's really the will of the Council, if that can be opened beyond HSRAP grantees. For instance, a nonprofit that wasn't a HSRAP grantee had an emerging or an emergency need, they could possibly apply for funding. The criteria are not yet set. It needs more thought. Staff is hopeful to listen to Council's dialog on this next Monday night to see where they come out on this.

Commissioner Chen: I have a question just to clarify things. We review HSRAP proposals every 2 years, right?

Ms. van der Zwaag: That's correct.

Commissioner Chen: The budget goes in front of the Council every year.

Ms. van der Zwaag: That's correct.

Commissioner O'Nan: Minka, I had a question about the possible increase in funding. Is the Council contemplating a one-time incremental increase for 2018 or are they looking at sort of a built-in cost of living increase across the board?

Ms. van der Zwaag: It's my understanding—Council Member Wolbach would have to get his sense of the conversation—at least the Council Member who was very much an advocate for this is looking for, if there is an increase, that it is something that is ongoing.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I recently talked to somebody at the church that's starting a shelter for just women. If they want to apply for a HSRAP grant, they have to wait?

Ms. van der Zwaag: They certainly do. They would have to wait until that RFP that Request for Proposal probably goes out in December or January. The funding would not start until Fiscal Year '18, a year from now.

Vice Chair Stinger: Could I just make a comment? I was at the first Finance Committee meeting where there were words spoken about it's not enough and maybe we can do more for

HSRAP. I was very enthusiastic. I've come to appreciate how difficult it is to increase funding mid-cycle. I'm really excited, and I think we've got a—if you can have a win-win situation on a budget issue, I think we're in a really strong suit of being able to have extra funding for an emerging need and to be able to administer it fairly. The logistics of trying to change the HSRAP allocation mid-cycle could be such that we wouldn't actually be able to get the funding to the agencies during the fiscal year. It wouldn't be beneficial to the organizations. In the middle of a very numbers-oriented discussion, I thought that the conclusions that came out in the recommendations were really very strong.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The reason why this is listed as an action item is during agenda planning, the Chair and the Vice Chair of the HRC felt that it may come up—this is the will of the Commission—that a member of the Commission might want to go and speak to the Council and say whatever you wanted to say about HSRAP or the possibilities of some changes to the creation of an emerging needs or so forth. This is not a staff recommendation. I'm just presenting something that was discussed at agenda planning. If that's something that you wanted to do, you would need to make a motion and authorize a member of the Commission to give the HRC's feedback on HSRAP and any possible motions that might be made on the 16th. That is up to you all.

Chair Stone: I'd like to discuss that. We did discuss this at the leadership meeting. I think both Vice Chair Stinger and I agree that it would be beneficial to have someone who is our representative. A lot of times at these Council meetings, this agenda item may be thrown on there last minute. We won't have a chance to come together as a full Commission to discuss it. As Minka has already said, I think we're all in agreement of pretty much what we want. Maybe we will disagree on some semantics of it, but we all want additional funding and to have a representative from our Commission to speak to Council. Of course, if we have time and we know when the Council meeting is coming up and one of our normally scheduled meetings comes up, then of course we can discuss further what we want that representative to say. In case of that emergency, it'd be nice to have a representative. Anyone else have any thoughts on that?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: When is that meeting?

Ms. van der Zwaag: Monday night.

Commissioner O'Nan: Minka, you said the 16th?

Ms. van der Zwaag: The 13th.

Vice Chair Stinger: I guess I would like to just echo your sentiments. I thought the Finance Committee had such a strong discussion. Not to be present and not to support that recommendation feels almost not negligent, but not supportive. I think we need to be there to be supportive and appreciative.

Commissioner O'Nan: I've been one of the leading advocates for increasing HSRAP funding over the past few years. I have addressed Council a couple of times in the past. I'd be happy to represent the HRC on Monday night. I'd certainly love to get my colleagues' feedback on some

of the things you'd like me to emphasize. I don't know that I'd have time to prepare a formal presentation. I'd probably speak during oral communications.

Ms. van der Zwaag: It would be your option during oral communications.

Commissioner O'Nan: I'm certainly willing to go. If anyone would like to partner with me and go with me, that would be awesome too.

Commissioner Alhassani: I think it would be beneficial if we prepared—I don't know if the easiest way to do this is if we allocate a subgroup of three members to draft a statement together on behalf of the HRC. I think if we're going to ask for money, it would be good to have some data in there that is compelling.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I think right now you just have to ...

Commissioner Alhassani: Make it more informal.

Ms. van der Zwaag: ... have a consensus of—if the consensus is that you are in support of an emerging needs fund for HSRAP and the motion is that you authorize one or two Commissioners to go to the Council meeting and during public comment time express your support for this possibility, then I'm not sure if there's a need for a subgroup to do that. It seems like there could be a discussion tonight. If there's three points that you're interested in having the person make and if there's an agreement of that and authorizing those people to state those two to three points that evening. We can't be deciding things over email and having a statement redrafted over email. I don't want to put words in your mouth. If there are three things you can agree to. Depending on how many people are there for public comment time, it's not been my history that—I don't know the controversy of any issues for other departments, but there could be loads of people there. There could be hardly anybody there for public comment time during the budget hearing. It can go both ways.

Chair Stone: I do agree. I think that Commissioner O'Nan has got a great grasp on this subject, this material. She has presented to Council before, and she knows HSRAP probably better than anyone in this City. I think you'll be good to go on that. I would be interested if Vice Chair Stinger would be also interested in joining you, since you have been following this very closely.

Vice Chair Stinger: I would be happy to do that. I feel pretty strongly that we could put together a good 2-minute statement.

MOTION

Chair Stone: I'll make the motion to appoint Commissioner O'Nan and Vice Chair Stinger as our HRC representatives to address Council on HSRAP funding.

Commissioner Alhassani: Second the motion.

Chair Stone: We've got a second. All the ayes. Any nays? Then the motion passes. Thank you very much, Commissioner O'Nan and Vice Chair Stinger. Does anyone else

want to make any recommendations on what should be some talking points on this issue or just trust the two of you to go ahead and represent us?

Vice Chair Stinger: I've given some thought to it, so I could give you an idea of what I might like to say, what I might like to share. I would like to thank the Finance Committee for its prudent discussions and careful thoughtfulness. I'd like to support the Reserve Fund and the emerging fund and particularly support the proposal to have a major increase in the fiscal 2018 HSRAP budget. Basically just supporting the recommendations that are on the table.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I think the one is more a referral to staff to come to our committee where a greater conversation of HSRAP can take place.

Chair Stone: Sounds good. Council Member Wolbach.

Council Member Wolbach: Just to piggy-back on something that staff mentioned earlier. Since this is an action item, if the HRC wants to make a formal motion supporting particular points, even if not supporting the exact wording of what the comments would be, but supporting any particular points. We've heard that as a Council that is really representing the HRC. That would probably be useful. If you wanted to put whatever talking points you want in the form of a motion.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The two motions that I discussed earlier. One is for the motion that came for the emerging needs fund, and the other was for the specific referral. If you can refer back to—I think that's what Council Member Wolbach is saying—those specific recommendations from the May 23rd Finance Committee meeting, that the HRC is in favor of both of those. Is that more powerful?

Council Member Wolbach: I'm sorry. I'm not sure if I understood the question.

Ms. van der Zwaag: You said it was useful that the HRC very specifically spoke to motions that the Council had made previously on the topic at hand. I'm asking you if they should refer back to those two specific motions from the May 23rd Finance Committee meeting.

Council Member Wolbach: I don't want to make recommendations to the HRC.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I understand.

Council Member Wolbach: I just want to suggest that if they are able to take a vote and have a formal motion on whatever the recommendations are to Council that would be useful to have clarity from the HRC on what your position is.

Ms. van der Zwaag: That's all I wanted.

Council Member Wolbach: Whatever your recommendations are to us.

Commissioner Chen: I want to make it clear. One thing I heard from your report is that we have separate, the Reserve Fund versus the Emerging Needs Fund. We want to keep both rather than combining them together. That's one thing I understand. Is that one motion?

Ms. van der Zwaag: That could be part of a statement. The statement that you make has to be the opinion of the HRC.

Commissioner Chen: We could raise that specific question about separating ...

Ms. van der Zwaag: If you so desired, you could do so.

Commissioner O'Nan: I'll try to come up with a resolution on the fly here, if that's okay with our Chair and Vice Chair.

Chair Stone: That'd be great.

Commissioner O'Nan: What if we resolve that we support the two recommendations that came from the Finance Committee at their May 23rd meeting, the first being to establish an emerging needs fund that would coexist with the already existing Reserve Fund of \$50,000 each, respectively. Second, we support the recommendation to refer the issue of increased funding for HSRAP to a specific committee to be discussed in more detail in the future. We would like to work with Council further if these things are passed.

Commissioner Chen: I have questions about your answer. Your specific committee, that means a committee from HRC that would discuss ...

Ms. van der Zwaag: No.

Commissioner O'Nan: No, the Finance Committee.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The Finance Committee or Policy and Services.

Commissioner Chen: I understand.

Commissioner O'Nan: I know that wasn't super articulate, but I was trying to just get the gist.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: That was pretty good on the fly.

Commissioner O'Nan: Do we feel like we could approve that kind of resolution?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Yes.

Chair Stone: I think so.

Commissioner O'Nan: Is there a second? I'm sorry.

Chair Stone: Was that a motion to approve?

MOTION

Commissioner O'Nan: I'll make the motion that my on-the-fly resolution—I'll put that before my colleagues if anyone wants to tweak it or change it and then maybe discuss. If not discuss, then can we second and approve it?

Commissioner Chen: I second.

Chair Stone: We have a second. All those approving, say aye. Anyone abstain? No nays. The motion is passed. Thank you very much for that on-the-fly, very articulate.

4. Chair Stone: If there's no further discussion on HSRAP, we will move on to our reports from officials. We'll start with ...

Commissioner Chen: No, not yet.

Chair Stone: Where are we? I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Valerie. Our next is update and the next steps from the recent forum on Implicit Bias. Vice Chair Stinger.

5. Update and next steps from recent forum on Implicit Bias

Vice Chair Stinger: I will give you a quick update. The same task force that met for the March forum has been meeting. That would be Rabbi Lewis, Pastor Smith, Minka, Mary, Commissioner Gordon Gray and myself. We've been examining ways to follow up with the Implicit Bias forum. We've looked at social events, and we've looked at more serious content events, small events, and big events. We came to a conclusion yesterday at our most recent meeting that the way that we would meet our objectives of community building and conversation and dialog would be a series of roundtable conversations that might be held over a period of a year, every other month, with a very diverse audience and a set conversation guide or topic guide. We realize that there are models for this, and some have been successful. We propose that our next step would be to do some benchmarking, doing some research with the success factors and hurdles, so that we can be successful in putting our program together probably in the fall. One of the things we realized as we were doing this was that we're an ad hoc committee, but we're proposing something that we want to do very well and sustain it over a longer period of time. We're going to recommend or we're going to change orientation and ask staff to help take this on. Instead of staff supporting us, we're going to support staff. It fits in with the community-building charge that Human Services has. It gives it more validity, more stature in the community, allows us to access resources that we really can't do with an ad hoc committee. We'd still like to have Commissioners working with staff. We have support from Rabbi Lewis, Pastor Smith, and we can recruit other community members to go forward.

Commissioner Savage: Is it okay with staff?

Ms. van der Zwaag: Yes. Staff, Mary and I, the grand Human Services staff. We're really excited. Mary and I have been in dialog for a while now to expand what we're doing in what we call community connections. That's reaching deeper into our connections with the nonprofit community, the faith community, and working on issues of importance in the community. We

really feel like working on this issue of bias, bringing people together to have important conversation is core to what we think the Office of Human Services should be about. This was on our work plan to do, and we're really happy that we have a community-based committee that is working with us on that and are happy to invite more people to join this committee of supporting the work that Mary and I would like to do in the community.

Commissioner O'Nan: I wanted to share that another resource for this ongoing program of conversations might be the Palo Alto Mediation Program. They have just trained a whole new sort of cohort of meeting facilitators. These are people who have had very special training beyond what the mediators normally get. I know that Anky and Martin Eichner have just deployed them for the first time at the most recent Mountain View HRC roundtable. They were a big success there. They're looking for opportunities for the facilitators to come in and use their skills. I believe this is all pro bono. I would really encourage staff and Commissioner Stinger and her team to reach out. I can put you in touch with Martin Eichner if you need his email.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I have it.

Commissioner O'Nan: I figured; Minka knows everyone. I thought the facilitators did a great job at the Mountain View HRC roundtable. I think that they could really do a great job in facilitating these kinds of conversations as well.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I had a question. You said you wanted to do some benchmarking. Are you looking for different frameworks or structures for the conversation?

Vice Chair Stinger: That'd be great. We have a list of about nine, I think, organizations that we'd like to benchmark.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The Human Services will have an intern again this summer. We feel like this is a wonderful, good use of his time to really be able to work with us to create a really meaningful program for the community in which we can have important conversations.

Chair Stone: This is really moving this issue and this ad hoc committee outside of the HRC and into the purview of Human Services.

Ms. van der Zwaag: Right.

Vice Chair Stinger: That's exactly what it is. That just gives me a great deal of excitement that we test something and we can formalize it. It'll be much more sustainable going forward.

Chair Stone: That's great. Well done. Thank you very much, Vice Chair Stinger.

VI. Reports from Officials – Chair Stone

- 1. Commissioner Reports
- 2. Council Liaison Report
- 3. Staff Liaison Report

Chair Stone: Now we move on to reports from officials and Commissioner Reports.

Commissioner Chen: I have a report. I went to see the Silicon Valley Community Foundation. They had a film show on the 17th of May, last month, at the Aquarius Theatre about Buena Vista. It was a very good film, very well done. Basically the film interviewed several residents there and talked about their stories and showed their lives there. It was pretty good. It was followed by discussion. I just wanted to share with anyone who is interested. Actually the person in charge is called Vu-Bang Ben Nguyen. That's the first time I've met him. It was well done.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I had something. I visited the Downtown Streets Team. That was one of my HSRAP visits. I met this gentleman who was in a special journalism program at Stanford. He made a film about the homeless people from Downtown Streets Team. He got some technology from Google where it's kind of this panoramic film where you see all sides of where the person is, the person and then their surroundings. He's an interesting fellow. I guess Mehdi knows about this. On the 29th of June there's going to be this media blitz about homelessness. Every media outlet in the Bay Area is going to cover this. Anyway, I thought he might be an interesting speaker and maybe we could see his film.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Jaime, I don't know his last name. Mehdi do you know? He said he just met you. Do you know who I'm talking about? He's like a journalist.

Commissioner Alhassani: I wonder if he came to the homeless event last October.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Yes, he did.

Commissioner Alhassani: I have his email.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I do too.

Commissioner Alhassani: I don't remember his name, his last name.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I don't remember his last name. Jaime. He's an interesting guy. He lived in El Salvador for 2 years as a reporter. He's been around. He's a young guy. He's ambitious and interesting.

Chair Stone: How long was his video?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I don't think it's that long. I could find out. If it's 10 minutes, what would be our max?

Chair Stone: About a half hour or 20 minutes to a half hour.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Want me to email you or talk to you?

Ms. van der Zwaag: Yes, you can email me or staff could look into that with our contacts at the Streets Team.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I can give you his contact information.

Ms. van der Zwaag: If you have it, that'd be wonderful. I'd appreciate it.

Vice Chair Stinger: I went to the Age Friendly Task Force meeting yesterday.

Vice Chair Stinger: Part of the Healthy Cities initiative. She spoke with us. She did some backgrounding at that meeting for a professional group that was joining. That was much the same as we heard in our session. She said one thing that really pleased me. That was that a lot of the goals that WHO has identified for a senior segment are the same goals that the millennials have. They want urban density, vitality, small houses, and walkable communities. As we start to work those goals, it just struck me that this is age friendly. It's not age isolation. We're building a better community all around. It just was very motivating. The next step for that group is to do some professional focus groups, and then start to do the needs assessment so they can make their recommendations. That was my report.

Commissioner Savage: Also, Vice Chair Stinger and I went to the Palo Alto Housing Corp. a few weeks ago. We met Kate Young, and she was great. I just took a few notes. We went to 360 Sheridan, where they have senior and disabled residents, 57 units. Each unit has a kitchen as well as a community kitchen where there is donated food. It's really a very nice facility. They offer cooking lessons. They have many activities. They have a coloring book club. They have a pool table there and a grand piano in the room. It was a lot more than I expected. Our HSRAP funds provide their transportation service which includes taking them to La Comida for lunch or the Mountain View Senior Center or they go shopping at San Antonio Center. They go to Grocery Outlet and Safeway. Those are also the first things to go if they have less funding from us. Half their residents no longer drive. They also have a podiatrist who comes in once a week to look at their feet. They have a computer lab. There's a 3-5 year waiting list to get in there. The list is now closed. When it does open, it opens 1 day every 3-5 years. They gave the example of Webster Wood which is the other end of Downtown. They recently had a 1-day open, and by 7:00 a.m. the line was around the corner. They had 300-400 applications.

Chair Stone: Thank you.

Vice Chair Stinger: If I can add onto that. I went on then to another residence and saw the daycare afterschool program that they do which is an outstanding program. One of the things that Kate Young said was that they can't do a preschool program and if funding were increased, they'd be able to do some preschool work and help the residents' children be ready for school as well as keeping up with their classmates. It was a great visit.

Commissioner Chen: If anyone wants great visits, there are two coming next week. One is to go to Adolescent Counseling Service on Wednesday afternoon at 2:00. The other one is Vista Center for the Blind. It's right in Palo Alto, here; it'd be very close. It's 2:00 Friday. I'm recruiting people to come with me.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: When is the Vista Center visit?

Commissioner Chen: It's Friday afternoon.

Chair Stone: Did you say 2:00 p.m.?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Tomorrow afternoon?

Commissioner Chen: Next Wednesday and next Friday, 15th and 17th.

Chair Stone: For Vista, did you say that was 2:00 p.m.?

Commissioner Chen: It's 2:00 p.m. It's 1:30 actually, 1:30.

Ms. van der Zwaag: Vista Center for the Blind and Visually Impaired is its full name.

Commissioner Chen: They had a temporary office on Hillview Avenue. Anyone interested, let's go together.

Chair Stone: Any other reports? We'll go on to our Council Liaison report. Council Member Wolbach, do you have anything for us?

Council Member Wolbach: A couple of things. One, actually something I attended recently, and I saw Vice Chair Stinger. At an event this past Sunday that was moderated, maybe organized by the gentleman who had moderated your Implicit Bias event, Pastor Kaloma Smith, who was calling it Night of Hope, commemorating a year just this past Sunday at the First Congregational Church over on Louis at Embarcadero. It was this past Sunday. There were representatives from a number of faith communities and from throughout the community there. I also saw Council Member Berman there as well. It was commemorating a year since the Charleston shootings at Mother Emanuel Church and focused on trying to heal and heal the community and heal the country and ensure that Palo Alto in particular can be a welcoming and diverse place for the future.

On another note, I know that this Commission has been interested and active regarding exploration of revamping the City shuttle program. You were instrumental in collecting feedback from seniors in particular regarding the use and hopes for the future of the Palo Alto City shuttle. City staff was working on a revamp proposal to bring to Council. Due to some losses of staff members to other opportunities elsewhere and also due to the uncertainty resulting from VTA's proposals to change and perhaps eliminate much of their service of buses to Palo Alto will not be coming to Council for several months. That has been significantly delayed. On an alternative note, I've been hearing some discussion about the possibility that our nascent TMA might be an agency which the City could potentially hand off operation of the shuttle to at some point in the future, should Council decide to pursue that as a policy and should funding be adequate for that to happen. Just one of the points of discussion. There's a discussion on Monday about the future of the TMA following the discussion about the budget. That should be quite interesting, especially considering the TMA funding plans for 3-5 years range from about \$2.5 to \$3.3 million, but staff is only asking for 100,000 right now. I'm looking forward to that discussion.

Chair Stone: Thank you very much. Minka, do you have a staff liaison report?

Ms. van der Zwaag: I do not.

VI. TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR NEXT REGULAR MEETING: July 14, 2016

Chair Stone: Anyone want to suggest anything for the tentative agenda for our next meeting on July 14th?

Commissioner Savage: I was just going to suggest—I know we recently had Police Department, Zach Perron, but we haven't had the Chief lately. That might be an idea, if he's available.

Ms. van der Zwaag: We can check into that. I know that he specifically wanted to come when the body camera policy was more defined, but we can definitely check into that. What I was going to interject is tonight we had Raul come to us, which is really a kickoff for a mini or a longer mental health series. I can look back at my notes, because at one point we had brainstormed some names of individuals that could come for that mental health series. It's somewhere in the binder. We can look for a second person to come for our mental health series. Do you recall, Chair Stone, who—I'll look at the list.

Chair Stone: I'll have to look through my notes. I don't think I have them.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I'll look at the list, and then Mary or I will make a contact to try to get someone as part of that series to come to us.

Chair Stone: Should we see if Commissioner Savage is able to get, since you are primary liaison to the Police Department, to see if Chief Burns is available for that day? If not, then we can go with whoever was on that list that we put together.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I can give you Jaime's contact information if you want to invite him.

Ms. van der Zwaag: Let's take in all the ideas and then we can see what's possible. If you want to make that and you give that to me, we usually just try to have one speaker.

Commissioner Savage: He's the first choice at this point?

Ms. van der Zwaag: Is that the consensus?

Chair Stone: I think so. We haven't had Chief Burns here in a long time. Thank you very much.

Commissioner O'Nan: Other agenda items could be—I think that will be the day before the landlord event or that will be after the landlord event?

Commissioner Alhassani: We're having that next week.

Commissioner O'Nan: Right. Maybe an update on the landlord event. I don't know if we'll have any input from Council yet based on the meeting that we're going to attend Monday. Do you know, Council Member Wolbach? Will anything have been decided by then?

Ms. van der Zwaag: Budget discussions will happen primarily on the 13th if there's holdover, on the 20th. That could be an agenda item or I could just give the results during my—I'll probably

just send you an email for those that aren't able to attend the meeting. I could do that in my staff report for next time.

Chair Stone: Perfect.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: It looks like there's two items on here already. A retreat. Do we have a hard date for the retreat?

Ms. Constantino: The 11th.

Chair Stone: For the retreat, is August 11th?

Ms. Constantino: August 11th.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: That's going to be our meeting for August. We're not going to have a separate HRC meeting.

Chair Stone: Any other suggestions?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Are we going to talk about the study session on September 12th at the August meeting?

Ms. van der Zwaag: It's already on the tentative agenda.

Commissioner Gordon Gray: I know, but when are we going to talk about actually preparing for that?

Commissioner Gordon Gray: Retreat?

Ms. van der Zwaag: No, that's on the tentative agenda already for July.

Commissioner O'Nan: Minka, I just wanted to confirm the date for the study session? For some reason, I had the 19th down on my calendar. Is it definitely the 12th?

Ms. van der Zwaag: It went from the last week in August to the 19th, but we did settle on the 11th.

Chair Stone: 12th.

Ms. van der Zwaag: The 12th.

Commissioner Chen: You sent us an email September 12th.

Ms. van der Zwaag: I will resend a confirmation out for September 12th.

Chair Stone: Thank you, everyone.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 8:31 p.m.

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS

<u>Our Mission:</u> To address human relations issues, including promotion of awareness, understanding and resolution of actual or potential conflicts, discrimination, or injustice while encouraging community building and civic engagement