

Planning & Transportation Commission Action Meeting Agenda: February 14, 2018

Council Chambers 250 Hamilton Avenue 6:00 PM

5 6

4

1

2

Call to Order / Roll Call

7 8

- 9 Chair Lauing: Thank you. Alright, I'd like to call to order the Valentine's Day Planning and
- 10 Transportation Commission (PTC) meeting. Would the Clerk please call the roll?

11

- 12 <u>Yolanda Cervantes, Administrative Assistant</u>: Commissioner Alcheck, Commissioner Gardias,
- 13 Chair Lauing, Vice-Chair Monk, Commissioner Riggs, Commissioner Summa, and Commissioner
- 14 Waldfogel. Six present.

15

- 16 **Oral Communications**
- 17 The public may speak to any item not on the agenda. Three (3) minutes per speaker. 1,2
- 18 Agenda Changes, Additions, and Deletions
- 19 The Chair or Commission majority may modify the agenda order to improve meeting management.
- 20 City Official Reports
- 1. Assistant Directors Report, Meeting Schedule and Assignments

22

- 23 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Thank you. Are there any agenda changes, additions or deletions? Ok then we'll
- 24 move on to the Assistant Directors report.

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

5

6

7

8

3 one is that the City Council had made a recommendation to staff on the Housing Work Program

which you're going to hear a brief little study session on in a moment from Director Gitelman

and two, that same evening on Monday initiate or continued, started a conversation regarding

the North Ventura Coordinated Area Plan and they continue that discussion I think it's going to

go back to Council I think March 5th or something like that. So we'll keep you apprised of that

effort as well. And that concludes the report.

9

11

12

13

10 Chair Lauing: If there are Oral Communications this is the time to do that. I believe we have

one. We have an unusual request of one person to speak for a number of people. If I'm sorry

how many that has to be? If five of the people are listed here... are five of the people here that

are on this list? Ok, great. Ok, so Fred Bacon? Balin, I'm sorry. How long do you feel like your

comments are going to be?

15

14

16 Fred Balin: It'll be about nine minutes.

17

18 Chair Lauing: Ok, that's in with then, that's within the law. Thank you.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Mr. Balin: Chair Lauing, your fellow Commissioners, Fred Balin, 289 Columbia Street, 25 year

2 Palo Alto resident. I watched your four hour Agenda Item 2 two weeks ago, January 31st.

Around the dais it was tough going and I'm not talking about positions, but rather process and

conduct. I let it all settle for a few days and started watching again. This is my capsule report of

how where and why the discussion went off the rails.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

3

4

5

7 Chair Lauing opens the hearing and explains the ground rules. The item will be separated into

two distinct sections. First, a discussion of a proposed a work force housing combining district

which would be a new zoning and after that discussion of an application for a project at 2755 El

Camino Real to utilize that zoning also, Commissioner Summa will be recused from the second

part, the project. Just after the Chair gives the floor to Commissioner Summa and she states

that she is following the City Attorney's advice her microphone amplifies two distinct sounds.

Commissioner Alcheck having arrived late and seated directly to her left has just flipped over

one book and then another. Why? Not clear, but later he will repeatedly claim that

Commissioner Summa should also be recused from the first part, the zoning.

16

17

18

19

20

An hour later and after the applicant's presentation and the public's comments Assist Planning

Director Lait restates the separated two stage discussion process to follow. Commissioner

Alcheck interrupts to make a Point of Order. Point of Order is not included in either your or the

Council's procedural rules. It will however be invoked by Commissioner Alcheck numerous

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 times and not once by any other Commissioner. Under Robert's Rules a Point of Order is

2 allowed if rules appear to have been broken. Which rules did he cite that were broken? None.

3 Commissioner Alcheck merely states that he finds the separation of the two items to be very

difficult and then he wants to make a point before we begin. At that juncture it would have

been best for the Chair to stop him because not only does Commissioner Alcheck move on and

out of turn to discuss the zoning he also references the project. The project is a quasi-judicial

matter in which Commissioners act like judges and are not to make statements on it until it is

discussed in part two.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

4

5

6

7

8

In closing Commissioner Alcheck states that he cannot separate the two matters, he will reserve his comments for the second half. After that he travels around the dais and down toward the staff area. Was something discussed? If so why was it not made public? Then he returns in time to twice interrupt the next speaker that would be Commissioner Gardias as formal discussion now proceeds clockwise around the dais. Commissioner Gardias expresses dissatisfaction with the proposed zoning, but also wanders into discussion of the project. So at this point based on the comments of two Commissioners the validity of their participation in the project is arguably open to challenge because they have spoken about the project. Next Commissioner Waldfogel who poses questions on the proposed zoning and states that the main potential benefit is an opportunity to collect data. Vice-Chair Monk endorses the new zone and

makes a Motion to adopt it together with four amendments. We move past the Chair who will

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 speak last. New Commissioner Riggs seconds the Motion and adds an amendment.

2 Commissioner Summa explains why she cannot support the Motion and states that after all the

other Commissioners have spoken she will propose a Substitute Motion, then back to

4 Commissioner Alcheck.

He begins "I wasn't going to speak, but didn't think you were going to speak," turning to Commissioner Summa. "So I'm going to speak now." Why would he think that Commissioner Summa would not speak? Did he not understand the Chair stated that she is recused for the second part? Later he says, "Again I suggest that this issue can be separated for the purpose of your recusal does not pass the smell test." A correction, the item was separated into two parts not for the purpose of Commissioner Summa's recusal, but to help prevent any Commissioner in part one from speaking about part two. He continues, "And the fact that you are making a Substitute Motion now strikes me as inappropriate." Later and possibly most revealing, "I am concerned that the one individual who is recused from having a discussion about this project could tank the entire conversation tonight with a Motion to not pass this ordinance and I think that would be unacceptable." Could it be that in trying to count [no count] Commission noses and under the guise of knowing better and vigorous engagement Commissioner Alcheck is

afraid that the measured statements of Commissioner Summa might actually have an impact on

other Commissioners and imperil a result he seems almost desperate to achieve?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Later after the main Motion is ironed out Commissioner Summa presents her Substitute Motion

2 that staff return with a revised ordinance including parameters she specifies. As soon as the

3 Motion is seconded Commissioner Alcheck interrupts with a Point of Order on some convoluted

4 procedural ground. The Chair states that he is incorrect and explains why. Commissioner

5 Alcheck rejects the explanation. The Chair replies that the matter was explained to

Commissioner Alcheck by staff. Commissioner Alcheck not satisfied response again. The chair

calmly reiterates his points and the meeting finally moves on.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

6

7

So let's review, a Commissioner will not accept the procedures for discussion set down by staff and the Chair. He preempts all discussion with a false claim of rule violation. He makes reference to the project application which he is not supposed to do and which possibly

reference to the project application which he is not supposed to do and which possibly

encourages a second Commissioner to do the same jeopardizing the validity of the forthcoming

discussion on that application. He repeatedly challenges legitimacy of another Commissioner

for participating in any part of the hearing without basis and in contradiction with the City

Attorney. He expresses surprise that a fellow Commissioner would speak and then seeks to

prevent that Commissioner from bringing forth a Substitute Motion and after it is brought

forward immediately interrupts and insists on the correctness of a procedural challenge in

contradiction with the Chair and the Assistant Director. He repeatedly violates your procedural

rules including [Section 3(c)14] that every Commissioner shall be confined to the question

under debate, avoiding all indecorous language and personal attacks. He is disrespectful of the

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Chair, repeatedly interrupts him and other Commissioners. He is disrespectful of staff although
- 2 he [feels to] travel down the staff area at will without permission and without reporting what
- 3 was discussed and all this is just from within the sections I'm reviewing this evening.

- 5 It would be nice to think that the Chair with more assertiveness and experience can exercise a
- 6 better handle on this situation, but he tried hard. He was fair, firm, and polite while not
- 7 allowing himself to be bullied or bluffed. Nonetheless it all came at a steep cost to the
- 8 Commission's procedures and processes, smooth functioning interaction with staff, and
- 9 standing among the citizenry. I believe that all of us are capable of change, but at a certain
- 10 point some characteristics can become firmly entrenched. To ignore that here will lead to
- other such incidents. I will send this report to the City Council interspersed with time stamps
- 12 and request action. In the meantime and to best serve the community I request that
- 13 Commissioner Alcheck resign and apply his talents and energy outside of the Planning
- 14 Commission. Thank you.

15

16 Chair Lauing: That concludes Oral Communications.

17

18

Study Session

- 19 Public Comment is Permitted. Five (5) minutes per speaker. 1,3
 - 2. Update on the City Council's Discussion of the Planning and Community Environment's Housing Work Plan.

2122

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: Now I'll move to the first agenda item which is listed as Item Number 3 under

2 action items.

3

4 Mr. Lait: Chair we're actually on a study session, Number 2.

5

6 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Oh, sorry. Alright, great. We inserted that later, thank you.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

8 <u>Hillary Gitelman, Planning Director</u>: Thank you, Chair Lauing. Hillary Gitelman, the Planning

Director and I'll be super brief. I know you have two action items this evening that you want to

get to quickly and get home. I just wanted to report out that the City Council considered the

Housing Work Plan on Monday night. The conversation went long, but the end result was a

multi-part Motion directing a number of things. One of them was for us to work with the PTC

on preparation of an amendment to the zoning ordinance that could be brought forward to

Council in 2018. My staff and I are still kind of assimilating the direction from Monday's

meeting. We're going to meet tomorrow and put our heads together, make sure we fully

understand what the direction was, and come up with some kind of schedule or game plan

which I would be happy to report back to you on.

18

19

20

I think there's no question that we're going to need a lot of help from the Commission this year

on this ordinance. My thought is we'll even be coming to you probably on a monthly basis for

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 ch	neck ins and	direction and	input on draf	ft text. So we v	will know more a	bout our scl	nedule and
------	--------------	---------------	---------------	------------------	------------------	--------------	------------

2 specifically your role and how we're going to structure the conversation by the time you meet

3 next. And we'll either give you a report another report like this or something in writing and

definitely get an item on your agenda for the some one of your meetings in March to start

digging into the substance of this matter. So we're very much looking forward to working with

6 you on this.

7

8

10

11

12

13

4

5

On a parallel track we're going to be working with the City Council's Policy and Services

9 Committee on structuring a notice of funding availability for use of our affordable housing

funds and on an economic analysis which will ultimately result in another ordinance that we'll

bring to you for your review after we get through the analysis phase, the economic analysis

phase that Council wants us to work with Policy and Services on. So anyway it's going to be fun

and we'll be back with more information at your next meeting and a work session in March.

14

Chair Lauing: Did you want to mention any changes or field questions from us at this point?

16

17

18

15

Ms. Gitelman: If you have simple questions I'd be happy to answer them. I thought maybe we

could you could let us organize our thoughts a little more and we might be better equipped the

next time we agendize this.

20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Chair Lauing: Well the work plan was a lot of work. I would like to say that and I mean it's just
2	it's dense.
3	
4	Ms. Gitelman: Yes.
5	
6	Chair Lauing: And I did hear you use the words PTC many times on Monday night so expect we'll
7	be seeing a lot of this.
8	
9	Ms. Gitelman: Definitely, thank you.
10	
11	Chair Lauing: Any other high level questions or anything, comments? Ok, thanks.
12	
13	Ms. Gitelman: Ok, thank you.
14	
15	Chair Lauing: Oh, sorry.
16	
17	Commissioner Riggs: I just wanted to thank you for giving me a little leeway in getting here. I
18	appreciate that. It's a lot of running around with two little urchins, so I appreciate that. Thank
19	you, Chair.
20	
	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: At least you didn't blame Palo Alto traffic, that's good.

Action Items

Public Comment is Permitted. Applicants/Appellant Teams: Fifteen (15) minutes, plus three (3) minutes rebuttal.

All others: Five (5) minutes per speaker. 1,3

3. PUBLIC HEARING: Recommend that the City Council Adopt an Ordinance Amending Palo Alto Municipal Code (PAMC) Chapter 18.40 (General Standards and Exceptions) of Title 18 (Zoning) to Add a New Section Imposing an Annual Office Limit and Setting Forth Related Regulations, and to Repeal the Respective Regulations from Chapter 18.85 (Interim Zoning Ordinances). The Proposed Ordinance will perpetuate the existing annual limit of 50,000 square feet of new office/R&D development per year with modifications regarding the review process, unallocated area rollover provisions, and exemptions as discussed by the City Council on September 5, 2017. CEQA: This Ordinance is within the scope of the Comprehensive Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR) certified and adopted on November 13, 2017 by Council Resolution Nos. 9720 and 9721. For More Information, Please Contact Clare Campbell at clare.campbell@cityofpaloalto.org. (Continued from January 31, 2018)

Commission Action: Motion to approve recommendation on staff report made by Commissioner Waldfogel, Seconded by Commissioner Summa Motion Passes (4-3(Alcheck, Monk, Riggs))

Chair Lauing: Ok now moving along, we'll go to Item Three which is a... Was continued from the last meeting. It got very late and this is the summary title of the Annual Office Limit Replacement Ordinance. This came to use as a study session as you know and the Staff report here... Is there going to be an official Staff report? Ok, so you'll see that Council made some changes, said yes on some, said no on others so we can decide if we want to take comments back but it's here for our approval. So, go ahead, thanks.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Mr. Jonathan Lait, Assistant Director of Planning: Great, thank you, Chair. So, yes, this is a 2 public hearing on a proposed ordinance to implement regulations establishing an annual office 3 limit. We have interim regulations that are in place now and they've been in place since 2015. 4 The current regulations established a 50,000-square foot office cap in designated areas of the City and these regulations expire on June 30th, 2018. The Commission may recall having a 5 6 discussion, not quite about ten months ago or I guess eleven months ago now, on this topic 7 which was the Commission provided some feedback on how to make changes and regulate the 8 annual office limit. Those recommendations were forwarded to the City Council and they had 9 their discussion in September. Council gave us direction at that meeting which has formed the 10 ordinance which is before you today. There are five elements of this ordinance which I'll go into a little bit more detail now. One of 11 12 the topics of the Planning and Transportation Commission considered in March was how to 13 addressed unused or unallocated office area. The Council had a conversation about that and at 14 least in their study session [they] seemed to land on that a rollover policy would be worthwhile 15 at any unused square footage carry over for not more than one year and no more than 16 100,000-square feet of office being approved in any one year. They added... They kept the two 17 exemptions that already existed which was office up to 200,000-square feet and medical office up to 500,000-square feet. And added... Proposed adding a new exemption for non-profit office 18 19 space of 5,000-square feet and this would be deed restricted.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 You may recall the interim regulations today how they competitive process to evaluate projects
- that are seeking to use that 50,000-square foot. While we've never had to use that approach,
- 3 Council is proposing that or has in their study session discussion is proposing to eliminate that
- 4 effort and just go on a first come, first serve basis and that is this next slide. And basically, what
- 5 we would do is at any point when a permit is being ready for issuance, either an entitlement
- 6 through the planning process or the permit counter, if Discretionary Review is not required, we
- 7 would maintain a list. And if they have not exceeded... if that approval would not exceed the 50,
- 8 000-square feet we would issue that approval and will not issue in instances where that has
- 9 exceeded.
- 10 So, where we are today is the public hearing on this ordinance and after the Commission's
- recommendation, we will send this to the City Council. I think we're looking at a date in the
- 12 near future here as this is expiring shortly. Happy to answer any questions that you have.
- 13 <u>Chair Lauing:</u> There are no speaker cards so we'll take comments. I'd like to report that we
- tested all the lights, they are working this time, we have a new grid which aligns correctly. I'll do
- my best to poke on them as they pop up so would anybody like to comment please light up
- 16 your light. Commissioner [Note Vice Chair] Monk.
- 17 Vice Chair Monk: Vice Chair or sorry, Jonathan, I forgot your title off the top of my head but can
- 18 you just give us a little bit of overview of what to expect in the event this doesn't get extended
- or made permanent in terms of development?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Lait: If the ordinance doesn't go forward?
- 2 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Correct.
- 3 Mr. Lait: Well, if the (interrupted)
- 4 Vice Chair Monk: If it goes... If it reverts back to (interrupted)
- 5 Mr. Lait: Sure, so it... I'll take a scenario where the Commission makes a recommendation to
- 6 not advance the ordinance to Council or that it be not continued. The Council would get that
- 7 recommendation [and] they would make their decision. Hypothetically or in a scenario where
- 8 they opted not to advance that ordinance, they would not adopt it and the interim regulations
- 9 would expire.
- 10 Vice Chair Monk: My question is in terms of development, what would you anticipate that we'll
- see in terms of development?
- 12 Mr. Lait: Oh, I (interrupted)
- 13 <u>Vice Chair Monk: Projects?</u>
- Mr. Lait: I mean I can't speak to a development that has activity that's happened since it's
- been implemented. You know I don't want to speculate as to what the development activity
- 16 would happen if it didn't go forward. I mean I do think that there's... The ordinance has had
- 17 some effect on development proposals that are being submitted to the City; office

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

development projects. And so, I imagine that if it were lifted, that might change the perspective 1 2 of certain property owners or developers in office development but that's... I don't have any 3 data that backs that up. 4 Vice Chair Monk: And there would be no cap. We could theoretically get hundreds of thousands 5 of (interrupted) 6 Mr. Lait: There would be no cap. 7 Vice Chair Monk: Square footage coming in? Ok, thank you. 8 Mr. Lait: Right and just to be clear, no annual cap. We already have in our Comp. Plan a City-9 wide cap that would stay in effect. 10 Vice Chair Monk: And where are we at on the City-wide cap? Is it under a million? 11 Mr. Lait: Yeah, no I think it's north of a million. I'd have to take a look here. Vice Chair Monk: I thought it was in the report but when I went back to look for it I couldn't find 12 13 it. 14 Mr. Lait: We can research that. 15 Vice Chair Monk: Thank you.

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Riggs.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Riggs: I have a related question. So, there's... As a part of the study session, has
- 2 been there an analysis on what this does to office rates? For example, does it actually inflate
- 3 office rates in general or has been there any analysis done what the policy actually does from a
- 4 market implication standpoint?
- 5 Mr. Lait: So, no, we don't have a market rate study or an analysis... economic analysis. That
- 6 was done as a part of this ordinance, not on the interim basis or on the permit.
- 7 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Is there a long-term plan? I mean (interrupted)
- 8 Mr. Lait: I think there (interrupted)
- 9 Commissioner Riggs: To assess that? Clearly, we're making decisions that impact the market.
- 10 Mr. Lait: Well, I think that discussion took place in the... You know before the Comp. Plan was
- 11 adopted. This has been a long-standing conversation in the community since even probably
- 12 before 2015 when the Interim Ordinance was established. The Council went through the
- 13 process of pulling this piece out to understand... To get a handle on increased office
- development in the area. And so, I think that the policy position that the City has taken is... has
- been debated and discussed and it's reflected in the interim and now proposed ordinance. But
- 16 you know beyond the policies that are set forth and the Comp. Plan that were adopted
- 17 (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Riggs: Sorry, I really appreciate the answer but I just want to make sure I'm
- 2 understanding clearly. So, the policy has been discussed in the absence of data, is that a fair
- 3 characterization? I mean (interrupted)
- 4 Mr. Lait: No, I don't think that's a fair characterization.
- 5 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> We don't have data, we haven't tracked the market conditions or changes
- 6 in the market conditions. I'm sorry, I'm just not getting a feeling like a straight answer... like it's
- 7 very clear.
- 8 Mr. Lait: Let's take a look at the finding recitals at the starting of the ordinance, ok? Let's see if
- 9 that... So, in your... In Section One of the ordinance, there's a... Some information listed that's
- 10 noting the increased priced per square foot for office space and how that is generated;
- 11 increased demand; development pressure in the City and how it's driving out other types of
- 12 uses in the community that are sought after and desires. Along with some corollary impacts
- that are noted relative to traffic and parking and so forth. So, I wouldn't say it's been done in
- 14 the absence of data. It may not be (interrupted)
- 15 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> Alright, fair enough, it's ok, fair enough.
- 16 Chair Lauing: Commissioner Waldfogel.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	MOTION
2	
3	Commissioner Waldfogel: Thank you. I feel like this has been discussed to death, both at the
4	PTC and at the Council. There are parts of it that I think are good, there are parts of it that
5	don't love. I don't love the rollover, I don't love the that we're giving up our voice on what
6	projects we prefer in the years where we might hit the cap. With that said, I think we've
7	discussed it to death and I move the Staff recommendation.
8	Chair Lauing: Is there a second for that?
9	
10	SECOND
11	
12	Commissioner Summa: Second.
13	<u>Chair Lauing:</u> Commissioner Summa seconded. Sorry, I think Commissioner Gardias's lite was or
14	next. Did you want to address it in the context of the motion now that there's a motion on the
15	table?
16	Commissioner Gardias: Yes so (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Chair Lauing: Unless Mr. [Note Commissioner] Waldfogel, would you like to speak any more
- 2 to the motion?
- 3 Commissioner Waldfogel: No, I think the motion speaks for itself.
- 4 <u>Commissioner Summa:</u> I think that I agree with Commissioner Waldfogel and this is clearly the
- 5 direction that Council wanted to go. I might have liked personally to see some differences,
- 6 especially with the rollover but that being said I think that it's clear that's where Council wants
- 7 to go with this so.
- 8 Chair Lauing: Ok the motion is moved and seconded to adapt [Note adopt] the Staff
- 9 recommendation as is. Then the next speaker is Commissioner Gardias.
- 10 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> Thank you. It sort of delayed by planned thoughts that I wanted to
- share with you because I pressed the light just before the motion was proposed. No, that's ok,
- it is what it is right? So, let me think how to address it then. I wanted to, of course, put it in the
- 13 context but not it's relevant since we this motion. So, the only thing that I could say here is
- 14 pretty much I don't like the beauty context that is the Paragraph 18.85.250. And this was the
- only item that I was thinking about proposing to you too for modification because those criteria
- and the ranking that would be proposed and run by Council were of course just mental and
- 17 would be taking... Yes?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Lait: Commissioner, I'm sorry to interrupt but we are not proposing that process anymore.
- 2 This would be a first come, first serve process so the Interim Ordinance does have that review
- 3 process where you would look at those criteria that you were just commenting on. The new
- 4 ordinance that's before you would take applications on a first come, first serve basis. If there
- 5 was enough area to approve the development, we would approve it without evaluation by that
- 6 criterion that exists today.
- 7 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> And if you have two applications at the same time?
- 8 Mr. Lait: So, if we have two applications at the same time, the one that gets approved is ready
- 9 for... That we sign off on the entitlement or if they are going through a Use and Occupancy
- 10 Permit or Tenant Permit. Whenever it's ready to be issued, that's the date that we will look at
- our list to see if we've exceeded, does the proposed project exceed that limit, if not then we
- would sign off on it.
- 13 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> Yes, but I'm just speaking to this time when you have... When you are
- on the brink or when we're on the brink of exceeding the ceiling and then you receive two
- 15 applications. What would you do then?
- 16 Mr. Lait: Right, if we're at the brink... I mean as you know it takes some time to go through an
- 17 entitlement process so it is conceivable that in the course of a year we may be at that... Close to
- that 50,000-square foot limit. But we would process those applications in accordance with the
- 19 rules that we have in the Municipal Code and when we are ready to issue that permit if it's... If

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 there's enough capacity, we'll issue it and if not, it would have to wait until the next period
- 2 which would begin on July 1st.
- 3 Commissioner Gardias: So, how will you be deciding then between which application is... Which
- 4 applications to move forward?
- 5 Mr. Lait: We're moving on all fronts. I mean we're moving all applications at the same time.
- 6 We're not holding one back or moving one forward. We have planners assigned to it, we move
- 7 the work forward, sometimes it has to through a Commission or the Architectural Review Board
- 8 [and] it just goes through that process. The code sets forth a timeline by which we have to
- 9 approve certain applications so we'd be working within that timeframe.
- 10 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> Right, I understand but then you still... Let's say that you're in May,
- 11 you're close to having 50,000-square foot limit and then you have two equal applications. And
- 12 each one of those would take us over that ceiling, what do you do then? It's still the beauty
- 13 context is still applicable in this case.
- 14 Mr. Lait: It is not.
- 15 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> So, how do you decide then which of these applications because it
- wasn't... Sorry, it wasn't clear to me how you describe the process on which one would be
- 17 selected?
- 18 Mr. Lait: The one that is (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Gardias: Yeah because one would have to be... You would hold up one of those
- 2 for the next year.
- 3 Mr. Lait: When a... I'm sorry, let me take another stab at this. At the tie that we issue the
- 4 approval, that's... We're ready to issue it, we're checking it to the balance that we have left on
- 5 the 50,000. If there's room available, we sign off on it. If there are two, a very unlikely situation
- 6 that there are on the same day... Being approved on the same day and one of them is going
- 7 to.... And both would trigger, we would look to which application came in first, the file date and
- 8 that's set forth in the ordinance. I don't think that would happen but if it ever did, we would
- 9 take the one that was filed first.
- 10 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> So, let's say that filing because there was a difference of 24-hours
- 11 between two applications.
- 12 <u>Mr. Lait:</u> Yes, the one [unintelligible] (interrupted)
- 13 Commissioner Gardias: And then pretty much you would just take the first one that came...
- 14 First, come and first out.
- 15 Mr. Lait: That's right.
- 16 Commissioner Gardias: So, the reason I was just getting into this conversation... sorry for taking
- 17 much time.... Because I was thinking about proposing an economic benefit if two applications
- 18 come in at the same time as the criteria for selecting which one of those would be selected for

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 further... for approve within this fiscal year. That was the only change that I was proposing in
- 2 this ordinance but since we have a process and if the Assistant Director is fine with this if it's
- 3 going to work, I just... I will not propose any changes. Thank you.
- 4 Chair Lauing: Commissioner Alcheck.
- 5 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Thank you. I think the more important question is, Assistant Director,
- 6 do you think that it's possible that the Council could consider amending the language of the
- 7 ordinance when it comes before them to include an alternative system?
- 8 Mr. Lait: I think they could consider that.
- 9 Commissioner Alcheck: Right and so I think I'm going to reiterate Commissioner Gardias's point,
- 10 also in light of the fact that both Commissioner Waldfogel and Commissioner Summa
- mentioned it too, that they have a preference for...Because we don't have a better term... the
- beauty pageant process. I'm going to iterate that I to very much dislike the beauty pageant
- 13 process and I think it's worth mentioning tonight in case there is some confusion about where
- we lie on that recommendation when it goes before them and they are reviewing it.
- 15 I'm actually really... I'm happy to see that nearly every change that I suggest...That we
- 16 suggested last time... That I think the majority of us suggested last time was adopted. And I
- 17 would just reiterate I think that restricting... I would reiterate that I would encourage the
- 18 Council to pass the ordinance and restrict its application anywhere outside where this

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- ordinance is currently being proposed; that's specifically outside of the Stanford Research Park.
- 2 I think that their discussion suggesting that they didn't want to do that is the right logic.
- 3 I'm glad to see that they adopted the recommendation we made about rolling over some of the
- 4 square footage. Particularly because it might create a more sort of market sensitive scenario.
- 5 We have seen a drop-in development recently and that's sort of how it works; it's boom and
- 6 bust. So, if we want an average of 50,000 a year, then the notion that we would have a rollover
- 7 process that would accommodate larger numbers in years that buildings occur... A building
- 8 development occurs, then lower numbers otherwise suggest that we could achieve that
- 9 average. I thought that was a good direction too.
- And finally, the review process, I'll just make the comment that I made before in case Council
- considers change the direction that the Staff has brought. Which is that the beauty pageant
- 12 process is problematic because it injects a lot of uncertainty into the "Palo Alto Process". So, in
- 13 addition to spending the capital on creating and designing a project, once you get into the
- 14 queue, regardless of whether you are the first or the last, you have to wait a certain amount of
- 15 time and you aren't particularly clear or sure how your project would get evaluated; which is
- the sort of uncertainty that essentially scares people away from trying things. And so, I'm very
- 17 happy to see that they moved towards a first come, first serve process and I think that will
- achieve their goal and so I will be supporting the motion with those comments.
- 19 Chair Lauing: Commissioner [Note Vice Chair] Monk.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Vice Chair Monk: I agree with Commissioner Waldfogel that this is an issue that's been
- 2 discussed and I was happy to have him bring the motion early on. Although I think the
- 3 discussion needs to continue a little bit more before moving to a vote.
- 4 I'm happy to see the ordinance did account for all of the suggestions that were made by PTC
- 5 and Council and those that were again focused on a little bit more today that we just heard
- 6 from. The ordinance as Interim Ordinance has certainly achieved its objective and kind of was a

There was a letter that was included in our packet, it's on Packet Page 58, that really resonated

7 pilot in that it effectively halted development.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

the office space.

with me. And I think that when we're enacting legislation, we need to look at what is the goal and the purpose of it? This Interim Ordinance has achieved the stated goal so to make it permanent to me isn't fulfilling any ongoing or present need. I'm leaning towards making the recommendation for Council to consider extending it in the format that it is or if we want to make some additional modifications to it, for another year passed the June expiration date. And then reevaluating if we want to make it permanent at that time. I just don't see the need to make it permanent right now and the comments that I was referring too, that are Page 56, is that there really hasn't been any building boom that's justifying an annual permanent cap and that there isn't any reason to extend it City-wide. And also, it's the wrong tool to solve our traffic and parking problems because I think at the end of the day, this was enacted more as a

response to preventing the impact of traffic and parking versus actual housing of businesses in

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 So, that would be my position, I don't know if Commission Waldfogel would be amenable to
- 2 advising that we extend it as Interim Ordinance for another year past versus making it
- 3 permanent at this point.
- 4 Commissioner Waldfogel: No.
- 5 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Then I'd like to move to (interrupted)
- 6 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Commissioner [Note-Vice Chair] Monk, may I suggest alternative
- 7 language to the interim?
- 8 Vice Chair Monk: Sure.
- 9 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Yeah, I would just suggest that instead of using the term interim, maybe
- 10 you could insert sort of a sunset because I think there's a legal significant to interim. And as the
- 11 result, the interim will sunset and there has to be a non-interim ordinance. So, maybe we could
- 12 just include language that the ordinance sunsets after a given period of time and if not
- 13 reviewed.
- 14 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> That the permanent ordinance would sunset after a period of time?
- 15 <u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Yeah, I don't know... I think there's (interrupted)
- 16 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> I would support this motion of it... Yeah, if this (interrupted)
- 17 Commissioner Alcheck: I think there's a legal thing about (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Vice Chair Monk: If there's a way for this ordinance to be permanent for two years or what...
- 2 some defined period. I just don't know what the impact is of having it become permanent and
- 3 what (interrupted)
- 4 Chair Lauing: Council (interrupted)
- 5 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> The process would be to undo it.
- 6 Chair Lauing: Council should come [unintelligible] this.
- 7 Mr. Albert Yang, Senior Deputy Attorney: Sure, so City Council ordinance is... Kind has sunset
- 8 dates. The... I think what Commissioner Alcheck is referring to is a limitation that's placed on
- 9 urgency ordinances. Those are ordinances that are passed without the same level of process.
- 10 There's a limit of two years length for an urgency ordinance. We adopted an urgency ordinance
- 11 I believe on a separate topic around the same time as we adopted this Interim Office Ordinance
- but the office limit was not an urgency ordinance. It could be extended for a year or it could
- also a new ordinance that has a sunset date and both of those options are available.
- 14 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Commissioner Alcheck, is there an alternate motion that you'd like to advance
- or is there's a way that (interrupted)
- 16 Chair Lauing: Well, hang on, you're making a motion and then we need a seconder. Are you
- 17 seconding it?

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Alcheck: I would second your motion if.... Presumably, if it was this draft
- 2 ordinance but with a sunset, I would second it.
- 3 Vice Chair Monk: Well, given what we just heard from counsel Commissioner Waldfogel, is
- 4 there any modification to your motion you'd accept?
- 5 <u>Commissioner Waldfogel:</u> I don't think any modification is necessary. We have a political
- 6 process to revise this if a future Council wants to change it so I don't think we need to stipulate
- 7 any kind of sunsetting process.
- 8 Vice Chair Monk: I would move to make the permanent ordinance (interrupted)
- 9 Mr. Lait: So, I... So, we have a motion on the table already (interrupted)
- 10 Vice Chair Monk: Right so I (interrupted)
- 11 Mr. Lait: So, chair we would either be (interrupted)
- 12 Chair Lauing: Amendment.
- 13 Mr. Lait: Doing a substitute (interrupted)
- 14 Vice Chair Monk: This would be a substitute motion then.
- 15 Mr. Lait: Motion or alternatively, you can make the... Request amendment to the motion that's
- on the table. I think that (interrupted)

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Vice Chair Monk: I've attempted to make some amendments to the motion that Commissioner
- 2 Waldfogel is not amendable too so this has to now be a substitute motion.
- 3 Mr. Lait: It actually doesn't.
- 4 Chair Lauing: No.
- 5 Mr. Lait: You've attempted to get a friendly amendment or what we casually call a friendly
- 6 amendment where the maker and the seconder agree to the amendment. In instances where
- 7 they don't agree to the amendment, you can still propose an amendment and then that would
- 8 be voted on by the Commission. So, it sounds like you're interested in approving the ordinance
- 9 (interrupted)
- 10 <u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Oh, so you're advising that I propose an amendment that gets voted on versus
- it getting accepted by the maker of the motion.
- 12 Mr. Lait: Yes.
- 13 Vice Chair Monk: Then depending on that outcome, we would determine if I would want to
- 14 make a substitute motion. (crosstalk)
- 15 Mr. Lait: I think if they vote down the amendment, that questions been asked and answered.
- 16 They're substantively the same thing so if... It sounds like based on the comments that we've

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 heard a moment ago, that there's interest in having some kind of a sunset clause after a period 2 of time. So, you would stipulate that period of time that you would like to see a sunset clause. 3 Vice Chair Monk: Yeah, I'd like to make a record of that and if it gets defeated (interrupted) 4 Mr. Lait: Great. 5 Vice Chair Monk: We can go back to the original motion and [unintelligible](crosstalk) 6 Chair Lauing: So, that's your amendment and then that's been seconded and how long do you 7 want the sunset to be? Vice Chair Monk: For twelve months after. It expires June 30th of this year correct? 8 9 Mr. Lait: Well, let's just say 12-months because when this one gets adopted, it's not precisely 10 going to line up to that date. We have an interim one that's going to expire, this one is going to 11 replace that interim one at some point. 12 13 **UNFRIENDLY AMENDMENT #1** 14 Vice Chair Monk: Ok, if it's... Well, I don't know if it's cleaner to say let's accept this and have it 15 sunset in a year from when it gets adopted. 16 1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Lait: Ok.
2	<u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> If that's cleaner, we will go with that.
3	Mr. Lait: Let's go with that.
4	Commissioner Riggs: I might suggest (crosstalk)
5	<u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Do we have support for that?
6	<u>Chair Lauing:</u> So Yeah because you need to sign off on the language or no.
7	<u>Commissioner Alcheck:</u> Yeah, was the original Interim Ordinance twenty-four months?
8	Mr. Yang: I believe the Interim Ordinance was originally twenty-four months and then it was
9	extended another six months or so.
10	Commissioner Alcheck: So, respectfully I would just suggest we just treat twenty-four months
11	as a time period if that's ok with you as opposed to twelve months?
12	<u>Vice Chair Monk:</u> Sure.
13	
14	SECOND
15	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Commissioner Alcheck: Ok, yeah, I'll second the amendment or the substitute motion, however
- 2 you want to treat it.
- 3 <u>Chair Lauing:</u> So, the amendment is now twenty-four-month sunset. I'm paraphrasing here.
- 4 Commissioner Alcheck: The idea being we could just review this to see the impacts whether
- 5 they've achieved the goal or not.
- 6 Chair Lauing: Ok, are there other comments on this amendment now before I (interrupted)
- 7 Commissioner Riggs: I have a general comment that's been on for a long time.
- 8 <u>Chair Lauing:</u> Yeah, ok, go ahead Commissioner Riggs.
- 9 Commissioner Riggs: I'll wait till the vote, we have to vote on this.
- 10 Chair Lauing: That's what I was going to do but I thought it was more urgent. Alright so is there
- anybody who wants to speak to this motion [note-amendment] that's on the table because the
- 12 lights are a little confused now? No other comments on that motion [note-amendment]?
- 13 Commissioner Alcheck.
- 14 Commissioner Alcheck: I'll just be abundantly clear, I actually support the motion on the table. I
- am suggesting that we re-review is a healthy practice with respect to moratoriums and caps in
- 16 general. Because they have... They are the strongest tools we have in our land use policy and

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	it's When using tools like that, it's good to engage in reoccurring review I think. Rather than
2	waiting till it comes to a head and then dealing with it then.
3	
3	
4	VOTE
5	
6	Chair Lauing: If there are no further comments on that, we're going to vote on the amendment
7	which is to do a two-year sunset clause. All in favor of that amendment raise your hand, please.
8	One, two, three and opposed? One, two, three, four.
9	
10	UNFRIENDLY AMENDMENT FAILS WITH A VOTE OF 3-4
11	
12	Chair Lauing: Ok so we're back on the discussion of the motion and Commissioner Riggs is up.
13	Commissioner Riggs: Oh, well I just I actually have to just put on the record that I haven't been
14	on the Commission for this process but had I been on the Commission, I would have strongly
15	advised against renewing the office cap. And I would have suggested that we not peruse this
16	and I'll say there are three reasons why I won't support this recommended, be respective of the
17	timeline. And first, General Plan as an office cap, a cap is a cap, we undermined the General
	1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided

time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provide that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

 $^{{\}bf 2.\ The\ Chair\ may\ limit\ Oral\ Communications\ to\ 30\ minutes\ for\ all\ combined\ speakers.}$

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Plan by this type of moratorium, that's my belief. Second, City's do a terrible job of predicting
- the market. We'd like to think that they... the market does a nice little rolling average, we do a
- 3 terrible job of predicting that and I do not believe that this is the best way to legislate the
- 4 market economy. And then third, I... What I do think this... I agree with Commissioner [Note -
- 5 Vice Chair Monk that this was primarily not... Primarily directed at kind of the housing and
- 6 transportation impacts of office. So, I think this, as a policy, undermines what our policy focus
- 7 should be which is actually [unintelligible] a little bit of the responsibility of basically developing
- 8 these hyper-aggressive housing and transportation policy and investment. So, I do not support
- 9 this cap and generally, I think they're a bad idea.
- 10 Chair Lauing: Commissioner Gardias.
- 11 <u>Commissioner Gardias:</u> Thank you. So, yeah, interesting comments so I totally agree with the
- 12 fellow Commissioner that it skews the market but this ordinance was put in place pretty much
- 13 to reduce office development. And from just what we heard, had a positive impact on the
- 14 housing development because, after the first year of it's existence, we noticed that office
- development went down significantly. We were under the limit of 50,000 a lot and from this,
- we heard instead... I'm sorry?
- 17 <u>Commissioner Riggs:</u> I appreciate it but I don't know the history of it if you're talking to me. I
- appreciate you trying to do that but I know the history of this.
- 19 Commissioner Gardias: Ok.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Commissioner Riggs: Thank you.
2	Commissioner Gardias: I was just pretty much making the point that with this I support with
3	understanding the history behind this ordinance, I support its existence. And I think we have
4	exchanged lots of arguments so I will be voting for this. I have only one ask to the Staff if I can
5	say this because there may be an impact on the developers that would be submitting at the
6	same time applications. They pretty much need to be clearly communicated that one of their
7	applications may be rejected because on the first in, first out rule. Thank you.
8	
9	VOTE
.0	
.1	<u>Chair Lauing:</u> Alright, if there are no further comments, we'd like to vote on the motion as
.2	stated in the packet. Are there any questions on what the motion is? All in favor of supporting
.3	that raise your hand. One, two, three, four and opposed? Three. Ok, thank you.
.4	
.5	MOTION PASSED WITH A VOTE OF 4-3(Alcheck, Monk, Riggs)
.6	
.7	Commissioner Alcheck: Do you mind I few speak to the dissent?
	1 Challesparsons that are representing a group of five or more popula who are identified as present at the meeting at the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Chair Lauing: Sure.
- 2 <u>Commissioner Alcheck: I'll just reiterate, I support this idea with limits and hope Council</u>
- 3 considers that.
- 4 <u>Chair Lauing:</u> Any other dissenters want to add to that or no? Ok. Oh, Commissioner [Note-Vice
- 5 Chair Monk.
- 6 Vice Chair Monk: I'll just make one comment that I didn't ask the guestion earlier about what
- 7 effect this would have down the road. It's effectively halted office development which it's fine. I
- 8 think it's what we needed to do certainly for the time being for the next couple years but again,
- 9 we don't know what the future holds. And if we do want to have less impact in our
- 10 neighborhoods with people coming in and out, it would seem to me that office development in
- our core areas that are near mass transit is where we would want to concentrate. And if this
- 12 50,000-square foot limit is preventing that, that seems to go counter to what we'd like to
- accomplish in terms of minimizing traffic and impact to our neighborhoods. Thank you.
- 14 Chair Lauing: With that, we close that item and move onto agenda item number four.

16

17

18

19

3. PUBLIC HEARING: Recommendation to the City Council Regarding the Adoption of an Ordinance Amending Palo Alto Municipal Code (PAMC) Title 18 (Zoning) to Add a New Chapter 18.30(K) (Affordable Housing Combining District) to Promote the Development of 100% Affordable Housing Projects Located Within One-Half Mile of a Major Transit Stop or High-Quality Transit Corridor, by Providing Flexible Development Standards and Modifying the Uses Allowed in the Commercial Districts

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

and Subdistricts. CEQA: This Ordinance is Within the Scope of the Comprehensive Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Certified and Adopted on November 13, 2017 by Council Resolution Nos. 9720 and 9721. For More Information Contact Graham Owen at Graham.Owen@cityofpaloalto.org.

5

8

9

1

2

3

4

6 <u>Commission Action:</u> Motion made by Vice Chair Monk to end the meeting at 9:30 and start the

7 March 14th meet at 5:30pm to allow for four hours of discussion and have any study sessions

after any actionable items. Motione seconded by Commissioner Alcheck, motion passed 5-1-

1(Commissioner Gardias Opposed, Commissioner Riggs abstained)

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Graham Owen, Planner: Alright, good evening members of the Planning and Transportation

Commission (PTC), my name is Graham Owen, I'm the planner that's working on this ordinance

that's before you today. This is an ordinance to create a new combining district that we're

calling the Affordable Housing (AH) Combining District. The intent of this ordinance is to

provide relaxed development standards for 100 percent affordable projects that are located

within transit served areas.

18

19

20

21

22

23

Just for a little bit of background the AH ordinance is a component of the recently approved

Housing Work Plan that the Council looked at, thank you, on Monday and that you have before

you as well. It also implements many of the near term as we're calling them policies and

programs of the Comprehensive Plan and in particular the Housing Element of the

Comprehensive Plan which I have listed here. Among those in the Land Use Element we have a

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

program of exploring mechanisms for increasing multi-family housing near dense... increasing multi-family housing density near multi-modal transit centers, create opportunities for new mixed-use development consisting of housing and retail, and in the Housing Element to allow for higher density residential development considering, excuse me, consider amending the zoning code to permit high density residential and mixed-use or single use projects in commercial areas within one half a mile of fixed rail stations and to allow limited exceptions to the 50 foot height limit for Housing Element sites within one quarter mile of fixed rail stations. And lastly a housing program considered density bonuses and/or concessions including allowing greater concessions for 100 percent affordable housing developments. Just also as a little bit of background we did the Council reviewed a prescreening application in August of 2017 that Palo Alto Housing which is a nonprofit housing developer in this area came forward with a proposal on El Camino Real and Wilton Avenue and so the Council in their consideration of that prescreening application several members of the Council indicated their general support for this sort of an ordinance as well.

So the ordinance that you have before you today the draft ordinance would create the district and create the new section in the zoning code. It wouldn't automatically apply it to any specific site though. That would take place once the ordinance is approved with a separate legislative action zone change to allow for a site to be combined. Additionally in terms of review once a site is zoned for AH combining districts any building that's proposed as a component of that

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 project would need to be reviewed by the Architectural Review Board (ARB) through a quasi-

2 judicial action.

So the AH combining district functions as other cities call it as an overlay zone. So it's overlaid on top of a base zone. In this case we've decided that the commercial zones at least staff has decided that the zones that would be appropriate for such an overlay are the commercial zones so the CN which is neighborhood commercial, CS service commercial, CC and CC-2 which are community commercial, and CD which is Downtown. Any eligible site within those zones would also need to be located within one half mile of a major transit station or a high quality transit corridor. That's kind of a wonky term, but it comes out of state code. Essentially it refers to fixed bus routes where you have 15 minute service intervals. So that would include the 22

So incentives, the AH combining district recognizing that there are constraints in the zoning code that prevent higher density development and in particular higher density affordable housing development does propose to relax certain development standards and retain others. Among those that would be retained are kind of the basic site planning parameters of setbacks, built to lines when applicable, and daylight plane requirements. The ordinance would also relax certain development standards for lot coverage and site coverage, usable open space, a density which is dropped entirely, and height. And then in terms of the kind of the biggest change

route on El Camino Real as well as the Marguerite Shuttle.

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

density would be reduced entirely, there would be no density requirement. Instead you'd have

an increase in the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) which would be 2:1 for residential, the residential

3 component of a project.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

5 Additionally we're looking at providing an incentive in terms of parking. The AH ordinance as

written includes a parking requirement of 0.5 per unit as well as a the requirement for a

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) plan that would be required and would be

required to have provisions that would allow for anywhere from a 45 percent reduction to a 20

percent, excuse me, a 30 percent reduction for vehicle miles, excuse me, Single Occupant

Vehicle (SOV) peak hour trips. Whenever you have a project of that sort you would need to

achieve a certain target reduction which is based on metrics in the Comp Plan. This is a change

certainly in comparison to standard multi-family parking requirements; however, when you

look at it relative to the existing density bonus requirements that we have in the code those

density bonus requirements do vary. In some instances for projects that are located near

transit you do have a 0.5 per unit in some cases you have a 0.5 per bedroom. It really depends

on the targeted population for that density bonus unit.

17

18

19

20

In terms of retail preservation the project does do two things. It's important to note that the

ordinance as written can apply to both mixed-use projects which include ground floor retail as

well as residential above as well as residential only projects. In terms of retail preservation the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

ordinance does two particular things. When you have a site that also has other combining districts on that site so such as the GF which is the ground floor combining district which is mostly Downtown as well as the R combining district which is mostly on Cal Ave. those other combining district provisions do not trump the provisions of this ordinance. So they work in tandem with them. It's a long way of saying that if you have retail that's required to be located in those other combining district sites those the provisions of those other ordinances would apply. For citywide retail preservation however we are including a way that the Council if they declare that a resale preservation reduction or waiver is in the public interest [on the that] a project could move forward based on that understanding.

Just to kind of give an example or two of how this ordinance would play out in real life in terms of the development standards and the parameters and how it relates to density bonuses which are similar in some ways, but quite different in terms of what they're actually trying to achieve I have two examples. Both half acre sites mixed-use projects, but two different zones. So this is an example of what the development standards would be for a half acre site proposing a mixed-use project in the Downtown in the CDC. So base zoning would allow for 40 dwelling units to the acre, so 20 units on a half-acre site and then you if you were going to go with the highest density bonus which is a 35 percent increase in your density that would yield 27 units. In the AH combining district if it were combined with the CDC you would have no density requirement. In terms of your FAR it wouldn't trigger an increase in FAR and the height would

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 not be affected mostly because the 50 foot high limit is what we have in the Downtown

2 already. Site coverage there is no site coverage requirement in the Downtown and the CDC so

there's no change there. In terms of parking there is the change going from standard base

zoning which requires 1.25 per studio units and 1.5 for a one bedroom unit down to 0.5 per

5 unit.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

7 In the CS zone, this is another example similar sized project of a half-acre site mixed-use

project, but in a different zone, CS zone. You have a lower density allowance in your base

zoning, 30 dwelling units to the acre or 15 acres yield. With the density bonus provisions you'd

be in entitled to 40.5 dwelling units to the acre or 20 units. Again, if a site were in the CS but

also combined with AH there would be no density requirement. Your FAR would increase from

1:1 for a project to 2:1 for the residential component and then 0.4 for the nonresidential

component. So a total combined FAR of 2.4. Site coverage would for a base zoning 50 percent

site coverage is required; the density bonus concession allows for 75 percent [less] or a 25

percent increase or excuse me, a 50 percent increase. And then the AH combining district does

not have a site coverage requirement and parking is the same as the example before.

17

18

19

20

So with that we had two kind of jumping off discussion items that I wanted to highlight. One is

as written the ordinance applies or would apply only to the commercial zones. There has been

some discussions although it was not in the ordnance here of also including other zones such as

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 the RP and the GM zone. I will say that the RP zone does allow for multi-family housing with a

2 Conditional Use Permit (CUP), but the GM zone currently does not allow for residential

3 development. Also the Comp Plan does have a policy of indicating support for specific

exemptions, the 50 foot citywide height limit for Housing Element sites that are close to transit

so it's just another thing to consider. And with that I have a couple of backup slides if... that

might be relevant, but I'll stop there and give it back to the Commission.

7

4

5

6

8 Chair Lauing: I think that slide that you called backup which we have in front of us could be

good to just point out so that we know the targeted...

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

9

Mr. Owen: Yeah, so this table shows the [unknown] (HCD) income limits. Basically for

12 affordable units you have certain criteria so the City defines affordable units as units that are

for households that have moderate, low or very low income. That's based on Area Median

Income (AMI) for the county and the folks that or the household that meet those thresholds

make this combined income for their households. So there's a number of considerations which

is that certain households have different sizes obviously and would be best suited for certain

bedroom configurations, but it is all based on the AMI and adjustments to that.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: Ok. That's all the staff report. We have a we have some public comment that I'd

2 like to move to now. The first speaker is Danny Ross and the second one is Herb Borock. We'll

3 do three minutes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

5 Danny Ross, Palo Alto Housing: Good evening, my name is Danny Ross and I'm a Senior

6 Development Manager at Palo Alto Housing. We are a local nonprofit housing developer

providing affordable housing in Palo Alto since 1970. I would like to start off by commending

staff and their hard work on the AH combining district and a thank you to the City for moving

quickly on bringing this before the PTC tonight. I'm here tonight to offer my support for this

planning tool and hope to see its implementation a smashing success. Do I think this combining

district is perfect? No, I do not. There are some things that I would change, but I want to be

clear if you recommend the current draft for approval without any modifications then I would

be among the first to congratulate and celebrate this victory with all of you. You would be

making a serious effort to advance an important planning tool quickly in the face of our grave

housing crisis.

16

17

18

19

20

The longer this drags out the longer it will take to utilize this mechanism to provide the high

quality affordable housing this community not only needs desperately, but also deserves.

Everyone deserves dignity. We've heard too many stories of two plus hour long commutes or

people living in substandard housing conditions that often end in tragedy. We know these

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

stories because these are real people in our community. We can do better; housing affects all

2 of us.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Building housing in California is challenging enough, building affordable housing in Palo Alto is becoming nearly impossible. Our project at Wilton and El Camino faces a minimum of five public hearings to make it a possibility. Recommending this overlay for approval tonight isn't going to have developers lined up to buy land and start building all over town. We still have a long way to go. Frankly if our property at Wilton wasn't under our control I don't believe we'd buy the land today. The retail preservation ordinance is problematic for affordable housing development. The entitlement process is both difficult and risky. Funding sources are an ongoing and increasingly complex challenge today. There are lost opportunity costs as an organization and there's fierce opposition. I understand that our opposition only wants the best for our community. This is our common ground even though we may stand divided on many issues. What may seemingly make sense today may not support a successful Palo Alto of I urge this Commission to look at expanding flexibility within code and tomorrow. recommending that Council take a hard look at the retail preservation ordinance when affordable housing is proposed beyond the ongoing discretionary waiver from Council. It is my hope that people look back on the decisions we make here with great pride and promise for a better future. Thank you very much.

²⁰

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Chair Lauing: Thank you. Mr. Borock and next up Steve Levy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

Herb Borock: Chair Lauing and Commissioners, good evening. I submitted a letter this afternoon that you have at your places. This ordinance proposal comes to you following a prescreening application last year from the from Palo Alto Housing in which this type of ordinance was one of three options that staff presented in their staff report at that time. The ordinance although it's being presented as something that should be done because this is something that Palo Alto Housing wants provides more than they've asked for. Palo Alto Housing after meeting with the neighbors came in with a project proposal that would be FAR of 2.0 including the retail. They told the Council that if they had to make do the 100 percent retail that the project wouldn't work because they can't get funding such as limited equity tax credits for that. However now we're coming in a proposal which would be in the zoning code that they could do 2.5 and they wouldn't have to agree to do what they agreed to do with the neighbors because it would be a project that they'd be entitled to solely subject to design review by the ARB subject to appeal. It's also been suggested that it apply everywhere. So what we're essentially doing is taking in this proposal an ordinance that has been allegedly crafted because it would implement a particular project and saying that it should be available for everybody.

18

19

20

I don't believe it should be applied to either the RP or GM zones for the reasons stated in my letter and there is code language I believe which more explicitly references that last slide in the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 presentation on income it limits and family size rather than the cryptic reference that you have

2 now to a single sentence relating to the specific words affordable housing essentially and I

believe that exists elsewhere in the code and should also be apply for in this case. You also

have before you letters from people in the neighborhood are requesting more time and I

believe just on the issues that I've raised that that's not something you can do on the fly, but

you need to take the time to deliberate. That being said as I said in the first sentence I support

a zoning district or combining district for 100 percent affordable housing. Thank you.

8

3

4

5

6

7

9 Chair Lauing: Thank you. Just for the public record we have no proposal in front of us or no

insight into what that proposal is, so just to be on the record. Mr. Levy and then Mr. [Levinsky].

11

13

14

10

12 Steve Levy: Hi, this is a little hard for me, but I'm going to read a letter signed by Bonnie Packer

the President of the League of Women Voters. I am the Chair of their Housing and

Transportation Committee. This letter was approved by our committee and by the board on

which I serve.

16

17

15

Chair Lauing: And we received it, just to confirm.

18

19

20

Mr. Levy: Good. The League of Women Voters supports efforts by the City to increase the

supply of housing for all particularly for households with lower income. The AH combining

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

district draft ordinance will go a long way to increase the supply of affordable housing in Palo

2 Alto and the League urges you to recommend its adoption to the City Council. However, in the

interest of ensuring more affordable housing opportunities and units we also urge you to

consider the following changes to the draft ordinance.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

3

4

6 One, expand the scope of the combining district to include the research park and general

manufacturing districts. Two, include language that allows some flexibility regarding the half

mile distance from the transit corridor. The language major transit stop or high quality transit

corridor is too limiting and should be broader in scope. Three, allow the Planning Director to

approve increases in the FAR and height where appropriate particularly in the areas around

University and California Avenue. Four, allow the Planning Director to waive the retail

requirement in all districts. Retail usually requires more parking which is expensive to provide;

moreover, the presence of retail in the affordable housing project severely complicates the

funding opportunities thus the retail requirement may make an affordable housing project

infeasible even with the benefits of the combining district. For the last two bullets the League

believes that it's important to streamline the entitlement process. To do so the Planning

Director not the City Council should have the authority to approve increases to FAR and height

and to waive retail requirements.

19

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 For the last 30 seconds and I'll speak as an individual and former member of the Citizens

2 Advisory Committee (CAC). Flexibility for 100 percent BMR projects was the most unanimous

3 housing policy that we adopted. This ordinance precludes no rights, all the sites and the

decisions go to Council so it's an enabling not a prescriptive ordinance and I support what

Danny Ross says when I do my work I go to the experts on what's the feasibility of low income

6 projects. Thank you.

7

4

5

8 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Thank you. Mr. [Levinsky] is up.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

No first name given. Levinsky: Good evening, Commissioners and staff. I'm glad the chart's up there because one of the points I'd like to make about is who is this project? Who is this zoning for? And you've heard people describe it as BMR, but if you look up there you'll see that these projects would apply to people like for a one person in a household someone who makes in the \$90,000 a year range. I think what people are wanting is something for people who make a lot less money than that so that they have good affordable housing options. And my worry is that what you're going to be doing here is enabling developers to go out and buy land not nonprofits trying to help people with very low income. You're going to be enabling developers to go out and buy properties and bid up the prices of those properties in order to build more expensive housing that meets these moderate income levels which are substantial. And so that will actually take away what we are trying to achieve from with this proposal.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

4

5

6

7

2 I'm also concerned about the parking. There's been no rational basis presented for the drastic

3 lack of parking and I'd like to point out that the City parking rules at the by for the 0.5 per

household or 0.5 per bedroom those are based on low income, they're not based on this

moderate income level at all. I did some research and I found other cities have looked at this

and they find that people in these upper income with higher income have cars and they're not

going to be able to handle a half parking space per unit which is what is being proposed here.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

I have concerns about the height rules. As I understand it if you live in a one story home you

could find next door to you a 50 foot building and the only protection would be daylighting

which means that the 50 foot part would be several dozen feet away from the property line.

There's I think that needs a lot of discussion as to whether that amount of intrusion on other

residences is appropriate. And one thing you could simply do is say let's keep the current rules

for how heights work between different zones in place.

15

16

17

18

19

20

And finally I think there's a big need to rebuild credibility in this community over projects like

the Palo Alto Housing Corporation has brought before. You probably all know what happened

to their previous proposal. And much of that stemmed around the issue of had they really

looked at the traffic issues and dealt with that, in this case we're talking about parking issues,

we're talking about height issues and I hope that you will give that full discussion and not

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 rubber stamp this, but rather really try to craft something that will gain large support. Thank

2 you.

3

5

6

7

8

9

4 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Ok, thank you. That concludes the public comment. So we want to go to the

Commission now unless there's anything that you folks need to add from staff, no? Excuse me.

I think it would be really good with something that's this complex for us all to go through with

any comments that we want to make before we move to a Motion. So I'd like to do that by just

asking somebody if they'd like to start it off and we'll use the light system and I'd like to suggest

both questions as well as comments and hopefully we can get through this in one round, but

we'll see when that's left over. Ok? Alright. So first up is Commissioner Alcheck.

11

12

10

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah if you don't mind before I comment do you mind if I ask a question

13 of the?

14

15

Chair Lauing: Yeah, that's fine. We just don't want to get into a dialogue, but certainly.

16

17

18

19

20

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah, that's fine. Would you mind actually coming forward, I have a

question for you. I was hoping that you could spend a minute or two sharing with us sort of the

change that may or may not have taken place in the last couple months as a result of the tax bill

or whatnot. How it may have affected or in general any of the changes that happened in the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

last few months that may have affected sort of how you guys come up with your money. I'm 1 2 trying to better understand what it means to develop 100 percent affordable project and who 3 would do that. I was hoping you could touch on that. 4 5 Mr. Ross: Sure. 6 7 Chair Lauing: Are you talking about the law? 8 9 Commissioner Alcheck: I'm trying to understand just from his perspective, I guess his 10 employer's perspective what's in... how they finance their development. 11 12 Chair Lauing: Ok, ok so this is not about a project. Ok. 13 Commissioner Alcheck: No, no. No, not at all. Just generally I'm curious if you wouldn't mind 14 15 sharing some insight there. 16 17 Mr. Ross: I'd be happy to. So the vast majority of our funding for these projects are tax credits 18 and it's actually 60 percent of the AMI is what is needed for tax credits. Anything above that 19 does not qualify. So that makes up the bulk of our funding for a project and essentially the way that works is huge banks have a big tax liability and that's offset through something that's 20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	allowed in the code, tax code by virtue of buying these tax credits. So they infuse our project
2	with a lot of capital. It doesn't cover the full cost of the project so there's still a remaining gap
3	and that's where we usually go to the cities, counties, and so forth to fill that remaining gap. So
4	that's kind of in a nutshell if that helps.
5	
6	Commissioner Alcheck: Is your I mean do does your do you guys share information on sort
7	of your abilities to provide housing? I mean is there is it do you share with the public in
8	essence whether you can build 100 units a year or like what? It doesn't seem like there's a lot
9	of competition so I'm just curious to know if there is.
10	
11	Mr. Ross: So I think locally there isn't as much competition because of the Palo Alto Process
12	being as difficult as it is, but there is certainly a lot of Bay Area nonprofit developers. There's
13	BRIDGE Housing, there's Eden, there's MidPen and they're pretty competitive. They haven't
14	been super active here. I think it was Eden Housing built the last one?
15	
16	Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah.
17	
18	Mr. Ross: That was a while back.
19	
20	Commissioner Alcheck: Ok, thank you.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	<u>Vice-Chair Monk</u> : So in general?
3	
4	Chair Lauing: There is no site.
5	
6	<u>Vice-Chair Monk</u> : In general.
7	
8	Mr. Ross: There isn't a site. We haven't identified a site in the City limits in a long time. We've
9	had brokers approach us with other sites that we would feel are viable, but they have existing
10	retail and because of that we are not willing to explore that further.
11	
12	<u>Vice-Chair Monk</u> : Thank you.
13	
14	Chair Lauing: Ok. Ok, go ahead.
15	
16	Commissioner Summa: Just curious what range of low income in terms of percentage of AMI do
17	your housing sites in Palo Alto serve?
18	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Ross: It really varies, but by and large it's 30 to 60 percent so that we are able to get tax 2 credits. We also administer the BMR program which hits some of those higher ranges. So we 3 pretty much cover the full spectrum inside of what's considered affordable. 4 5 Commissioner Summa: Ok, thank you. 6 7 Chair Lauing: Thank you. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry there's one more from Commissioner Gardias. 8 9 Commissioner Gardias: Thank you. I had my light on so. 10 11 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Yeah, thanks for the assist Commissioner Waldfogel. Ok. 12 13 Commissioner Gardias: So just going back to the question that Commissioner Alcheck asked, 14 right? Because there was an article in New York Times which I shared a link to with the 15 Commission a couple of weeks ago about propensity to develop affordable housing by corporations like yourself, nonprofit corporations. So the argument was that because of the 16
- lower tax rates now it will be pretty much impossible to build BMR because there would be no incentive for the developers. Could you just walk us through it? What is truly the rationale after the Tax reform?

1 Construence that are representing a group of five or many possible who are identified as any

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Ross: Sure, so the feedback that we've been getting prior to this recent tax code change is
2	that we were getting a dollar per tax credit so that ends up being more money. Right now
3	we're pricing it at about ninety five cents. The big banks have a community requirement that
4	they engage in this sort of tax credit program essentially. So there's still a big demand because
5	there are these big banks that are big players in the Bay Area so they're still interested. They're
6	still investors in the tax credit program. So it hasn't died, it hasn't it's diminished slightly.
7	
8	Commissioner Gardias: So just taking your comments at face value pretty much the profit or the
9	attractiveness to the banks is lowered by 5 percent, 5 percentage points. Is this right?
10	
11	Mr. Ross: Roughly I guess, yeah. It's not like that direct. It's a little bit more complicated.
12	
13	Commissioner Gardias: Ok and I think that attractiveness of the BMR units for the banks was
14	talking about 20 around 20 percent of the return on the dollar. Is this correct?
15	
16	Chair Lauing: Good.
17	
18	Mr. Ross: Sorry, I don't know.
19	
20	[Unintelligible-Crosstalk]

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

become feasible under this ordinance still need to apply for a specific site and go through the

PTC and Council approval process for the opportunity of being reviewed. And I am supportive

19

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

of this ordinance, but I also want to just highlight that this is a great idea, but its execution it could be considered problematic because it just creates a little bit more process. So instead of simply designing a project under the zoning that is applicable a perspective developer would have to first ascertain whether or not they that site would be approved by the sort of Commission and Council and numerous and reviews. And so what that does is it kind of bifurcates this process. You actually have to apply I guess... would be appropriate to say would be like a zoning map change? Yeah, so you have to go through a zoning map change, right? And if the site wasn't owned by a non for profit developer then they would have to in theory tie up the site, pursue as zoning map amendment, theoretically now be eligible for these new criteria then presumably design a project maybe, they had a concept then they spend the and then go through the process to make sure that the ARB, the PTC, and the Council is willing to accept what new exceptions to the rules they've just designed.

I don't know if you guys watched the meeting on Monday night? I did. The elephant in the room is this concept of Not In My Back Yard (NIMBY)-ism that's a problem that every community in the Bay Area is dealing with. I characterize it as local resistance to housing development and I just want to say this is a politically rational problem, right? It's not irrational. The opponents of house building of housing development building are far more politically active, infinitely better organized than those who stand to gain from this new affordable housing. In theory the planning process should mediate between these divergent

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

participant in a planning process is a well to do homeowner strongly averse to changes in their surroundings, time rich, opinionated, and articulate. You won't hear voices tonight unfortunately from low income renters, low Income home desiring owners, nor will you hear tonight from young adults who can't afford to move out of their parents' home let alone people lingering on a social housing waiting list. This is a tug of war of sorts, but one in which one team

is not even grabbing their end of the rope.

And I say this because I will support this combining district, but I want us to be aware that it's a well-intentioned idea that creates another process that I don't know will succeed at achieving the goal. I hope it does. I think at this point the members of our community who are interested in this will take whatever they can get and I'm happy to be a part of getting that for them because I think it's good planning.

To get into specifics I absolutely agree that we should expand the scope of the district to include the research park and general manufacturing districts. I can't see why we wouldn't especially considering that each site would still need to be reviewed by the PTC and the City Council. So what risk could there possibly be in allowing more sites to be eligible for more review? That would be my first point.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

I think we should include language that allows flexibility regarding the one half mile distance from transit. I think to be specific I think there is a paragraph in the staff report regarding sort of an alternative I didn't quite understand it, page Packet Page 155. The standards that are relaxed relative to the base commercial zoning standards this is the top of the page, last sentence of the first paragraph: include lot coverage, usable open space, required parking at maximum residential density the last of which would be eliminated in favor of a 50 foot height limit and maximum residential FAR. I don't, I tried to read that like four times. I don't understand what that sentence says. Before you clarify and I'm happy to have you clarify it, I guess my and you can clarify it in response to this statement, my preference would be that we do give our department greater flexibility to allow certain exceptions. On Monday night our Council spoke in a round table that some could suggest was tense and there was this acknowledgment of maybe we should increase the... there was this odd discussion about increasing the ratio of affordable housing units in projects. And that discussion raised on the minds of other Council Members the notion well would you... I mean if you're going to increase that component of this would you consider flexibility with respect to height, density, and parking because all of these elements are related. The costs that companies like Palo Alto Housing Corps face are complex and every restriction, every restrict, every added requirement requires an equal or greater amount of flexibility on a second component to make the project again feasible. I'm scared that we are doing a lot of talking about this and not a lot of helping. So I would encourage that that change be made as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Finally, I couldn't agree more I think that the notion that these projects be able to waive some of the I believe retail requirements the I guess would be first floor retail requirements. When we first reviewed the retail, what's it called the Retail Preservation Ordinance one of our biggest questions was if you've got a retailer on the first floor and a housing developer comes in and wants to develop a mixed-use building above it and they start to utilize some of that first floor for access to the housing whether it's an elevator, a bike garage, a locker or a mailbox area does that cause a problem with the retail preservation ordinance because certain square feet of retail have now been lost and does it make the project infeasible. So I think a lot of flexibility should be provided and I'm not suggesting a lot of flexibility for market rate housing, we're talking about the like golden calf of BMR housing, 100 percent affordable project. This is not a for profit developer. This is a not for profit developer. So again, I don't think that these suggestions are extreme as they relate to this particular concept.

So anyways I'd like to applaud this effort on the part of staff. I think there are areas and you've suggested them, you even sort of invited us to discuss them and I think that the League of Women Voters did a good job of encouraging us to think about those things and I hope they do the same when it goes before Council. I think that it would be very prudent for us to move this item at the conclusion of tonight's discussion and I think this sort of flexibility may be the kind

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

of the beginning of our experimentation with new options on how to encourage this sort of

2 housing.

3

4 Chair Lauing: Commissioner Waldfogel.

5

6 <u>Commissioner Waldfogel</u>: Thanks. First of all thanks, Graham for the presentation and the

7 work. It's always a pleasure to see that.

tonight. So I'll just put that out there.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

made, but I may put a little different spin on a couple of them. I mean I think that creating a framework for developing low income housing is an urgent priority and it's clearly not easy to deliver low income and affordable housing in an area where land prices are as expensive as they are here. I think they may be among the highest in the country. I will step back for one second though and I'll say that when Commissioner Rosenblum, when former Commissioner Rosenblum and I spent a few months on an ad hoc working on impact fees what we heard from market rate developers over and over was that development standards weren't really their

issue. Their issue was Palo Alto process and I think we heard a few comments about that

tonight as well. That seems like something we should take head on, but I think it's off topic for

So have to I agree with several of the comments that my colleague Commissioner Alcheck just

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

I do think we need to study this a little deeper. I think we've heard a bunch of different numbers tonight. From Mr. Ross I think we heard targeting 30 to 60 percent of AMI for his clients or for his, Palo Alto Housing's clients. I think that the ordinance in front of us goes up 120 percent of AMI. So there's a bit of a gap between those two groups. And what that leads me to I'm just going to give you a list of things that I think we should study in more detail if we really want this to work because the last thing I want to do is to proceed with something that doesn't accomplish the goals.

So I think we it's a longish list. We need to study which household income groups that we are prioritizing. I mean it could be 120, it could be I mean your chart does 75/50, it could be 30 to 60, but we just need some prioritization on what we're who we're going after. We also need some ethnographic targets. Are we going after singles? Are we going after... are we try to serve singles, families, working couples, seniors? I mean who are we really trying to help because the unit mix and the amenities mix will be different for different target ethnographics. So we again we need to understand that so that we're getting that right. I think some of that will be up to the developers, but we have to create the right frameworks that the right things can happen.

I think that several people have alluded to the question of economic models and I think we need to study, I think we need a study session on affordability. I mean at the end of the day we

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 have to find a way to deliver units in the \$250,000 to \$500,000 per unit unit cost to achieve the

2 affordability targets. And I think we need to know how do the knobs work? Is it the land cost,

3 is it zoning, is it interest rates, is it tax credits or tax codes? Is it parking, is it retail? Does an

4 overlay work if it's competing with a market rate base zoning? I mean we just we really need to

understand these things. See how the knobs work and really we have to do that in the light of

6 day in a public session.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

5

8 On the design side I think we need a community discussion. I mean is a zero set back 50 foot

height the right answer? Does that address... the is that needed for the goals that we're setting

out? I think that we need to run some kind of sure [unintelligible] with massing studies and

look at context in the different districts. I mean it could be that 50 foot to lot line is the right

answer from a massing standpoint; could be in some districts that lower front or rear heights

and some stepped heights are the right answer. I'm not going to speculate about what the

right answer is, but I think we need to we'd need to look at this and look at this in some visual

way.

16

17

18

19

20

On the retail component again I think this is district specific. There's context in different

districts. If this happens in the Cal Ave. district where there's a retail pattern that would be a

different context then if it's happening on El Camino where there may be a less predominant

retail pattern. So I think we'd just have to look at this district by district rather than saying hey

_

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 this is purely discretionary and we have to understand the impact of retail on the economic

2 models. You know RP and GM zone again we should just look at district applicability. I think

those are all good ideas, but we need to look at the maps and look at how this happens.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

3

5 Finally, this is question of pilots. I mean it sounds like this the impetus for this is enabling one

6 project that we can't speak of because we don't actually know anything about... at least those

of us here on the dais don't know anything about the specific project. We've heard some

speculative comments from Mr. Ross that this probably wouldn't enable other projects for Palo

Alto Housing because they wouldn't go because even with this they wouldn't go after new

parcels or they wouldn't even repeat the acquisition of a parcel they own. So if that's true we

really have to understand what kind of pilot are we running? I mean is this a real pilot and if it's

a pilot how do we collect the data? I mean is a citywide ordinance the best way to run a pilot?

We had this debate at our last meeting I don't think we should reiterate it.

14

15

16

So those are my comments. I have a I'll have a more concrete proposal to make on what we

should do about those things after other people have a chance to speak.

17

18

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Summa.

19

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Commissioner Summa: Thank you and thank you for coming tonight members of the public and

2 for all the letters we got and for staff report. And it's really great to see is making some

progress on affordable housing. It was a very big in this Comp Plan process it was a big priority

and it's a priority for the Council.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

6 So I agree with some of my colleagues' comments. I agree that one of the groups we haven't

heard from are the people that live in affordable housing in Palo Alto and that want to live in

affordable housing and that's really missing for me. I agree with Commissioner Waldfogel's

comments because this staff report to me was vague and didn't have enough data to really

understand what we're doing and I have some of the concerns about impacts of that. And I

would think we would want to actually encourage the very low end not housing above the area

median because I think with the housing prices as they, with the rental prices as they are that

once you get up to a certain level it's going to be basically like at 120 AMI it's going to be

basically market rate. So and I think we also need very clearly to identify the size. The number

of bedrooms is not clearly indicated, are we talking studios and one bedrooms only? Are we

talking about family housing? Those things all make a difference.

17

18

19

20

And I have we have a lot of letters from people that are gravely concerned about impacts of

and I think one of the problems that we face I think is that if we make this the imp... the

negative impacts and I think those would largely be development standards most particularly

_

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 height and parking space numbers. I worry that we're going to make these proposals

2 unpopular in the community and that there'll be a lot of resistance to them. So I think we have

3 to find a formula that really encourages these developments to become part of the community

they're in and that doesn't, won't so it won't create a sense of opposition and once a building is

in that creates a lot of negative impacts. Are these people going to feel free to be welcoming to

their neighbors? And that's a big thing for me, community is a big thing.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

4

5

6

8 So I have a lot of more specific ideas, but I think that we need to be very sensitive with retail

retention because there are places where it will be retained the most is Downtown and Cal Ave.

and those are the places that need it the least in some sense. It's stretches of El Camino where

there's very long distance for people to pop out and get a quart of milk. So I think we need to

be careful not to exacerbate that. I'm very sensitive the fact that Baron Park and Ventura

residents their downtown is El Camino along their neighborhood zone. So those are some of

my initial concerns and I'll stop there for now.

15

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Riggs.

17

18

19

20

16

Commissioner Riggs: Thanks. It's Graham, right? Yeah, you did a really nice job and so thanks

for your great presentation. I think generally I'll just I want to keep my I mean I... I think this is

generally I think applaud City staff in terms of trying to hit income levels of all across the

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 spectrum. I think that's important. I think it's consistent with the general plan and this is a

2 pretty standard tool and the literature would say this is a pretty standard tool that works. This

is not, this actually can promote affordable housing and has actually been done other places,

but I so just to the two discussion items that I think were on your final your penultimate slide

the one I think that expanding the research park and general manufacturing as a tool, an arrow

in the quiver given that this is an overlay I don't see any issues with that. So I would support

that your recommendation staff.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

3

4

5

6

7

I actually have two questions now. Your second discussion point I didn't actually understand

the point so if there is something that we need to discuss maybe if you can just make that more

clear. You can that's let's deal with that second though. But you're one thing that is not

mentioned there I think that Mr. Levy alluded to was the transit distance flexibility. And one

concern I have about the staff report and one concern I have about this ordinance in particular

is the reliance on the state [H] high capacity transit corridor definition which I believe firmly is

evolving and changing and the state policy is not keeping up with what exactly is a high capacity

16 transit corridor.

17

18

19

20

So I guess the key [unintelligible] question there so this is I guess first question we can maybe

clarify what you need from us on your other bullet there. I think there's sites that may be

eligible based on different types of access and particularly if we talk about bikes and new forms

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

and shared mobility and micro transit particularly going forward so A) has/have you thought

about ways that we might reframe the state definition? And if not, if that's too difficult, is

there a way that we could put it an evaluation trigger like that just says like in five years we will

evaluate the efficacy of these high capacity corridors? Because I candidly given the state of our

transit systems I think in three to five years we're going to see a very different transit pattern

and so I think that we might want to if we're kind of thinking future forward here we might

want to really consider some maybe some a long term way of thinking about transit differently.

So I guess it have you thought about that and if not is there would you suggest something along

those lines?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Mr. Owen: Sure, I'll get to your first point about height first and then I'll go to the second about

transit. Regarding the 50 foot limit so we do have sites throughout the City and across a

number of different zones where we have housing inventory sites. So these are sites that were

identified during the Housing Element update I think back in 2013 or 20014. They're identified

as areas that were primed for redevelopment and would we would want to see housing that

would help us reach our fair share allocation.

17

18

<u>Commissioner Riggs</u>: So this was just a consistency point then is that correct?

19

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 Mr. Owen: So this is a Comprehensive Plan policy that encourages essentially even more
- 2 flexibility than what we're proposing by allowing a limited instance of exceptions for height.
- 3 And right now the policy only indicates I think it says a quarter mile from fixed rail stations. So
- 4 that policy is a little bit more specific than what we're proposing with our ordinance. To your
- 5 second question about transit kind of transit proximity (interrupted)

6

- 7 <u>Commissioner Riggs</u>: Before we go there can I just ask a question back to you though? But the
- 8 they're not incompatible, they're just layered, right?

9

10 Mr. Owen: Not at all, yeah.

11

12 Commissioner Riggs: Yeah. Ok.

- 14 <u>Commissioner Riggs</u>: I think that they would be consistent with one with the other. In terms of
- transit proximity we in kind of constructing the ordinance we referred back to the state code
- because we actually do that already in the residential density bonus section of our code which
- is 1815 and this also gets back to parking where you have the residential density bonus allows
- 18 for exceptions and flexibility or not flexibility, relaxed standards for parking when you're in
- 19 transit oriented projects and it talks in a couple of different ways about being next to fixed rail
- 20 and then in some cases next to fixed bus service. And I think it says eight times a day it needs

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 to have a service interval of eight times a day. So getting to your point which is that the state

2 may change their definition they very well may be and if the If the Planning Commission wanted

3 to suggest a different way of going about defining both the area that we're looking at and then

also how we construct it I think that's certainly within your purview.

Chair Lauing: Are there no... oh, Commissioner Gardias.

Commissioner Gardias: Thank you. So I think that the last thing that I would like to see is an ordinance that would bring no real affordable development. We probably would get lots of applause from the community, but if nothing is developed why really do this, right? So what I'd like to propose and I heard the same concerns from my fellow Commissioners. If we have to do it let's just do something that it's going to really work. And it's a good start. I believe that we need to start looking at some and at the context of other changes that Council is proposing and one of the bullet points on your presentation was this is one this is part of the package that's coming up to us. But I would like to see put this in the broader context because there is a push on the housing and I'd like to pretty much see how this is going to relate to some other changes within different zones that were included in the colleagues, Council colleagues memo because this would allow us to understand what's really if there is going to be a relaxation of the of some limits within the zones which are identical zones that are part of this overlay would affordable housing be still competing with the for profit, right? Because we're going to change

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

both at the same time and then there will be relaxation of other zone limits then pretty much if

2 we're going to relax limits here for affordable housing the result on the affordable on additional

affordable housing would be zero. So that's my point. We, I'd like to understand this within the

context.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

3

4

6 Also there is couple of other items that I would like to make a comment on. So there is a

7 proposal here to have a half a car per unit. I was advocating for allowing and I hope that we

can get this experiment in Palo Alto. I was advocating for allowing developers to build separate

parking structures underneath the housing and if I don't know if this ordinance could be a good

possibility, but I would propose to allow for profit parking being developed underneath of the

nonprofit housing. The reason is like this that I believe that there is still demand for the cars.

There was another article recently in New York Times it was about Southern California. I don't

know how it is in Northern California, but apparently the demand doesn't go down it's still

pretty much at this with the same rate how it was for many years. So if this is truly a trend

there needs to be of course place to store those cars. Would they be riding or not that's a

different story. So if there is an interest in the commercial development to truly build garages

and then either sell those spaces or rent those spaces then I would propose that we would

allow for a combination of for profit garages underneath of nonprofits housing development.

19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Also there is another item that I'd like to see some sensitivity analysis because we are proposing 50 feet height limit for all this for this overlay which I think it coincides with the colleagues memo on the housing side I believe doesn't it? But I... you don't have to give me answer, right? But if it does not I would like to understand I would like to see some sensitivity analysis to see if we can retain the height which could be intrusive in some areas in proximity of other zones that have 35 feet height or lower or different height and then but allow for the denser development. So let's say allow for two and a half FAR within let's say 40 feet height. I'd like to see how this would play. With this we would achieve the same number of units, but then we would make a gesture to the adjacent zoning that has similar height.

Also I'm not feeling comfortable with the retail and Graham you responded within during your presentation that that retail will be preserved in some key areas like California. So that make, that made me feel more comfortable; however, I would like to understand more about this. I don't believe that there should be I would like to understand where would those areas be where this overlay would get the benefit of not building retail because we fought a battle for preserving the retail. So now giving it up I really don't feel well that this would be the right direction. So I would like to understand how many of the sites would be just if we can get some sample and understanding what we would be giving away. Maybe there is not much of it. So that's my question.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Also in terms of the process I think that just in terms of just putting what we would need to do we would need to just put it at par with the commercial development and or for profit development and then pretty much allow for those to compete on as many **[unintelligible]** equal basis as they can compete against each other and then pretty much I think that this ordinance should just say that if there is a nonprofit housing there would be no consideration of zone change, right? Pretty much if they are if they can buy the land for the development and then would buy the land when competing with the commercial development or for profit development then pretty much if it's within the certain zones it would be automatically becoming this overly. I don't really think that there needs to be a process. If we just dictate the criteria if they meet the criteria they should just buy the land just save time to Council, us, and everybody else and then just proceed with the development. Thank you.

Chair Lauing: Ok, I'll jump in next. And I think we concluded I think it was Commissioner Summa commented that when we did a brief review of the Comprehensive Plan process this Commission was unanimously supportive of affordable housing. And as a segment of the most important priority in this town affordable housing is it. So I don't think there's any question about that. The question is how do we get there. So I in general I believe that issues like this should come first to PTC as a study session so we ask questions like this then we can go back and get more information, maybe get some residents and developers needs sorted out and it's just really hard to do that in one night.

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

So here's some gaps that I see that I think that need to be plugged and there's and some of it is data oriented, but three of them what I've just sort of categorized as I thought through this for the last week are sort of big cart before the horse issues. One of those is definitely public input and debate. I'm quite impressed with the articulate letters that came from the Ventura district. Basically they said in one letter what's the hurry? 50 foot buildings are going to be up there for decades so why are we rushing through this in one night? One gentleman said that he'd only heard about this he was chair of the commission the community there and I can't remember his title. But he only heard about it like three days ago so they've had no time to react. Another one said how's the 22 bus defined as a high quality transit corridor? Maybe those are going to improve over time as other things do so... And another one said the North Ventura Area Plan is basically getting under way just now which is the strategic plan for the area, but before that can even start you're going to put this major zoning in here and what it seems like out of phase. And so I'm sympathetic to those and I don't know why we wouldn't want to have more substantive input from that community or any other one, but since this sort of stealth project impacts that community naturally they're more concerned about that.

17

18

19

20

I've been concerned since the get go that the proposed ordinance right now doesn't have developer metrics. We want these developments to go in. We want people to come here and build this affordable housing, but I'd like to hear what's the range from two or three developers

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

that make sense for you, what pencils out and what doesn't so that we can help them do that building. There was another letter that came in that showed a proposal from a very experienced developer of these projects that happened to be in front of the Belmont City Council with a great PowerPoint. Just an example of a developer that we could go talk with, sit down with, and just say what's it take because we want to help there. And some of them are going to be at 50 percent AMI and some are going to be at 120 percent and that's a huge difference in terms of the impact that some of the other colleagues have commented on in terms of the segments.

And the third one that I think is sort of a cart before the horse I think it was Commissioner Gardias just touched on that so I'll be brief, but is parking. So staff proposes in the work plan in 1.11 "completion of data regarding parking demand for different housing types and locations in Palo Alto" which is admirable, absolutely needs to be done, but it's not. So we don't have that data relative to Palo Alto. The in the absence of that data I think it is incumbent upon us to be conservative, to not take massive risks because of the studies that show that people aren't getting out of their cars. At Council Monday night one Council Member quoted a new study in Silicon Valley. I can't remember who did it, but the people are just not getting out of their cars yet and we can't predict when they will. So we just have to do parking that makes sense now. Certainly some of those people are going to be taking transit to work absolutely, but regardless of the number of people that do it if it's 50 percent that doesn't mean that 80 percent of them

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

still want a car when they're not commuting so they can go see mom in a different town or take

a trip somewhere else they particularly three, four people in a family are going to have a car.

So I think that the 0.5 is I think that for where we are right now and what we know that's a

4 nonstarter.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

3

6 A couple more minor questions beyond those three major ones. I think that flexibility in

7 general on all this is very good, we have to be. That includes retail. I think it makes some sense

if retail becomes a go/no go variable in building a project that ought to take a close look

because if we're for example, I'll make an easy case here. If we're shutting down a big project

because we only have room for 5,000, 2,000 square feet of retail instead of 5,000 that should

definitely go to City Council and they should make a choice on that. We don't want to make it

simplistic so that anybody can just change at any time so one tactic could be that we could

require a two-thirds vote of Council to make a shift in that just so that it's not precipitous.

14

15

16

17

18

19

Stanford Research Park (SRP) I think should definitely be included. Stanford I think needs to

step up as they grow their workforce and do some self-service of their housing needs for these

kinds of segments. And I think a lot of the workers that are employed now and increasingly are

pouring in on 280 and Page Mill Road and they should be encouraged to put up these kinds of

things. I have a bit of a different question about the GM district because I'm not sure that

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

there's enough high quality transportation there and I'm not sure that basic resident services

are going to be attracted to build there. It's kind of an out, out of the way area.

3

5

6

7

2

4 So again I think this is a top priority. I think this ordinance it could be substantially good. I just

feel uncomfortable that we don't... we're not making an informed enough decision if we're

going to vote on this tonight and we're not informing the public in the areas that are being

impacted on it. So that's my concern at this point. Vice-Chair Monk.

8

10

11

12

9 <u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: There wasn't a discussion of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA)

requirements in here. Is there a reason for that or is that not applicable? Can you speak to

that? The RHNA requirements? And the reason why I'm asking is because we are fairly behind

on our housing obligation in general and so this would also go to that, wouldn't it?

13

15

16

14 <u>Jonathan Lait, Assistant Director</u>: Well the entitlement of units certainly would go towards

supporting our RHNA obligation. Yeah, I mean I'm not quite sure how to respond to it. I mean I

think what we're trying to accomplish here (interrupted)

17

18

19

<u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: I just want to basically confirm that we're behind on our RHNA obligation if

you can just remind us because it wasn't included in here what that obligation is and how we're

20 behind on it.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Mr. Lait: Yeah, I don't have the I mean I can pull it up, but I don't have the numbers off the top of my head. But in some areas we are... we have a set target. We're moving toward it. For you know... HCD has recently evaluated all cities and counties in the State of California Under SB 35 to find out areas of deficiency and establish streamlining requirements. For Palo Alto we have only one income category where streamlining projects will apply and that's where for or 50 percent of the projects are for affordable housing, but not for the lower income units. So we can pull those numbers, but yeah I mean everything that we're talking about here is trying to advance the interests of providing more affordable housing not just to meet RHNA, but also the understood need in the deficient the deficiencies that we have in the community. I'm there's a lot that's been communicated and we need to probably re-strategize how we approach this, but I'm also wondering if there's not a... we've heard a lot of similar themes and I'm just trying to think here if there is not a more narrow ordinance that we are able to carve out at some point and then have this more extended conversation and I'm not looking for an answer on that now I'm just trying to understand how we move forward on this because some of the requested analysis is going to take funding and getting data from... So.

17

18

19

20

<u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: Yeah so I think that what this is doing is opening up that opportunity to bring this to Council and Council can provide input on what the ordinance should look like based on all the feedback that we've provided here tonight. I think there's enough information that

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

we've given Council to look at what is before them and take what we've said to craft it in a way

2 that's going to be meaningful there will be an opportunity for a public debate, a public hearing.

3 By us not passing it today we'll just delay what is so important to our community and will stunt

our own goals that we've set forth. So I just have a few more things to say on that.

5

7

8

9

10

11

4

6 I'm actually disappointed at the sentiments that I'm hearing on this Commission because this

summer we talked about our Comp Plan and this Commission every single one of us here that

was on the Commission talked about affordable housing and making a priority. And here we

have a chance to do that and it doesn't sound like it's going to happen tonight. Maybe I'm

reading it wrong, but that's how I'm hearing it and I'm extremely disappointed to have that as a

possible reality.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

What I'd like to say is that I am recalling that not only this Council, but our prior Council, our

Comp Plan, this Commission, our community all supports affordable housing. Any housing that

you put in this community is going to have an impact to the neighborhood. It's adding people,

it's adding a structure. That's what it's doing. That's the whole point of it. There are people

that need housing and that's what this ordinance is attempting to address. Is it perfect? No,

but it can get worked out. I'm embarrassed at how far behind we are on our housing

obligation. I'd be embarrassed if this Commission doesn't move forward on this proposal

tonight and our Council does. We would not be consistent with our community; we will not be

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 consistent with prior Council, current Council, and our own Comp Plan discussion if we don't

2 move forward with this ordinance tonight.

3

6

7

8

9

4 Just last week on the news I saw I think it was in Alameda 100 percent affordable project going

5 up. All over the area that we live in affordable housing projects are going up. We heard tonight

and I got confirmation from Danny when I asked about the impact if this doesn't move forward

to our affordable housing stock and he said there will be no development if this doesn't move

forward. So something has to move forward tonight and get advanced to Council in order for

affordable housing to become a reality.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

I think that everything could be studied further, but I don't think that that's something that

should delay this going to Council in any capacity. And with all due respect to the Chair this is

the answer to the question on how to get there. This is a start. I don't think that's an issue.

Staff came to us with this proposal because staff believes this is the best solution to get

affordable housing moving forward and I commend the report and the presentation tonight

and I think that it's something that needs to move forward. Along those lines I would say that I

would support fellow Commissioners in expanding the zoning to the research park and the

general manufacturing. I would also encourage Council to consider increasing FAR in the

Downtown and Cal Ave. areas. We have a Hotel President which is Downtown it's I think a 75

20 feet tall, the FAR is 6.0. When was that built 100 years ago? I don't know. So we have some

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

firm policies that are in place that are inconsistent with what's been done in the past in this

community and we need to look at other existing structures.

3

6

7

8

9

2

4 And I think we should push through the height limit in order to effectuate affordable housing

5 especially if there's a retail requirement. And on that note I would say I don't support the retail

requirement if it will be an impediment to affordable housing being developed. I'm wondering

if Council could consider sites like Anthropologie or North Face to see if housing could be added

above. I don't know how this would relay into that. And I also think Council should look at

reduced parking requirements when they're looking at these projects.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

This proposal that staff is suggesting us to us to advance is advancing City priorities and

Commissioner Waldfogel did put it very succinctly in stating that we do need to create a

framework for delivering low income housing as a priority. And while I agree that there are

many unknowns and that additional study would be wonderful I don't know that it's necessary

for us to move forward tonight on something going forward to Council. The message has been

sent, it's been very clear to us that this is a huge priority for our City and for Council and I would

really encourage this Commission to consider advancing this forward. Thank you.

18

17

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Riggs.

20

19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Commissioner Riggs: Thank you, Chair. I just wanted to respond to a couple things that were said. There the this overlay zone is I it feels like it's being characterized as something that is quite unusual or novel and it's I would characterize it in the words bread and butter. It's pretty simple and I'm... Mr. Chair I really I respect the concerns you listed down, but some of the reasons why you actually have some of the aggressive targets listed in the ordinance are you're setting up performance standards for the type of development you expect. We can't dictate the market. I mean and I firmly believe like yes we can set things up from an overlay standpoint, but we can't actually force our development colleagues to actually to get there. That's actually not the role of market rate housing. Now if we're talking a 100 percent affordable that the City's a sponsor of that's a different scenario.

But one of the things that I think is really important that we understand about a really the simple overlay is that some of the aggressive targets that are in there are to set a standard for what type of development we will accept and what type of behavior will be induced based on those. And I'm not familiar with some of what was what report was cited at the Commission or the Council yesterday, but I don't know that that's the case for these type of projects where there are delineated performance standards. So I it sounds to me like there's some other statements that are floating around that may be being used to cast this really simple additional tool the developers and affordable housing advocates can use to do their projects in a poor light and I think that's why I again I think this is a pretty standard tool in the City planning tool

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 box. It's just that and so I wouldn't and I don't think it's unfortunately use the word stealth and
- 2 I don't think this is sneaky in anyway. It's pretty standard.

4 Chair Lauing: Let's see it was Commissioner Waldfogel.

6 MOTION #1

Commissioner Waldfogel: Ok, a couple comments. I'm actually ready to make a Motion too, but I want to make some comments. I think we heard from Mr. Ross that even with this ordinance that there are not a lot of other affordable projects in the wings, that there are just other impediments. So I think that the comments that this is necessary are possibly premature. So here's what I'd say, I want to get to an ordinance that will work, that will actually produce units as quickly as possible. Former Mayor Scharff said on Monday night and I'm probably misquoting him that we shouldn't zone based on wishful thinking and I have some fears that there's a little bit of that going on tonight with this discussion. So I believe what we need is an ad hoc committee, possibly joint with the ARB to unintelligible to with a couple of goals, a principle one to determine the real obstacles to affordable development. I mean are the impediments around development standards or are the impediments around process? I mean where the real obstacles? Let's figure this out. Let's get public input from affordable housing developers, architects, planners, engineers, builders. I mean this may be known to people who

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	live in the planning community. It's not known to the people to those of us on the dais tonigh		
2	because it's not in the staff report. We don't have any access to those financial models or t		
3	those real impediments.		
4			
5	So what I would recommend doing I would my Motion is to continue this item to the May 30		
6	2018, meeting and establish an ad hoc committee to study what will actually work, what w		
7	actually produce units, and to make a recommendation back to us. And if this happens to be		
8	what will work then fine, but that's that premise has not been established through the sta		
9	report or through anything else that we've heard tonight.		
10			
11	SECOND		
12			
13	Chair Lauing: Ok that's a Motion then. Is there a second for that? Commissioner Summa		
14	seconds. Did you want to speak any further Commissioner Waldfogel first?		
15			
16	Commissioner Waldfogel: Sure.		
17			
18	Chair Lauing: Did you want to keep speaking further?		
19			
20	Commissioner Waldfogel: No, I spoke to it.		
			

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Commissioner Summa: Yes, I seconded that because I mean I haven't even gotten into many of my very specific concerns, but I think the development standards here weren't thought out well enough with regards to the negative impacts for adjacent low residents, low density residential zones. And for me the sort of transitional height limitations that we have baked into the code right now that say when you're near a low residential density zone you have to for a certain distance you have to be down at 35 feet of residential zone. I think that the parking requirements seem 0.5 just I understand that some state density projects can be that low, but in our January 31st packet we had real data on 801 Alma and what their usage rates were and adjacent cities that were much higher than that. And it is my observation that we want to create full communities not islands of people who have fewer amenities and less flexibility in their lives because of the kind of housing they're forced to take. I so I don't understand where that 0.5 number is really relevant based on the information we had in our last packet. I would like I just don't think we can go forward successfully with a overlay zone which of course overlay zones are common or combining districts that's not a problem, but one that puts such a negative impact burden on its neighbors.

¹⁹

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

I also worry about as I've said this many times before; I think we talk about Caltrain like it has endless capacity. It's at capacity now at commute hours and electrification is only projected to increase capacity by one train each direction every hour which is not sufficient for all the growth that we're contemplating especially Stanford growth and is inconsistent with a regional plan for the Caltrain that would forecast the growth the capacity all up and down the corridor. Staff mentioned Marguerite, Marguerite is a wonderful system. Stanford does it right. I wish they would expand it to serve the whole City all the time, but it's very limited. For instance, the one that goes from the Downtown Caltrain station to the research park only goes one direction in the morning and one direction in the afternoon to get commuters to the research park. So that's just an example, it just doesn't serve the whole City. We just lost the possibility of having Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) because that was killed.

So I think if these developments standards were tightened so that this would be well received by communities and it's mostly that height, the parking, and the transitional height that we have in the code now within four... and there are sites that would be that that wouldn't apply to because there are already next door to a Planned Community (PC) or a 50 foot existing building. I would I think it should we should really know who we want to live in here and what they feel about it. So I [know] I don't think it's ready yet either. I think we need more information. I'd like to explore more kinds of housing options, affordable housing options that are being pursued around the region. I just read about two very small housing projects, 100 percent

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

affordable in Belmont, that are being proposed by a Southern California company called Link I

2 think housing. So those are some of my reservations about jumping ahead with this and in

general I always I don't think it's a very good idea to produce a citywide to the extent that this is

citywide which it is for C zones an overlay that we don't expect to produce much in the way of

other housing as the gentleman from the Housing Corporation said that just enables one

project. There should be a better way to enable one project.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

5

6

8 I was hoping the result of a CAC of the new Come plan might be that we would do two things:

consider a very flexible housing affordable housing zone designation where each one was

looked at individually, each zoning sort of like PC, but I don't want to say that because

everybody PC has been unpopular in Palo Alto, but that's what we might need for that kind of

to really fit each proposal into its specific location. And I also think we need a discussion about

expanding our RM-40. I'm not sure if it's RM-60, RM-80, RM-100, but we haven't had that

conversation. Those are the two kinds of zoning changes I was hoping would come out to

encourage affordable housing and also smaller units. So that's why I seconded Commissioner

Waldfogel's Motion because I think gives us time, a little more time to do all of those things.

17

Chair Lauing: Are you completed? Ok, Commissioner Alcheck.

19

20

18

SUBSTITUTE MOTION #1

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

5

6

7

8

9

10

3 Motion after which if I get a second I'd like to speak to that Motion. The Substitute Motion is to

move that we find the proposed draft ordinance, excuse me, that we would recommend that

City Council approve the proposal that's before us with the following changes: that the scope

that they consider, I should say this: that they would Adopt the draft ordinance proposal before

us and consider expanding the scope to include the research park and the GM districts. Include

language that might add some flexibility regarding the proximity to the transit, the high quality

transit corridor. And allow some flexibility for the Planning Director to work with applicants

with respect to some of the restrictions related to retail and possibly height. That's the Motion

and if I get a second I'll speak to it.

12

SECOND

14

15

13

Commissioner Riggs: I'll second.

16

18

19

17 Chair Lauing: Second from Commissioner Riggs. It was a long Motion so let me just try to read

it just for clarity. Substitute Motion is that to move the staff recommendation and to have

staff, I'm sorry, have Council consider extending the scope to RM and GM district. I had to

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 write fast here and allow flexibility by the Planning Director on issues such as retail and height

2 limitations.

3

4 Commissioner Alcheck: And include some language allowing and consider including some

language allowing flexibility regarding the distance from the transit corridors.

6

5

7 Chair Lauing: Ok, so add to that and distance from travel and distance from transit corridors.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Commissioner Alcheck: Ok, I'll speak to this Motion and I'm going to direct my comments really directly to you Chair Lauing because I think you're the fourth vote that we need to move this item forward. I'm going to try to answer some of the questions that I think you raised. I'm going to try to talk about some facts, right? Here some facts. We heard from Palo Alto Housing Corp and I'm sure the answer would be the same from Eden that to do a project 100 percent affordable like this one they'd be targeting 30 to 60 percent AMI otherwise they wouldn't get the tax credits. You asked a little bit about penciling out which is a term people use a lot when they talk about for profit developers and I think in that meaning, under that sort of meaning these projects don't "pencil out." There is no upside to this development. This is the sort of thing that they're lucky if they can put it together and it's a Herculean effort to both qualify for the 30 to 60 AMI credits and come up with the gap of funding required to develop to the

standards that we require in Palo Alto. The facts are that very few corporations have been able

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 to do it. I shouldn't say corporations. I should say non for profit developers. Those are the

2 facts.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Another fact is that a little less than 6,500 residents out of the 60,000 plus rejected the last big effort, Maybell housing, 60 something units in Barron Park. One of the comments was the would be an island away from services. I don't feel like an island where I live for away from any services. I don't think there's a corner, a dot, a square foot in the entire City that could be described as too far away from the kinds of amenities that I live away from. I think what and I don't know I highly doubt it's your intent, but I believe that the discomfort here is a discomfort with something new as opposed to something problematic. And the delay tactic which I think we're seeing in play here is exactly why the State of California is considering taking this sort of control away from us. They don't trust our municipalities to make these decisions anymore and they've tried already to take control way from us and they kind of succeeded and they're going to come back. And they're going to do that because the voice demanding that we make room for affordable housing residents is getting stronger. Not in this room because of the reasons I mentioned to you earlier and the voices against it are quite strong. It only took 67, 6,400 hundred people out of 11,400 maybe that voted in 2013 it was I don't know if that's even 20

19

percent or 25 percent of our total Palo Alto population to tank Maybell.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

I want to say one more thing; the notion that this be expanded to the research park does not necessarily mean that Stanford would be responsible or even involved in any kind of affordable housing development. This idea just suggests that sites in question there could be available to developers like the Palo Alto Housing Corp to propose developments. And then the last thing I want to iterate here is that what we are actually pushing forward here is in is a combining district that would still need to go through the Planning Commission and the City Council processes for review to get a zoning map change before any project could be eligible for those for these exceptions. So in addition so any potential reservations you might have about how this would work I think should be alleviated by the fact that there is a two-step process here. It's like two-step verification. It's probably that frustrating actually, it's like two step Apple verifications. It's the worst thing ever, but it's there for our protection. So it's this is there for your protection.

And lastly I would say that if anything even if this is half-baked which I would argue that that assertion is wrong. I think that the Planning Department did not bring toward to this discussion a half-baked proposal, but even if that was true moving this forward is symbolic and suggests that we are ready to be experimental and flexible in pursuit of 100 percent affordable housing. I don't think anybody would say that about 25 percent affordable housing unfortunately, but it's impossible for me to believe that when this gets to City Council they will somehow reject it. And if this Substitute Motion doesn't pass I will speak to a dissent that suggests that Council

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 reject the Motion that we pass because they this is we need to send this message. So I hope

I've been persuasive. If my seconder wants to speak to the Motion I'd be happy to turn it over

3 to him.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2

5 Commissioner Riggs: I do. I mean I didn't second for the same dramatic reasons because I don't

find this so dramatic. I think this is very pragmatic policy. It may not solve all of the issues in

our community, but it's not just one lever that's going to solve housing in our community it's

multiple levels, levers working in concert. It's actually going to be a number of policies not just

one policy and that's why I think this is a standard practice that's been successful in concert

with many other things and I think it's just another tool that we can give to our development

community. And I'll there is the other thing I want to say is I'm really sensitive to the issues that

were I think that were expressed by a couple people on the dais about adjacent properties and

yet I want to underscore something that Commissioner Alcheck mentioned and that I think is

really important for us to keep in mind is that this these don't somehow become over the

counter projects just because there's an overlay zone. And I think it's important to keep that in

mind that it's somehow we don't lose the police power of what the City does and I think that's

why I think this is just the a standard and pragmatic thing to do. And that's why I seconded this.

18

19

Chair Lauing: I think Commissioner Gardias had his light on. Is that right or no? I might have

20 just not turned it off. I'm sorry.

_

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

4 Chair Lauing: Ok, I'll shut it off then.

5

6 Commissioner Gardias: Thank you.

7

8 <u>Commissioner Riggs</u>: Are we required to vote on this now? On the Substitute Motion and then

9 we'll see if it carries?

10

12

13

14

11 Chair Lauing: I'm just... Yeah, well we're still... Yeah, that's exactly right. So the discussion is

now on the Substitute Motion beyond the maker and the seconder so I'm going to shut off

these lights right down in case anybody wants to speak to the Substitute. Commissioner

Waldfogel.

15

16

17

18

19

20

<u>Commissioner Waldfogel</u>: Ok, the reason I don't [unintelligible] off. The reason I don't support

the Substitute Motion is that we've had a history of trying things that don't work. I think you

can point to our Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) ordinance as an example where it's produced

what six of them over the last year? You know, a couple in the pipeline. I don't want to

continue down the track of things that don't work. I want to get to things that work. If there

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

are multiple levers let's understand that, let's figure that out, but let's not do something that doesn't work. And I think 90 days is a reasonable amount of time to put together a study group to figure out what actually works. I mean we heard when as I said before when working with Commissioner Rosenblum on impact fees we heard over and over from developers that it was process more than standards that were the impediment. I don't know if that's true for affordable housing or if it's other factors, but doing something that may create one project is not that exciting. Spending a couple months to do something that can create multiple projects that's exciting.

<u>Chair Lauing</u>: Commissioner Summa is your light on? Go ahead.

Commissioner Summa: Yeah, I would agree with Commissioner Waldfogel and I just wanted to mention a of couple things. You're asking people who may be people at a very low income level who may have complicated lives with jobs all over the place that they have to drive to to not have a car. And I I'm sure I don't I'm sure there's no one on the dais up here that doesn't have a car. I mean probably most of us are in multiple car households. That doesn't mean we have to use them every day and if you can commune another way it's fantastic and it's great. And this isn't just creating one project it's creating an overlay for a potentially no projects or any or there could be just projects that result at 120 percent of AMI. I don't think we're giving up our police power as a City, but the maximum is too much here for some locations. The maximum

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 height, the maximum reduction of parking places, I don't think that... I think we're asking a lot

of Ventura which hasn't even we haven't even contemplated the area plan.

3

5

6

7

8

9

2

4 I do not think fear is a motivation for most people in not wanting... I don't think people are

afraid of having neighbors in subsidized housing. I think they're simply afraid if it's going to have

a negative impact. And I don't know how it's fair not to contemplate the concerns of many

people who wrote us letters about this. So I could be very happy actually looking at a proposal

if we ever get to for a specific project for the site that the Palo Alto Housing Corporation has

and I would love that it would be 30 to 60 percent of AMI. I think that's the right thing to do,

but that's not what we're looking at tonight so I cannot support the Substitute Motion.

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

Chair Lauing: Ok, I'll comment on it. I appreciate the input from my colleagues on all sides. I

just want to clarify a couple points. My reference to the originally to the stealth project was

not that the ordinance was at all secret or the underlying, I'm just saying that we don't have a

project. But it's being talked about so that raises concerns in that Ventura district that frankly

for them would be easier if there was a project because there be something specific to look at.

17

18

19

20

So and in general I don't have any problem with the overlay or the ordinance in terms of the

structure of it. I really want to emphasize in contrast to, in turning to my Vice-Chair here that

affordable housing as I said at the beginning is the most important thing. And if this is not

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 voted on tonight that doesn't mean that it's not the most important thing. So my concern has

2 just been to... and [unintelligible] and I like everybody else here wants it soon, but if soon Is

3 now or we make a decision in even 60 days instead of 90 days that's not going to change the

world for this ordinance or for what needs to get done and it would be infused by more

information on what kind of business models could work from a variety of nonprofit

developers.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

4

5

6

I could see at the end of that time frame... I'm not set on 90, I didn't make the Motion, but I

could see approving this whole thing as it is in my judgment with the exception of parking

which given our current situation and lack of data is a nonstarter. So I'm not in generally

opposed to any of this and I don't think that no decision tonight means that we're not in favor

of it completely in contrast to that. And this is not some sort of as I think Commissioner

Alcheck said delay tactics. It's not delay tactics for the sake of delay tactics. We're just trying to

get to a better decision that would have a bigger impact on the community and I'm I guess I'm

not convinced that 60-90 days from now that there's damage being done in that amount of

extra time of all of us dealing in and going forward. So that's my view. We're still talking about

the Substitute Motion and Commissioner Riggs.

18

19

20

17

Commissioner Riggs: Well, I just am would there be an option where we recommended to

Council that they consider... if parking is really your big concern would be an option where we

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 recommend that the Council discuss and staff present different options for the parking along

2 for different parking scenarios alongside this ordinance with that particular issue. I mean I

really if that's the linchpin issue I to me it shouldn't override the broader picture of the

4 ordinance.

5

8

9

3

6 Chair Lauing: I think the question I don't want to get into [unintelligible], but the question I'm

7 putting on the table I guess is what's the harm in looking at this for 60 days to get more

information to make better decisions because even the segmentation analysis on cars isn't

there so that staff couldn't come up with that with it anyway. So I think the Commissioner

[Note-Vice-Chair] Monk was next.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

12 Vice-Chair Monk: I actually have an opposing viewpoint as in what is the benefit. So I'd like to

throw this back to staff and ask you what's the likelihood and possible outcomes/alternatives

that we'll see if we do put together a citizen group. Is that even something that you anticipate

would happen? Because when we had our pre-meeting yesterday and I said what happens if

this doesn't go forward, what happens to the Wilton Court project that would house 61 new

neighbors at least because that's the number of units. So if you can address that I think that

would be illuminating for us.

19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Mr. Lait: And your question is specifically how would we about the citizen group that we would 2 form (interrupted) 3 4 Vice-Chair Monk: What's the likelihood of that happening, what likely learnings are we going to 5 take away from it, are we going to come back to where we are tonight anyway, but just with 6 the delayed ordinance? Because in my viewpoint this is just an enabling ordinance to get things 7 moving forward especially with this one project and... 8 9 Mr. Lait: Yeah, I don't (interrupted) 10 11 Chair Lauing: Excuse me, just for clarification what's the citizen group? I didn't hear in the 12 Motion it was a citizen's group. I heard it was (interrupted) 13 <u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: I thought Commissioner Waldfogel proposed an ad hoc. 14 15 Chair Lauing: I thought it was Commissioners talking to developers and staff and things like 16 that. Was that correct? 17 18 19 Vice-Chair Monk: Right and also is there any harm in advancing our Motion tonight and 20 concurrently studying other alternatives and the other levers?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

•	1
	ı
_	L

- 2 Mr. Lait: Well, I mean I think that's I have a perspective on that and after this Substitute Motion
- 3 is made I'd like to have an opportunity to just offer some comments, but I think that's sort of
- 4 the dialogue that the Commission is having relative to the ordinance. I think there's many
- 5 paths forward.

7 Vice-Chair Monk: Like what?

8

9 Mr. Lait: Well.

10

- 11 <u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: I asked Commissioner, I asked Director Gitelman yesterday and she had no
- 12 response. So I don't this is a I don't know where we would go from here to be honest with you
- and no one's advancing any other ideas. It's all theoretical so (interrupted)

14

15 Mr. Lait: So I (interrupted)

16

- 17 <u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: I'm under the impression if it doesn't move forward then we're at a real
- impasse into developing affordable housing. Am I mistaken in that belief?

19

20 Mr. Lait: Well...

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

3 forward and addressing this this issue.

4

5 Mr. Lait: Yeah, you just you're kind of forcing me to make my comments before I wanted to

6 make them is all. But I can launch into them if you (interrupted)

7

8 Chair Lauing: Well, I guess I don't understand that question and why it's being put to staff. I

9 mean there are all kinds of options as he said and we can look at them. I mean I don't know

that the question is if we don't do anything as is tonight on this ordinance that we're not going

to get affordable housing. I guess I just don't is that the intent of the question?

12

10

11

Vice-Chair Monk: That is part of it, yeah.

14

15

16

13

<u>Chair Lauing</u>: I don't see that as the outcome. So let's just [speak] others comments on the

Substitute Motion. Yeah, whoops, sorry. Commissioner Alcheck.

17

18

19

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah, so I have two they're not significant questions, but I have two of

them. I think that because again I think we are essentially vying for your vote here. I think the

20 question is, is and it needs to be answered I think for you how reasonable is it that staff would

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 bring this item back in 90 days after I guess coordinating some sort of a community outreach

2 with Commissioners involvement or are they most likely to take our rejection to City Council

and suggest that please review this ordinance and know that your Commission on a potentially

4-3 vote couldn't support it. So if that is their tactic I think you should know that because it

might influence your vote.

6

9

10

11

12

3

4

5

7 The second thing I'd like to better understand is Commissioner Summa's comment about the

8 negative impacts of low income residents. You said something about how you're all for

community, but you think we need to understand the negative impacts of these sorts of

developments and I'm a little curious to know what that meant. So I'd sort of like to get my

first answer first and then I just want clarity on your comment as well. Jonathan, I mean

Director Lait, Assistant Director Lait would you mind?

13

Mr. Lait: To the first question?

15

14

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah the first guestion.

17

19

20

16

18 Mr. Lait: Yeah, no. The we would look for a... that is would not be our intent to if the

Commission continues this we would not go to Council with that. We would like to get a

recommendation from the Commission.

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

3 Commission's majority isn't satisfied with your analysis which I think it would help to know if 4 you feel like there's a lot more you can do here. Because if you said wow, there's a lot more we 5 can provide you than maybe the Commissioners on this Commission who feel that way are 6 right. But if your response is you know we really worked hard on this and I don't know that we 7 would be able to provide you with a lot more information then I suspect that the 8 recommendation they'll give you 90 days is a rejection of this ordinance which feels like a delay 9 tactic. So again I'm just curious to know from your perspective Assistant Director Lait do you 10 feel like there's a lot more information you can provide here? Do you think the answers he's 11 look, the Commissioners are looking for will be answered in 90 days through this process 12 they're suggesting?

Commissioner Alcheck: So let me ask you this question, if at the conclusion of 90 days this

13

14 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Speculation.

15

16 Mr. Lait: Well.

17

18

<u>Commissioner Alcheck</u>: We're free to speculate. That's entirely what's happening here. There

is speculation happening in here all the time.

20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Mr. Lait: So let me just offer my comments then. I was going to wait until after the Motion, but I'll offer them now in an effort to sort of just help the dialogue along. And I will say this on the Substitute Motion I think there's probably elements on there that are more aggressive than even what staff was looking for on the Substitute Motion. And so I as I'm sort of looking at the dialogue and you've seen how that goes out and I'm... maybe it goes forward maybe it doesn't, but I think there's a couple things here. This is the first time that I'm aware of the City embarking on a housing work program. I mean so we're at this point where the Council has just on Monday endorsed this document with a number of a few edits to embark on this effort. And the Planning Commission has a very big role in this process. And so when I think about this and we have a one year calendar to get a lot of these things done and I think about the debate that we're having today on this ordinance which is in part an enabling ordinance for somebody to ask the question can we please apply this to our property I'm concerned that we're not going to get anywhere near, make anywhere near the progress we need to make on this document. If this is the kind of debate that we're having on an ordinance that doesn't that is still creates a legislative process for somebody to ask the question can we apply this to our property. This is... so I have some concerns about that.

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

And with respect to some of the comments that we heard I there are things that we can tweak about the ordinance. Yes, we did spend a lot of time on this ordinance. We've the reason we can explain why we came up with the different rules that we or the regulations that we came

[.]

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

up with. We're hearing tonight concerns about proximity to single family homes or low density homes. If that is a concern that we don't want to have a 50 foot tall building adjacent to an R-1 zone let's say you can't do this adjacent to an R-1 district in instances where that abuts El Camino. If we're concerned about parking we've talked about we have some examples where parking could be a different threshold, 0.5 per bedroom would is something that is we have information in our code that would allow for that as well. So if we want to talk about adjusting parking we can look at adjusting parking. We heard about moderate housing, the 120 percent AMI is not the target that we're necessarily looking for and if that's the case then maybe on this pass maybe we need to look at a lower threshold that may be not moderate, but let's look at the next tier down and see if we can target that population with this ordinance. The comment about ethnographics or the what we're trying to define I think for this housing group we're looking to across all... we're looking for family housing, we're looking for multiple many bedrooms, studios, one bedrooms, I mean there's such a dearth of it available any of it's going to be helpful and there are a waiting list for five bedroom places. So we can call out we can exclude 120 from our ordinance if we want. Where there is development adjacent to higher density zones like RM-15 we have the daylight plane requirement. And that helps provide a development standard to protect those adjacent uses.

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

We've talked about Wilton Court. I mean I don't think we have to have a shadowy conversation about this. This was a prescreening application that was submitted to the City Council. It was

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

an eight member Council. We presented three options on how to proceed: the PC ordinance, some other ordinance process, and the one that's been presented before you this evening. And there was four members of that Council at the time who supported that, this ordinance, the concept of this ordinance. I won't say this ordinance because they didn't see the exact language of it, but the concept of the ordinance. And so when I think about when I hear the comments about well we do have a project we are aware of a project not filed, but Palo Alto Housing does have ownership of this site at Wilton Court. They've presented concept plans, massing plans to the Council. They are not filing this application because there is too much I think risk for them to go through this process. So we have filed, we've initiated... we've taken this application and we're filing it and processing it in part because of to encourage Palo Alto Housing to come forward with an application to provide housing at this location. It's also consistent with a number of Comp Plan goals and Housing Element goals. So and even if this does go forward and get approved they still have to come and ask, right? I mean you've heard it many times before, they still have to come back and ask that this legislative piece be applied to their property and the public will have a chance to speak to that project and speak to the height and speak to the ARB findings and all the stuff that we need to consider.

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The continuation to May 30th is effectively moves this conversation to August for Council if the Commission's even able to come to a conclusion on May 30th because the Council takes a break and they're gone from half of June to through July and half of August. So the soonest

[.]

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

we'd be able to come back and have a conversation with the Council would be August 20th if we continue this to May 30th. And if you're not convinced to move forward in some capacity this evening with a recommendation to support the ordinance because of the things that have been requested of staff and the timing involved I would hope that at a minimum you would send forward a recommendation to the Council saying hey, we're not ready for this and these are the things that we want to see studied and give them the chance to say this is sufficient for us or Planning Commission's right, we need to study a lot more of this and send it back to the Commission for more review and deliberation. I'm I am concerned that this extends the time line for doing a very simple in concept overlay for affordable housing. So I think (interrupted)

Commissioner Alcheck: I just want to say thank you. I want to say thank you very sincerely. This is easily the most significant contribution you made to this discussion this our discussions here and we don't get enough of this insight from staff. I say that with all due respect, but there is a misconception here. We don't appreciate really all the nuance that your department deals with and the notion that you wouldn't would have waited to share those... I hope you feel free to share comments like that that are incredibly insightful with us any time before and after any moment that you feel that it's relevant. I really, really appreciate it.

<u>Chair Lauing</u>: Ok, just one quick follow up on that. Is the how would you feel just about a shorter time frame? I mean what if we said 45 days to look into some of these variables as

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 opposed to 90 because then it solves the... a bunch of things I think probably. Part of that

question is to make sure we can get something done in 45 days with a active ad hoc.

3

6

7

8

9

2

4 Mr. Lait: I don't know and this speaks to a question that was asked earlier about whether we

5 can do all the things that are being asked for. I'm looking at the list of things that were

identified and it was prefaced by there's more. I don't know that 45 days gets us where we

need to go. I also don't know that it guarantees us a place on the planning on the City Council's

agenda before they take a break in June, June 20th I think is their last day or something like

that, 25. So I don't know that we can wait 45 days and still not have this thing go to Council

until after summer, but I you know...

11

12

13

10

Chair Lauing: Ok. I'm sorry, I've been listening to people and I've lost track of the light. So I

don't know who is up first. Oh, sorry, Commissioner Summa.

14

16

17

18

19

20

15 <u>Commissioner Summa</u>: So thank you. Commissioner Alcheck just told me that he thought I said

something I didn't mean which and was awkward and I want to clarify that. And I want to

clarify something for staff. With a very few tweaks to basically the development standards and

maybe the borders for this I could be happy. I just think I would rather the development

standards were consistent with some of the [18 1818 1816060 and 1818060] which have this

transitional height which doesn't even affect the whole property. We it was imposed at Mike's

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Bikes and I think we could move forward if we took care of that part, the parking part. I had a

question about the open space at 20 percent. I think we could move forward.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

2

4 Do I think this is great legislation? No because I think it's spot zoning hiding in something else

and I'm worried that it's either will that it won't really end up creating much affordable housing.

I never meant to say that people were afraid of or of the impacts of the people living in these

projects. I live across the street from some of the most affordable little apartments in Palo

Alto. I cherish all my neighbors regardless of their income level. It never even occurs to me to

think about it and I would really like to have this go through with tweaks because I mean, but

you... But I worry that it's going to be unsuccessful project to project if you do not retain some

of those basic livability standards for the people living in the projects and for the people living

next to them. That is the whole intention of what I'm trying to say tonight. I hope that helps.

13

14

Mr. Lait: Yeah and Chair if I may just to respond to that?

15

Chair Lauing: Sure.

17

18

19

20

16

Mr. Lait: I mean I think if there are some discrete areas of the ordinance that you as a

Commissioner would recommend be adjusted that that's extraordinarily helpful to moving it

forward and I think that would be encouraged or supported by the staff.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

5

6

4 Mr. Lait: So just procedurally, I'm sorry Chair. Just because I want to make sure we're not

getting mixed up procedurally. So we do have the Substitute Motion on the table so we can't

have another Motion until that one's acted upon.

7

8 Chair Lauing: Right.

9

10 Mr. Lait: Ok.

11

13

12 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: We're still on the discussion of Substitute Motion, but you made some comments

so I think Commissioners might want to comment back and I... do Commissioner Gardias, did

14 you have your light on?

15

16

18

19

20

Commissioner Gardias: Yes, thank you. You know for all these years this was this has been our

17 natural process. We were meeting we were looking into a piece of ordinance which was

providing much more substance than this one with the whole respect. Those are eight or ten

pages. There is not much of the argument for it and that it raises questions from our side. Staff

has staff provided more substance and more research with this we probably could have done it

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 tonight. So I just want to make it clear because from my perspective it just looks like pretty
- 2 much there is an effort to make us approve it without producing convincing argument and I'm
- 3 disappointed with it. Sorry for this.

- 5 So for all these years there has been a process. We were looking at piece of ordinance, we're
- 6 asking questions we were getting a follow up documents, there was nothing wrong with it. So
- 7 one thing that I want to say I really don't want to hear again some insinuations that are coming
- 8 from my colleague that there is some delay tactics or any other tactics. I think this is really
- 9 inappropriate. Thank you.

10

11 Chair Lauing: Commissioner Waldfogel.

12

13 MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTION

14

- 15 <u>Commissioner Waldfogel</u>: Yeah quickly just to respond to what's your title again? Assistant
- 16 Director Lait, thank you. Memory is going badly. I'll good to know.

17

- 18 I think with all due respect the stress that I feel about this is there are bunch of knobs here we
- 19 could turn. I mean we could turn the knob on parking, we could turn the knob on height, we
- 20 could turn the knob on you know, blah, blah, blah. There's a bunch of knobs we could turn and

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 I'm afraid that if we turn the knobs we'll turn if we that there are combinations of the knobs

2 that just don't work. You know that just won't produce the desired result and so I'm not willing

3 to turn the knobs here. What I'm trying to do is to get us to a process where we can turn the

4 knobs in a way that works. And I think it really is within our purview as a Planning Commission

to forward a recommendation to the Council that we believe works. I don't think it's our job to

say well hey we looked at something, we think that we think it might be consistent with

broader policy, but the exact words on the page are not the thing that we believe then.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

5

6

7

And the calendar is the calendar. It takes time to do things. I think it takes 90 days to do a any

kind of reasonable job. I mean I'll ask you how long have you spent on just developing this

ordinance? Is a more than 30 days? Yeah so I mean it takes some time to actually look at these

options and that's just the nature of the beast. And I think what this discussion tonight is

pointing to is that for this housing work that's ahead of us we really need to think about

process. We need to think about what the right working groups are to get through this

expeditiously because I agree with you that what's going on tonight is probably not the right

answer. But to that point I would just I'd like to call the question on this Substitute Motion.

17

18

19

16

Commissioner Riggs: I appreciate that, but I still would like to weigh in a little more if you don't

mind, Chair? I've had my light on for about 15 minutes.

20

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Lait: Right. So just procedurally (interrupted)
2	
3	Chair Lauing: That part's true, but unless he withdraws it (interrupted)
4	
5	Mr. Lait: Well, no. You need a second on the Motion.
6	
7	Chair Lauing: Ok, ok, sorry.
8	
9	Mr. Lait: And then you need majority, super majority.
10	
11	MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTION FAILED
12	
13	Chair Lauing: That's right. That's right. So there's no second. If there's no second then I could
14	take one more speaker. Ok, Commissioner Riggs.
15	
16	Commissioner Riggs: Well first off I thanks for that. Commissioner Waldfogel I just want to I
17	feel like there's I think there's bigger opportunity to compromise here just to move a dialogue
18	forward and so I just I believe that our that some of the opinions that there is a need for more
19	study will be amply reflected in the minutes and Council can make the decision for more study,
20	but I personally think we should make a recommendation and make that recommendation

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

separate from the things that we think still need dialogue. And we should direct sorry, direct is
the wrong word, but staff I'm assuming you will highlight the litany of things that we've listed,
but we should actually just go down the list and list of the things It sounds like there's general
support for the idea of the ordinance, but there we do have there as a Commission there are
some concerns. So I would be open to us tweaking the Motion on the floor to address some of
the things that have been brought up. So that's the transitional height limit, parking ratio, and I
would actually think that language on that should be that we recommend Council you know
staff highlight this for Council and that Council address this in their dialogue. And we list the
things that we are talking about and we make that a part of the recommended Motion.

So what I'm suggesting is just decoupling the idea of the Motion or the idea of the ordinance with the items that we think that would need to be highlighted in Council's dialogue and they can choose to bring it, to kick it back to us to form an ad hoc group maybe. Maybe it needs to be a joint ad hoc group, I don't know and I but I feel like it's the onus is on us at this point to (interrupted)

17 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: So process wise what I think you're suggesting is to amend the Substitute Motion?

Commissioner Riggs: Well I'm suggesting (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: The Substitute Motion which is the point that we're discussing, but what I'm 2 hearing you... hang on, I'm just getting a clarification from this Commissioner. Is what you're 3 suggesting is that we now at nine o'clock take up a number of amendments (interrupted) 4 5 Commissioner Riggs: No. 6 7 Chair Lauing: On the Substitute Motion in areas like parking [da da da da da da] 8 9 Commissioner Riggs: No, I am suggesting a simple language change that actually recommends 10 the Council approve the ordinance, but that a dialogue the four or five I think there are four or 11 five critical things that have been discussed across the dais that need more assessment and 12 dialogue and if they choose to move forward if they can reach consensus on those items then 13 they can make that decision, but or they can choose to form a an ad hoc group and study it 14 more. 15 16 Chair Lauing: So I'm still on structure. So what you're saying is that we would sort of obviate 17 the responsibility for making a recommendation on those items. 18 19 Commissioner Riggs: I don't think we have to make a recommendation on every item here. Our 20 recommendation can simply be that these items, these four-five items need more dialogue.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

That we had ample debate, the debate will be reflected in the minutes, but that we make a point to highlight the items where there is there are pinch points. There are is a lack of resolution. So again, I think there's adjacency issues, there's potential parking issues, I think there's I think the Commissioner Alcheck's statement of whether or not to consider the research park and general manufacturing was already soft so I don't know that that needs to be changed in the original Motion. It was a consider I believe if that was the word you used, but I think that general tone is just being can we add in some of these other things as being questions that need dialogue and get to a Motion that we can move forward and get to (interrupted)

11 <u>Commissioner Alcheck</u>: May I make a suggestion?

13 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: is Commissioner Summa is your light on? I'm sorry. No? Ok, ok great. Yeah14 sorry, Commissioner Alcheck.

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah. Ok, so I just I want to make sure two things. Number one that we're clear on the Motion because I actually attempted to make a very palatable Motion and when you spoke earlier Assistant Director Lait you made it sound like I was I'd done more than staff expected. So I'm going to reiterate, my Motion is to move forward the proposal in the staff report as written and to very softly in an effort to win Chair Lauing's vote here encourage

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 the Council to consider the four bullet points that are in the League of Women Voters' letter.

2 And when I say consider I do not mean that the recommendation requires that it be included, it

is to demonstrate to them that I believe there is some consensus that some of these bullet

points are valuable. I would be happy to include some other items if you'd like to add them

now and before you do I just want to say one more thing.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

3

4

5

7 There is a flaw in the idea that we would agree on these items in three months. We might feel

very differently about parking in three months' time and so I think would it be probably most

appropriate to just encourage Council to review them and on the basis that some of us feel

strongly about them and some of us don't. And I think that's what we should move forward

and I think that's what we would be moving forward in the Motion I've proposed. So I'm going

to say it again, I'm moving the staff report's proposed recommendation and asking the Council

to consider extending the scope to the RP and general manufacturing districts and to consider

language some flexible language regarding the distance from transit corridors and to consider

possibly creating flexibility for the Planning Director with respect to some of the exceptions and

finally some flexibility for the Planning Director with respect to retail and I'm turning it over to

Commissioner Riggs to add any other items that he thinks could well articulate other points.

18

19

17

Commissioner Riggs: So now we get into I'm amending my second.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: You're amending the Motion, the Substitute Motion.

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT #1 TO SUBSTITUTE MOTION #1

Commissioner Riggs: Yeah so there's a Substitute Motion and what I'm suggesting is amendment and I'm going to proceed now with what I perceive as being a collaborative amendment and I'm going to ask my I will ask my colleagues to chime in if there's other things, but I'm just going to list the things that I heard general consensus on as needing additional dialogue and analysis and that we're going to recommend staff recommend that Council dialogue and analyze these critical issues that remain unresolved. And I'm just going to list them down. I'm going to say inclusion of general manufacturing and I think there and I'll just add research park there too because I think that I'm not confident that there was absolute consensus across the board on that. The transitional height, am I getting that Doria? The transitional land use issues? Well, I don't think we have to have the language exactly

Commissioner Summa: I was going to give you the tables, [1816060 Table 4 unintelligible]

- Commissioner Riggs: Table, Table 186.080 and Table 1818.060, but the general principle was is
- 20 dialoguing transitional land use issues.

[unintelligible] so let's just...

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 Commissioner Summa: [unintelligible]

3

- 4 <u>Commissioner Riggs</u>: Well, I I'm trying to... we want them to dialogue the topic so I and that's
- 5 what I'm proposing. Address and dialoguing the parking ratios and did I miss anything that's a
- 6 poison pill because those are the three or four critical things that I...

7

8 <u>Commissioner Summa</u>: Yeah.

9

10 <u>Commissioner Alcheck</u>: Staff do you think you could just give that back to us?

11

12 Mr. Lait: Well, yes. So what I have as the Motion is to move the staff report and to ask the City 13 Council to well, and then to encourage the Council to consider expanding the provisions to the 14 RP and to the GM zone. To add flexible to add language for the flexibility about the location to 15 transit corridors, flexibility for the Planning Director with respect to some of the development standards and retail waivers. Those are again just considerations. And then we also heard that 16 17 there be further dialogue and analysis of the following critical issues: again, the GM and RP that 18 we talked about and consider the consider and analyze the retention of the transitional land 19 use development standards that are set forth in the tables that were noted and the parking

-

20

ratios that would apply to these types of projects.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Chair Lauing: Well, but just going to speak to that. We don't need consensus on the points like
we've done with any other thing that we've had six amendments and it gets voted up or voted
down and then on each of those six things the Council sees what the vote was. So I mean at the
very least if we were going to do something like that I would at least want to continue this to
next week and have that discussion on those points because we don't even have any dialogue
for example on parking why it's 0.5 or 1.0 or whatever. So I'm So I don't see that Substitute
Motion as being I see it frankly as sort of absconding on our duties and putting it back or
Council and procedurally it's supposed to come from us first. And again I want to iterate
somewhat like Commissioner Summa just said, the underlying structure and so on is fine and in
30, 14, or 30 or 45 days we could do most of it. I just don't know why we would just walk away
from it right now and I don't think that 30 or 45 days is anywhere near a delaying tactic, it's
we're trying to get it better and do our job. Staff have a comment?

Mr. Lait: I just think you should vote on the Substitute Motion and then I think Commissioner Summa if that fails, the Substitute Motion may have another Substitute Motion I mean just based on what I've been hearing. So I think we just need to sort of move that along.

18 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Yeah. Back a ways there was a call for the question which was taken off so you're19 right we should get back to that.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Vice-Chair Monk: I just had a clarifying question because Chair you're referring to this 30 or 45

day return, but I thought I heard Assistant Director Lait say that that's not likely to happen or

they wouldn't have much movement so it wouldn't make a difference. So I just want to be

4 clear on.

5

3

6 Chair Lauing: So the original Motion is still back at 90. I'm just saying that based on some of the

7 comments if we could come together more with a shorter timeframe and at the end of the

shorter timeframe make the recommendations as best as we can that's a compromise position.

That's what I'm saying because there is absolutely no intent to not get this done. So I think

we're at the point of the question. Oh, Commissioner Summa.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

8

9

Commissioner Summa: In the interest of being cooperative with my colleagues and helping staff

out I would like to suggest that there are a couple other issues that Commissioner Riggs from

my list that he did not add as amendments, but that's ok because I write really messily. And I'm

not going to be able to accept just moving the recommendation as is without with these just as

these things are very important to me with these and I just as suggestions and I have a couple

more. And I feel like we could have had a easier outcome quicker outcome tonight if we had

had a little study session with staff and then staff came back because you would have known in

advance about some of our particular concerns. Could we just continue this to our next

meeting and staff can take away our concerns and then we can come vote on a sort of a cleaner

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	recommendation? And I appreciate what Commissioner Waldfogel wants to do. I think we
2	should still keep doing what he wants to do, but I think we could come to a resolution as a
3	majority under that kind of structure.
4	
5	Chair Lauing: Ok, that's the last comment on that and calling the question on the Substitute
6	Motion. Does anyone need to hear it again?
7	
8	Commissioner Alcheck: Are you looking for a second on your calling the question?
9	
10	Chair Lauing: Yeah, we do. Sorry. But there's no more I didn't see it lights or any discussion so
11	we're just going to go with the vote on a Substitute Motion.
12	
13	Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah, I know. I'd like to speak.
14	
15	Chair Lauing: On the Substitute Motion?
16	
17	Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah, of course. I got another comment to make. I don't think you've
18	got I don't think we've got the votes to pass it, so I'd like to keep trying.
19	
20	Chair Lauing: Ok.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Commissioner Alcheck: We've been here together now in this current format for four or five meetings. We have demonstrated that there is some sort of significant division here on how we approach the flexibility with which we might encourage housing development with. I don't think that City Council is oblivious to that. I don't think that if you were listening on Monday night to the housing discussion you would have noticed a majority of Commissioners led by, a majority of Council Members, and I think the more most sort of articulate was Council Member Scharff who said we can't keep approving things that aren't parked well. I don't think this is going to go up to their discussion and somehow get through without addressing that concern.

I also really don't think that this Commission of seven is going to somehow come to un... even I think it's going to be split. And so the question is are you, do you think that we haven't done a good job of expressing where we would like flexibility? And I'll tell you this I feel very comfortable that if this Motion passed and I assume Commissioner Summa would probably oppose it that in her, in speaking to her dissent she could articulate a number of reasons why a number of things that she would prefer Council not move forward with, right? And they would have a full picture because I know she's got the notes.

Alternatively if you make a Motion that delays this 20 days, 30 days, 60 days or 90 we will have another discussion and I don't think we'll come to any different conclusion because I've never

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

heard any staff member articulate as well as they have tonight why this these complex issues should be given the daylight. I mean Assistant Director Lait made such a profound point when he said this is just giving people the opportunity to ask if they can do something differently with their property at which point every specific site could be evaluated in a way to deal with the issues. So we get back a site that Commissioner Summa is speculating about and we look at it and we go oh, that daylight plane, the height, that's not going to work for this City. And in that moment we will be able to determine the sites eligibility. And if it's not eligible we'll set conditions because when you make a zoning map amendment am I right, you can put conditions on it? I mean it's not by right. They don't get every single thing on the list.

Mr. Lait: [Unintelligible] that question. So the likely there would be a discretionary entitlement that would be associated with it by which you could put, impose conditions.

Commissioner Alcheck: Right, so I asked for that clarification just to... I'm doing my best here. I came here tonight as I communicated to you in an effort to reboot the sort of professionalism on this Commission. We have not succeeded at operating at a healthy pace so far and this is a chance, at least the best chance I can possibly make to encourage us to move something forward and if your concerns aren't alleviated by all these arguments then I think we're going to be back in 30 days, 60 days or 90 days and I think everyone watching will say I understand your concerns, please bring it to us. And when I say everybody I mean Council Members. I think

⁻

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 they're going to say we got it, please bring it to our desk because we literally can't get these

2 housing issues fast enough. They're hungry for them.

3

6

7

8

4 And I'm sorry Commissioner Gardias that you feel that it's somehow offensive to you that I'm

5 calling it a delay tactic. And I'm not suggesting that you are intending to delay. I'm not, but I

am suggesting that this process is not historically new and if we don't change the way we've

been doing things we won't see results. So that's all I have to say and I do hope we have a vote

soon and...

9

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Gardias.

11

13

14

10

12 <u>Commissioner Gardias</u>: Thank you, since my name was mentioned I want to just address it. So I

never said... No, no, no. I'm just waiting for the conversations to subdue [subside]. Yeah. Ok.

So again, right? So thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

So I never said that I felt offended personally. I never felt that this that your comments were

directed personal to me. I haven't spoken for majority of this discussion because I noticed that

there is a division and I thought that this division was pretty much artificial. So again, I didn't

feel these comments were personal to me. I felt offended because I thought that those

comments were offended to others and I didn't feel that we should just be speaking personally

_

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

and using comments like this, certain words, because they could be offensive and they pretty

2 much are destructive. As opposed to just going through this ordinance from top to bottom just

3 adding couple of the amendments that would make us to succeed with the vote we started the

discussion just pretty much of one side trying just to push it through and the other side

naturally became rigid because probably there was a feeling which I felt that there was no

consideration for any other comments whatsoever. That was that my feeling.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

4

5

6

8 And I think that pretty much this was not the process that we had for the last few years. I was

here since 2014 and then we have always looked at all those items. We look at those one by

one. This was a natural process. If there was some thirst for additional information there was a

follow up and there was nothing wrong with it. So I propose just to let's move on, have a vote,

if there is a need for us to proceed shortly maybe we can just really just come back as

Commissioner Summa suggested at the next meeting we can just go through it. We pretty

much understand what are the proposed changes and we can just have it done at the next

15 meeting. Thank you.

16

Chair Lauing: Commissioner Waldfogel.

18

17

MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTION

20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Commissioner Waldfogel: I'm sorry. I want to say that I'm really saddened to hear 1 2 Commissioner Alcheck say that he's not persuadable by any of the discussions that we have at the dais. I mean I would like to believe that I'm persuadable by reasonable arguments that staff 3 4 and my fellow Commissioners make. And so the idea that we can't get to consensus through 5 looking at common information is actually kind of offensive, but at that point I'd like to call the 6 question. 7 8 Commissioner Alcheck: I'm sorry, am I allowed? I think there's a, I think in our rules I'm allowed 9 to respond to that sort of statement. 10 11 Chair Lauing: I don't think so. I think if the question is called It just needs a second. 12 13 Commissioner Alcheck: Actually I'd like you to ask our attorney. 14 15 Chair Lauing: I am. I'm looking at him right now. 16 17 Albert Yang, Senior Deputy City Attorney: I believe Commissioner Alcheck if he feels he has 18 been if any Commissioner feels that they've been personally attacked they can raise the 19 question of personal privilege. I believe I'll have to confirm, but I think maybe the Chair rules 20 on that.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 Chair Lauing: Ok, is that how you feel? Go ahead.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Commissioner Alcheck: Yeah, I'd like to address the statement. Look, there is a campaign here.
 I feel there's a campaign here, began in our comment, our open our public comments to sort of
 characterize the things that happened up [unintelligible] particularly with me and I don't

necessarily agree with them. I did not suggest that I couldn't be persuaded. And Commissioner

Gardias I read the whole packet and I support like many of our residents I support the

suggestions I made and I agree with you, you have remained largely quiet. And we could have

and I didn't make a Motion I made a Substitute Motion after a Motion was made to put this off

for 90 days. So with all, I really find the characterization that I made, that you're making that

I'm somehow not unable to be persuaded as inaccurate. I think it's a part of a pattern I'm not

happy to see tonight and the notion that I didn't think through these ideas and that I'm

ramming them through is also uncomfortable to me and I am not quite sure what to make of

the idea that you were offended not personally, but for others because I'm bending over

backwards here to be as palatable as possible supporting not just the views that I have on this

item after careful research, but some that are espoused by at least half of the people that

spoke tonight.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	And so again you could have spent any time before the original Motion or the Substitute
2	Motion to talk about what changes you made. And so to suggest that somehow I'm hijacking
3	this conversation and ramming it through is I find problematic. And I hope you can appreciate
4	that because I waited till the very end of the discussion to hear every possible and I would have
5	included any suggested changes in my Substitute [Note-Motion] Amendment and I just want
6	you to know that. I feel very strongly that about it and I hope that you know that because
7	would have easily included some of the suggestions if they had been specific, but that's not
8	where the it's not that's not where the majority was going. So there is a Substitute Motion,
9	call your question.
10	
11	Chair Lauing: Ok, the question was called is there a second for calling the question?
12	
13	SECOND
14	
15	Commissioner Riggs: Second.
16	

17 Chair Lauing: Means it's time to just vote. Ok, so all in favor of the Substitute Motion do we

18 know do we need to have that read anymore?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Lait: Is the debate, if the debate you don't have to do this extra voting if there is if you guys
2	are just done talking about it.
3	
4	Chair Lauing: Yeah we are. So, but the question was voted anyway.
5	
6	Mr. Lait: So just vote on the (interrupted)
7	
8	Chair Lauing: Right, so we're voting on the Substitute Motion.
9	
10	Mr. Lait: Yeah.
11	
12	VOTE
13	
14	Chair Lauing: And all those in favor of the Substitute Motion raise their hand. It is three. And
15	opposed is four. So now we're back to the original Motion which at the time was 90 days and if
16	there are other Substitute Motions or the maker wants to make any adjustments to that that,
17	that can be done.
18	
19	SUBSTITUTE MOTION #1 FAILED (3-4, Commissioners Gardias, Waldfogel, Lauing, Summa
20	opposed)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 Commissioner Waldfogel: [Unintelligible] at least two meetings out.

3

4 Chair Lauing: So you would agree to thirty days in your Motion? I don't recall who seconded

5 that. Was that Commissioner?

6

8

9

10

11

12

7 <u>Commissioner Summa</u>: You know I initially thought that was probably a very reasonable thing

to second, but the more I I've listened to all my colleagues and I think I'm a much better

approach would be to continue studying this and to make adjustments to the ordinance based

on all of our concerns. That the staff if they have time would bring back to us at our next

meeting and I can enumerate those. Commissioner Riggs tried to include some of them for the

Substitute Motion, but I can enumerate more of those if that's a workable thing and if staff

thinks the ideas put forward um...

14

15

16

Chair Lauing: Just for clarity what you're saying two weeks instead of four weeks and what's the

materiality there? I didn't even ask staff yet if they could do anything in that time frame

17 (interrupted)

18

Commissioner Summa: That's what I was (interrupted)

20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: But I was just asking you what your intent was there. What's the difference 2 between two weeks and four weeks from your perspective? That's all. 3 4 Commissioner Alcheck: I'll second that Substitute Motion. 5 6 Commissioner Summa: Wait, it's not a Substitute Motion. I'm asking staff if they are amenable 7 if this is a workable way to go forward for them if you can make changes that would reflect 8 some of the concerns. Because if it doesn't work for you... 9 10 Mr. Lait: Yes, I think we'd like to hear what the list is and then we can give you a response to 11 that. So maybe we can start off by saying initiating a Substitute Motion with the things that you 12 would like to see accomplished. 13 14 Commissioner Summa: Ok. 15 16 Chair Lauing: Well, wait, wait. Just process wise instead of starting a Substitute Motion now 17 can't she just talk about what she thinks we would take up two or four weeks from now? Just 18 to clarify what that is? 19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 1 <u>Commissioner Alcheck</u>: I also think you have to find out if they're still in Motion if she
- 2 withdraws here second.

- 4 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: I know, but that's what we're trying to figure out. If she adds a bunch of stuff to it
- 5 is the maker still going to take (interrupted)

6

7 Mr. Lait: I think we just need to hear her and then I think we can be (interrupted)

8

- 9 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: I'm saying it doesn't have to be a Substitute Motion. She can just speak right now,
- 10 right?

11

12

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT #1 TO MOTION #1

13

- 14 <u>Commissioner Summa</u>: I'd like to try to ask the maker of the Motion if he's, if he would make
- some of these changes to get this done more quickly and that we should still have we have a lot
- of studying to do to get this right obviously. Ok, so I think the boundary is too broad. I don't
- think that Marguerite should be involved as for the reasons I stated already and I just don't
- think the 22 bus constitutes the [Program L2417 4.7] explore mechanisms for increasing multi-
- 19 family housing density near multi-modal transit centers. I don't think the bus pulls that. I think
- we should have a and that's probably the one I think staff will be least interested in adjusting,

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

but I feel rather strongly there should be an understood ratio of how much 30 to 60 of AMI we

2 want to achieve through this ordinance and how much basically above median income which is

we want. So I think there should be a ratio because I would not want if this in, if this ordinance

engenders a lot of affordable housing under this ordinance I think it's more valuable to the

community to have the lower 30 to 60.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

3

4

5

7 Changes to Table 1 I would like to retain the height restrictions in all the C zones in as they

transition to low density residential which is R everything but RM-40 and that is in table in

Tables 4 and 3 respectively and [1816060 and 1818060] Downtown. I would like to explore the

diminishment of the landscape requirements which I thought maybe that was just a typo. I

haven't even had a chance to mention that yet. I would like to retain retail requirements in C

zones unless there is a natural street pattern existing that does not currently contain retail. I

would like to review and come up with a parking ratio that is more realistic and based on

factual analysis. And I think that the information we had that I referenced from our last staff

report has a much higher parking ratio. And I think and one of the reasons I don't I couldn't

support the Substitute Motion was because I don't believe it's appropriate to include the

League of Women Voters bullets.

18

19

Chair Lauing: It's over to Commissioner Waldfogel.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Commissioner Waldfogel: So the question is to if at some point in time (interrupted)
2	
3	Commissioner Summa: Have staff if it's workable for staff add those things to amend there as
4	quickly as possible and then work more in-depth on the issues that you raised.
5	
6	Commissioner Waldfogel: Ok, I would agree continuing it, but to March 14th not to the next
7	meeting, so two meetings out.
8	
9	Commissioner Summa: Ok.
10	
11	Chair Lauing: And there is I think part of your Motion also was to have an ad hoc is what
12	heard?
13	
14	Commissioner Waldfogel: Yes, and I'd like to retain that ad hoc because I think we that ad hoc
15	may need to flow into this whole housing work item that is under discussion.
16	
17	Chair Lauing: Yeah. Could we have attention down here please? So the ad hoc you're saying
18	would stay and that
19	
20	Commissioner Waldfogel: Right, it would (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	Chair Lauing: Go ahead.
3	
4	Commissioner Waldfogel: Right. That the ad hoc would stay and it would flow into this entire
5	housing work item.
6	
7	Chair Lauing: So the ad hoc again I'm just asking clarification. The ad hoc would take up some
8	of these specifics that are being proposed. It would still have to be voted on, not tonight that
9	would be come back as proposed items.
10	
11	<u>Commissioner Waldfogel</u> : Yes.
12	
13	Chair Lauing: And they would work on that ad hoc so they would (interrupted)
14	
15	Commissioner Waldfogel: Yes.
16	
17	Chair Lauing: So some an amount of people up to three would work on this ad hoc for 30 days
18	and come back with (interrupted)
19	
20	Commissioner Waldfogel: Right with (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	Chair Lauing: They're revealing everything they've learned about this.
3	
4	Commissioner Waldfogel: Exactly with the objective of some outreach that I describe, some
5	outreach to affordable housing developers or other (interrupted)
6	
7	Chair Lauing: And the public.
8	
9	Commissioner Waldfogel: And the public.
10	
11	Chair Lauing: And the intent then [because] I understanding what both of you are trying to say
12	is that on that day 30 days from now we would go through this thing and decide with votes on
13	maybe 10 points what goes into the thing for 4, 3, 7, 0 or whatever and that is what we would
14	ultimately send over to Council. Is that the intent of your?
15	
16	Commissioner Waldfogel: Yes it is.
17	
18	FRIENDLY AMENDMENT #1 TO MOTION #1 ACCEPTED
19	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

 $^{{\}bf 2.\ The\ Chair\ may\ limit\ Oral\ Communications\ to\ 30\ minutes\ for\ all\ combined\ speakers.}$

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: And that's your second? Ok. So we've got a revised original Motion now with a 2 seconder and we're going to open debate on that. Yeah, I think Mr. Gardias is up first. 3 4 Commissioner Gardias: Thank you. I have a quick question so we also provided some other 5 comments besides those that Commissioner Summa provided. Will staff be providing at least 6 some reference to those comments? I made some and I know that some others also made 7 some comments. Will we be getting some input from staff about those in 30 days? 8 9 Chair Lauing: You mean the ones that you've already asked? 10 11 <u>Commissioner Gardias</u>: Yes. 12 13 Commissioner Waldfogel: So the unbundled parking? 14 15 Commissioner Gardias: Well, yes. I mean did this was a proposal I understand that unbundled parking may not get acceptance, but I will try. But I was concerned more about retail. I don't 16 17 know if this ordinance is based or the project that Palo Alto Housing has in mind is has 18 specifically some site with retail on it and that triggered this legislation. Is this correct? 19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Mr. Lait: I mean and based on understanding that people who are in the business of providing

affordable housing aren't necessarily in the business of also being retail landlords either. I

mean they're just that's not their business. And so I and again the it's not a by right thing. The

4 Council in the way it's drafted would have to approve it presumably because the overriding

public benefit of having this affordable housing is more important than the retail in this

particular instance whenever it's considered.

7

3

5

6

8 <u>Commissioner Gardias</u>: So yes, but it's very useful. So I'd like to just at least see a link to the

project if we can just get some location of this otherwise because it (interrupted)

10

9

11 Mr. Lait: No, I'm sorry. There is no project on file. I mean all we have is a prescreening that was

submitted and so that's what's available. Whether Palo Alto Housing decides to go forward

with an application or not, we don't know.

14

16

17

12

15 <u>Commissioner Gardias</u>: Ok, so at least I would like to just see some comments or some material

about retail, how this would affect retail because it's a piece of legislation that we are or

ordinance that we would be debating based on a specific project that may never happen again.

18 And that's my that's pretty much why I requested those materials. Thank you.

19

20 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Commissioner [Note Vice-Chair] Monk.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

2 <u>Vice-Chair Monk</u>: So my understanding is that we're trying to continue this discussion for 30

3 days from now and my comment to that is that I came here tonight prepared discuss it tonight.

4 I did my outreach and for me to have to reengage and come back to this in 30 days to me is not

fair on my personal time. Doing it within two weeks is a lot more reasonable and more fresh as

opposed to switching gears so I'd advocate for that.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

5

6

8 If we are going to move forward to the 30 days from now I just want to make a notation that it's

already 9:40. These meetings are supposed to be evaluated at 9:00 pm and commence or finish

at nine o'clock. So on that date, on March 14th I do have a hard stop at this time because I am

going to be taking a red eye that evening. So I would like to request that I be able to vote

telephonically or through a proxy that evening in the event that I have to leave before the

discussion is over otherwise move it to the two weeks out because I'll be precluded from voting

if we go past this hour on this issue. And also is there if they're talking about doing ad hocs

within the next two weeks I will not be able to participate within the next two weeks on any ad

hocs.

17

Chair Lauing: The Motion on the floor is a 30 day.

19

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Lait: But that's not that's 30 days between I mean you're talking one month from now and
2	your packet is delivered a week before and we're writing staff reports before the packet goes
3	out. So I mean we're really talking about two weeks of lead time.
4	
5	Chair Lauing: I'm sorry, what? Lead time?
6	
7	Mr. Lait: Yeah on a to continue for 30 days and to do all the studies that we're being asked to
8	do in the ad hocs and all that stuff two weeks is tight.
9	
10	Chair Lauing: Yeah.
11	
12	Vice-Chair Monk: Are you suggesting that we should push it out?
13	
14	Mr. Lait: No, I'm not suggesting you should push it out. I think a Motion should be made on the
15	ordinance and it should go to Council.
16	
17	Vice-Chair Monk: Tonight?
18	
19	Chair Lauing: Ok other comments on (interrupted)
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Vice-Chair Monk: Alright, well is there any (interrupted)
2	
3	MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTION
4	
5	Commissioner Riggs: I'd like to call the question. I really we got to move on.
6	
7	Chair Lauing: Ok, so (interrupted)
8	
9	Mr. Lait: Well was it accepted?
10	
11	[unintelligible – crosstalk]
12	
13	Chair Lauing: Well, only two (interrupted)
14	
15	SECOND
16	
17	Commissioner Alcheck: I'll second the call the question.
18	
19	VOTE
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1 Chair Lauing: Only two people have spoken, what's wrong with this mike here? Only two

2 people have spoken to Motion, but we have a call to the question. Alright so everybody

3 understands that call the question means that debate will stop since there's been a Motion and

a second if it's voted for debate will stop and we will go to a vote, ok? Alright, all in favor of

calling the question please say aye. One, two, three, four, five. Ok to two. Alright, the

question has been called.

7

4

5

6

8 MOTION TO CALL THE QUESTON PASSED (5-2, Chair Lauing and Commissioner Summa

9 opposed)

10

11

<u>Chair Lauing</u>: Ok, so let's vote on the Motion and let's make sure we understand it because it

has gone through a couple iterations. Would you like to state it staff?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

12

Mr. Lait: Let me just find my notes here. So alright, so as I understand it we're continuing the

item to March 14th and that during that time an ad hoc will be formed of the Commission to

study a variety of issues in the ordinance 1) related to the boundary, 2) related to the transit

corridor definition, understanding the ratio of different income levels, changes to the

development standard as it relates to the height restrictions as they transition to low density

districts, the landscape requirements, reviewing the retail requirements, and the parking ratio

20 based on factual analysis.

-

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	<u>Chair Lauing</u> : I think as well as Asher didn't you have something in there about outreach and?
3	
4	Commissioner Waldfogel: Well that will be what the ad hoc will do.
5	
6	Chair Lauing: Yeah, but it doesn't you're not concerned it's not itemized there.
7	
8	Commissioner Waldfogel: No. I mean that's the ad hoc has some discretion.
9	
10	Chair Lauing: Ok.
11	
12	Mr. Lait: Ok, so that's the Motion and a vote is (interrupted)
13	
14	Chair Lauing: Ok so all in favor (interrupted)
15	
16	Vice-Chair Monk: Before we take a vote I apologize, but I was in error in not making a proposed
17	amendment when I made my (interrupted)
18	
19	Mr. Lait: I think the question's been called so now it's time to vote.
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Chair Lauing: Yeah.
2	
3	Vice-Chair Monk: There I can't even ask to have the meeting held earlier just procedurally? So
4	it's not related to the essence of (interrupted)
5	
6	Mr. Lait: After this vote you can make another Motion, but you need to vote on this Motion.
7	Yeah.
8	
9	VOTE
10	
11	Chair Lauing: Ok, so all in favor of the current Motion please signify by raising your hand. One
12	two, three, Mr. Gardias is in? Ok, four. And opposed? One, two, three. Ok.
13	
14	MOTION PASSED (4-3, Commissioners Monk, Alcheck and Riggs opposed)
15	
16	Mr. Lait: Alright so that passes 4-3.
17	
18	Chair Lauing: So associated with that it doesn't have to be done tonight, but those that might
19	want to work on that ad hoc (interrupted)
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Mr. Lait: No. Let's [unintelligible] let's do that tonight.
2	
3	Chair Lauing: I was going to say the ad hoc I mean if we don't want to do it tonight that's fine,
4	but at least right let me know if you're interested and we can talk tomorrow and make it
5	happen.
6	
7	Vice-Chair Monk: So I'd like to know if that meeting can have a hard stop or not because it will
8	influence whether I'm going to take a flight or change my flight. So can we have that discussion
9	or is there a reason (interrupted)
10	
11	Commissioner Alcheck: Would you mind actually just making a Motion asking (interrupted)
12	
13	MOTION #2
14	
15	Vice-Chair Monk: I'd like to move that we end our meeting at 9:30 and start at 5:30 that
16	evening so we have four hours of discussion which should be plenty and also that is the first
17	item on the agenda. [Unintelligible] have any study sessions afterwards any actionable items
18	first, any study sessions later.
19	
20	SECOND

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	Commissioner Alcheck: I'll second that.
3	
4	Chair Lauing: Any discussion on that Motion?
5	
6	Commissioner Riggs: I will not I definitively cannot attend. I mean six o'clock is early for me so
7	have to come from the City, I can't accomplish that. I'm sorry. So I will not support apologies
8	to you.
9	
10	Commissioner Alcheck: We can (interrupted)
11	
12	Commissioner Riggs: I just can't logistically make it work.
13	
14	Commissioner Alcheck: We can start with (interrupted)
15	
16	[unintelligible – crosstalk]
17	
18	Commissioner Alcheck: We can start with a man down and you'll be there for the bulk of the
19	discussion.
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	Vice-Chair Monk: Is there an opportunity for me to Counselor?
2	
3	Commissioner Alcheck: Commissioner [Note Vice-Chair] Monk we can start a man down. He'll
4	be here a half an hour later. It's not that problematic I think. We still have a quorum.
5	
6	Vice-Chair Monk: I just want to confirm that if I have to leave, but if I'm still in the area I can't
7	call in to listen and vote if there's a vote to be taken?
8	
9	Mr. Lait: No, no.
10	
11	Mr. Yang: You have to be in a fixed place where that's been noticed in advance.
12	
13	<u>Commissioner Alcheck</u> : Commissioner [Note Vice-Chair] Monk the notion that we would start at
14	5:30 and not finish this item before 9:00 is almost unfathomable. I would suggest it's not
15	outside the realm of possibility based on the last several weeks, but it seems unfathomable. I
16	think we can do it. I don't think you should be worried. Let's vote on this Motion, let's try to
17	accommodate you.
18	
19	VOTE
20	

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Chair Lauing: Any other question? I mean sorry, any other discussion? All in favor of that say 1 2 aye. Two, three, four, five. No? Wait, I counted you as a yes. So oh, it's a no? Ok. 3 4 MOTION #2 Motion made by Vice Chair Monk to end the meeting at 9:30 and start the March 14th meet at 5:30pm to allow for four hours of discussion and have any study sessions after any 5 6 actionable items. Seconded by Commissioner Alcheck, 7 Motion Passed: (5-1 Commissioner Gardias opposed, 1 Commissioner Riggs abstained) 8 9 Commissioner Riggs: No, no. I'll abstain. 10 Mr. Lait: [Unintelligible] 11 12 13 Commissioner Riggs: I know what an abstain means. Abstain goes with the majority. 14 Mr. Lait: [Unintelligible] 15 16 17 Commissioner Riggs: Well I can get the option to abstain. I abstain. 18 19 Chair Lauing: Ok, so back to the issue that I raised just a couple of minutes ago in terms of ad hoc and if people would just say that they want to be on it or don't and if there's more than 20

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	three then we'll have to sort it out. So people have hands up now that they want to be
2	involved? No ad hoc.
3	
4	Vice-Chair Monk: I'm available at the beginning of March for the ad hoc.
5	
6	Chair Lauing: Ok.
7	
8	Mr. Lait: So that's we got to work from tomorrow till the beginning of March.
9	
10	Chair Lauing: Right. So I see Gardias and Summa as being interested in moving on this. And if
11	there's any other if there's no objection to that then that can be our ad hoc. Ok, done. Summa
12	and Gardias. Yeah. Alright that's item, that item is concluded and see if we can move quickly
13	through the last items.
14	
15	
16 17	Approval of Minutes Public Comment is Permitted. Five (5) minutes per speaker. 1,3
18 19	5. January 10, 2018 Draft Planning & Transportation Commission Meeting Minutes
20	Chair Lauing: Item 5 is we have the approval of a draft minutes for January 10, 2018. If there's a
21	Motion to approve those?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	MOTION
3	
4	Commissioner Riggs: Motion to approve.
5	
6	SECOND
7	
8	Commissioner Summa: Second.
9	
10	VOTE
11	
12	Chair Lauing: Second? We got a second. And all in favor? Aye. I think we've all, we're all here.
13	
14	MOTION PASSED 7-0
15	
16 17	Commission Action: Commissioner Riggs made a motion to approve the January 10 2018 meeting minutes, seconded by Commissioner Summa. Motion passed 7-0.
18	
19	Committee Items
20 21	Commissioner Questions, Comments, Announcements or Future Agenda Items

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	<u>Chair Lauing</u> : Commissioner [Note-Vice-Chair].
2	
3	Vice-Chair Monk: What's on the agenda for the 28th?
4	
5	Chair Lauing: We're getting there. I wanted to ask first according this is if there's any
6	committee items or if not Commissioners questions, comments or announcements. And if not
7	then we're on to agenda items.
8	
9	Commissioner Alcheck: I did have a quick question. Is anybody going to be coming to the
10	Housing the Bay Summit that have is anybody interested in going?
11	
12	Chair Lauing: I am interested in going and I have not signed up yet, but I'd really like to go.
13	
14	Commissioner Alcheck: Ok, ok just curious.
15	
16	Chair Lauing: So Jonathan you want to address agenda items on Page 6?
17	
18	Jonathan Lait, Assistant Director: Yes. So yeah right now all we have is a is that a? So we
19	have an item that is a report out on the City's compliance with the Housing Element, a report
20	going to [don't know] (HCD). There's a new state law that requires the Planning and

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

 $^{{\}bf 3.}\ The\ Chair\ may\ reduce\ the\ allowed\ time\ to\ speak\ to\ three\ minutes\ to\ accommodate\ a\ larger\ number\ of\ speakers.$

1	Transportation Commission (PTC) review that. Ok. And can it, can be on consent?
2	[Unintelligible] Well, forget it. Anyway so that's the only item that we have right now. The we
3	have one item dealing with the report that we're preparing for to HCD reporting out of our
4	Housing Element on February 28th.
5	
6	Chair Lauing: And we had (interrupted)
7	
8	Commissioner Alcheck: I'm sorry, I'm sorry. Is that a study session or what is that?
9	
10	Mr. Lait: Yes.
11	
12	Commissioner Alcheck: I know it's really hard to do, but if you had to venture a guess to the
13	amount of time you would have recommended for that item what would it have been?
14	
15	Mr. Lait: I think I mean we're just reporting out. I mean this is a we do this every year and this
16	year we have by state law have to present it to the Planning Commission.
17	
18	Commissioner Alcheck: Is there any reason why that (interrupted)
19	
20	Mr. Lait: It goes to the Council on consent I believe.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	
2	Commissioner Alcheck: Is there any reason why that couldn't be pushed to the March date so
3	that we could just?
4	
5	Mr. Lait: [Unintelligible] public hearing? Ok. Ok, the nuance. I'd have to take a look.
6	
7	<u>Chair Lauing</u> : We had also been talking about I've been talking with the Assistant Director about
8	bringing back the Roberts Rules Amended and procedures, but it doesn't have to be on that
9	date.
10	
11	Mr. Lait: Yeah and actually we do need to keep this date because we have a deadline of April
12	1st and we still go to Council so we do need to keep that on the 28th.
13	
14	Vice-Chair Monk: On which item though?
15	
16	Mr. Lait: This is the report that we're submitting to HCD.
17	
18	Vice-Chair Monk: Oh so we need to approve a report?
19	
20	Mr. Lait: You don't (interrupted)

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

- 2 Commissioner Alcheck: Is there any way we could move the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) up?
- 3 Is there any way we can move the ADU up?

4

5 Mr. Lait: So this...

6

7 <u>Commissioner Alcheck</u>: Just seems like two big items: an ad hoc committee, an ADU.

8

9 Vice-Chair Monk: I would if staff wanted to combine (Interrupted)

10

- 11 Mr. Lait: [Unintelligible] can I just run through the calendar because what you have in your
- book isn't what's happening anymore.

13

14 <u>Chair Lauing</u>: Right, exactly.

15

- 16 Mr. Lait: The reason why we didn't have this before is because it always it changes too often.
- 17 So let me just run by what we have right now. So for February 28th we talked about the HCD
- 18 report and so that's going to be presented to the Commission and we'd also talked about
- 19 bringing in the rules of order discussion, the Commissions' bylaws and all that kind of stuff.
- 20 That's the 28th. For the next meeting the 14th it's the item that we just continued and a study

.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1	session discussion is tentatively scheduled for traffic safety and operations annual report. And
2	then the 28th of March there's a number of items a study, there's another study session tha
3	our Transportation Program is bringing forward regarding street light data and trip visualization
4	tools. We have possibly a public hearing on the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for the First
5	Baptist Church and a vesting tentative map for a commercial property. That's on March 28th.
6	
7	Chair Lauing: So ADUs goes to April?
8	
9	Mr. Lait: Oh, ADUs we're still trying to maybe get on March 14th. Actually I should say that
10	we're that's we're still trying to maybe get that on March 14th.
11	
12	Chair Lauing: With this continued item?
13	
14	Mr. Lait: Well, that was yeah before we had the continued item, but we're trying to get that
15	ADU discussion item which would be second on the list.
16	
17	Chair Lauing: Ok, any questions of Assistant Director Lait on upcoming agenda items? Ok.
18	think (interrupted)
19	
20	Commissioner Alcheck: Should we keep our packets or?

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

1

2 Chair Lauing: That concludes our agenda if we have to have a Motion for it, we don't have to,

3 but we have a Motion for adjournment? We just adjourned. Thanks very much for working this

4 hard up to ten o'clock on February 14th.

5

6 Adjournment

1. Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.

Palo Alto Planning & Transportation Commission

Commissioner Biographies, Present and Archived Agendas and Reports are available online: http://www.cityofpaloalto.org/gov/boards/ptc/default.asp. The PTC Commission members are:

Chair Ed Lauing

4 5

3

1 2

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33 34

35

Vice Chair Susan Monk Commissioner Michael Alcheck Commissioner Przemek Gardias Commissioner William Riggs Commissioner Doria Summa

Commissioner Asher Waldfogel

Get Informed and Be Engaged!

View online: http://midpenmedia.org/category/government/city-of-palo-alto/ or on Channel

Show up and speak. Public comment is encouraged. Please complete a speaker request card located on the table at the entrance to the Council Chambers and deliver it to the Commission Secretary prior to discussion of the item.

Write to us. Email the PTC at: Planning.Commission@CityofPaloAlto.org. Letters can be delivered to the Planning & Community Environment Department, 5th floor, City Hall, 250 Hamilton Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94301. Comments received by 2:00 PM two Tuesdays preceding the meeting date will be included in the agenda packet. Comments received afterward through 2:00 PM the day of the meeting will be presented to the Commission at the dais.

Material related to an item on this agenda submitted to the PTC after distribution of the agenda packet is available for public inspection at the address above.

Americans with Disability Act (ADA)

It is the policy of the City of Palo Alto to offer its public programs, services and meetings in a manner that is readily accessible to all. Persons with disabilities who require materials in an appropriate alternative format or who require auxiliary aids to access City meetings, programs, or services may contact the City's ADA Coordinator at (650) 329-2550 (voice) or by emailing ada@cityofpaloalto.org. Requests for assistance or accommodations must be submitted at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting, program, or service.

^{1.} Spokespersons that are representing a group of five or more people who are identified as present at the meeting at the time of the spokesperson's presentation will be allowed up to fifteen (15) minutes at the discretion of the Chair, provided that the non-speaking members agree not to speak individually.

^{2.} The Chair may limit Oral Communications to 30 minutes for all combined speakers.

^{3.} The Chair may reduce the allowed time to speak to three minutes to accommodate a larger number of speakers.