Properties determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places						
Name/ Type	Theme & Period	Location	No. of Properties	Characteristics	Notes	
Various Properties/ Individual Resources	Palo Alto Early History and Architecture, 1890s- 1940s	Concentrated in Downtown/ North Palo Alto	165 (147 existing)	Early residences, commercial buildings, institutions, and other structures Various architectural styles/ types	The individual resource evaluations (Status Codes) were listed in the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) in 2006	
Square Cottages/ Multiple Property Resource	Palo Alto Early Housing, 1890s-1900s	Concentrated in Downtown/ North Palo Alto	25	Historic working/ middle class housing: one-story cottages/ bungalows Queen Anne, Colonial Revival, Bungalow styles	The identified Square Cottages were previously listed in the Historic Inventory in 1979	
Two-Story Square Boxes/ Multiple Property Resource	Palo Alto Early Housing, 1890s-1900s	Concentrated in Downtown/ North Palo Alto	17	Historic working/ middle class housing: two-story houses, flats, apartments Craftsman, Colonial Revival, Renaissance, Prarie styles	The identified Two-Story Square Boxes were previously in the Historic Inventory in 1979	
Professorville /District	Palo Alto Early History and Architecture, 1890s- 1940s	Bounded by Addison, Cowper, Embarcadero, and Emerson streets	187	Early residential neighborhood associated with the first generation of University faculty Victorian, Craftsman, Prairie, Period Revival, Exotic Revival architectural styles	The Professorville district was previously listed in the National Register, 1979/ Historic Inventory, 1979 & 1993 Updates are recommended	
Green Gables /District	Mid-Century Modern Residential Design, 1950	Wildwood Lane, Greer Road, Channing Avenue, Ivy Lane	63	Suburban residential subdivision developed by Eichler Homes Uniform single-story development in Mid-Century Modern style	The Green Gables district was listed in the National Register of Historic Places in 2005	

Properties that may be eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources – Further evaluation may be required to determine historical resource status						
Name/ Type Theme & Period Location No. of Properties Characteristics Notes						
Various Properties/ Individual Resources	Palo Alto Early History and Architecture, 1890s-1940s	Concentrated in Downtown/ North Palo Alto	1,915	Early residences, commercial buildings, institutions, and other structures Various architectural styles/ types	Potential individual resources include properties previously identified as potentially significant but not yet intensively evaluated, as follows: Study Priority Lists 1 & 2 Status Codes of "6"	
Cottage Courts of College Terrace /Multiple Property Resource	Early University Housing, 1927- 1940s	College Terrace	50	Historic student/ working class housing: groupings of vernacular bungalows and cottages Associated with the University's influence on the development of Mayfield/ Palo Alto	The Cottage Courts of College Terrace are considered to be a potential multiple property resource because: Period and thematic area are defined and justified Contextual theme is established/ apparent	
Christmas Tree Lane /District	Palo Alto Residential Architecture, 1930-1940	Fulton Street, 1700-1800 blocks	31	Two-story, stucco-clad single family homes built within a decade Monterey Revival, French Norman Revival, English Tudor Revival, Spanish Colonial Revival, Colonial Revival architectural styles Unusual architectural cohesion for Palo Alto	Christmas Tree Lane is considered to be a potential district because: Boundary is defined and justified Contextual theme is established/ apparent Minimal alterations/ intrusions	

Properties for which insufficient information exists to indicate that a historical resource may be present – Further evaluation is not required unless new information becomes available regarding historical resource status						
Name/ Type	Theme & Period	Location	No. of Properties	Characteristics	Notes	
Coastland Subdivision /District	Early Post-War Residential Development, 1947	Vicinity of Oregon Expressway	Approx. 100	Single developer, mass produced suburban residential tract Minimal Traditional architectural style Insulated, curvilinear street pattern	The Coastland Subdivision is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Significance of architecture/design is not established* Subsequent alterations/intrusions require analysis *Existing 1940s-era street light fixtures have aesthetic value and should be maintained	
Crescent Park /District	Palo Alto Neighborhood Development, 1920s-1930s	Bordered by San Francisquito Creek, Chaucer Street, Hamilton- Center-Dana streets, and Newell Road	Approx. 200-300	Historic upper middle class neighborhood, developed for automobile owners Mixed lot sizes, house types, and architectural styles Several master architects represented by individual developments	Crescent Park is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Cohesive district theme is not established Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/intrusions require analysis	
Emerson- Hamilton Downtown Expansion Area /District	Early Downtown Development, 1922-1927	Hamilton and Emerson Streets (overlaps with the Ramona Street district)	7 (6 existing)	Two-story commercial storefront buildings Palo Alto Improvement Company developments on Hamilton, Ramona	The Emerson-Hamilton Downtown Expansion Area is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: District lacks integrity due to	

Properties for which insufficient information exists to indicate that a historical resource may be present – Further evaluation is not required unless new information becomes available regarding historical resource status						
Name/ Type	Theme & Period	Location	No. of Properties	Characteristics	Notes	
				and Bryant Streets Era of downtown development and expansion	subsequent removal of a key contributor and non-compatible redevelopment Remaining contributors were previously listed in the Historic Inventory, 1979 & 1993 and/or National Register, 1986	
Emery Subdivision /District	Palo Alto Neighborhood Development, 1930s-1940s	Byron, Webster, Santa Rita, and North California streets	Approx. 50-75	Historic middle class neighborhood Mix of period revival and ranch styles Tract developed by individual homeowners	The Emery Subdivision is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Significance of architecture/ design is not established Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/ intrusions require analysis	
Hamilton- University Avenues /District	Palo Alto Early Residential Architecture, 1908-1925	Hamilton and University Avenues between Middlefield Road and Chaucer Street	Approx. 50-100	Historic upscale residential neighborhood Large residences, commonly Tudor Revival and English vernacular sources Post-1906 development boom, including San Francisco exoduses	Hamilton-University Avenues is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/intrusions require analysis	

Properties for which insufficient information exists to indicate that a historical resource may be present – Further evaluation is not required unless new information becomes available regarding historical resource status						
Name/ Type	Theme & Period	Location	No. of Properties	Characteristics	Notes	
Palo Alto Avenue- San Francisquito Creek /District	Palo Alto Neighborhood Development, 1900-1930	Palo Alto Avenue between Emerson and Bryant streets; possibly Webster, Byron, and Fulton streets	Approx. 50-150	Historic middle class neighborhood Wood frame residences in suburban/ parkland setting Craftsman/ bungalow predominant Developed adjacent to City linear parklands	Palo Alto Avenue-San Francisquito Creek is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Cohesive district theme is not established Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/ intrusions require analysis	
Roble Ridge Road- Matadero Avenue /District	Early Rural Residential Development, 1918-?	Roble Ridge Road-Matadero Avenue	25-30	Historic upper middle class residential enclave Eclectic rural properties located in a U-shaped street pattern Developments associated with Dr. William Herbert Carruth et al.	Roble Ridge Road-Matadero Avenue is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary and period are not defined or apparent Significance of architecture/ design is not established Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/ intrusions require analysis	
Seale Addition /District	Palo Alto Neighborhood Development, 1900-1940	Coleridge Avenue, 200-600 blocks, and cross blocks of Bryant, Waverley, Emerson streets;	Approx. 200-400	Historic middle class neighborhood Mix of period revival styles Tract developed by individual homeowners	The Seale Addition is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Boundary is not defined or apparent Cohesive district theme is not	

Properties for which insufficient information exists to indicate that a historical resource may be present – Further evaluation is not required unless new information becomes available regarding historical resource status						
Name/ Type	Theme & Period	Location	No. of Properties	Characteristics	Notes	
		Cowper and Waverley Streets between Seale and California Avenues			established	
Southgate /District	Palo Alto Neighborhood Development, 1923-1950s	Bounded by the Southern Pacific Railroad, Park Boulevard, El Camino Real, and Churchill Avenue	Approx. 200-250	Historic upper middle class neighborhood, developed for automobile owners Stucco-clad houses; mix of period revival, ranch and modern styles Subdivision design by the Palo Alto Development Company	Southgate is not sufficiently documented as a potential district because: Significance of architecture/ design is not established* Criteria/ thresholds for contributors are not defined Subsequent alterations/ intrusions require analysis *Existing 1920s-era street light fixtures have aesthetic value and should be maintained	