

Comparison of Possible Futures for El Camino Real
 El Camino Real Master Schematic Design Plan - Planning and Transportation Commission

November 19, 2002

	Existing Conditions	BASELINE				6-lane/4-Lane Hybrid Option - Configuration A	6-lane/4-Lane Hybrid Option - Configuration B	Your Notes
		Future without any Improvements	Future with Signal Timing Changes Only	6-Lane Throughout Option with Signal Timing Improvements				
Overall and Multi-Modal Corridor Experience for all Users								
Positive and Distinct Identity for El Camino	-	-	-	+	++	++		
Safety for all Travel Modes	-	--	O	+	++	+++		
Opportunity to Plant More Trees	O	O	O	++	+++	+++		
Opportunity to Improve Growing Conditions for Canopy Tre	-	-	-	+	++	++		
Driving Experience								
Length of Travel Time at Peak Hour in 2020 compared to FUTURE WITHOUT ANY IMPROVEMENTS								
North-bound Peak	minus 3 min.	14.5 min.	minus 0.5 min.	minus 0.5 min.	plus 0.5 min.	plus 0.5 min.		
	+++	O	+	+	-	-		
South-bound Peak	minus 1.5 min.	14 min.	minus 2 min.	minus 2 min.	plus 0.5 min.	plus 1.5 min.		
	++	O	++	++	+	-		
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Shade from Canopy Trees	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Pedestrian Experience								
Available Sidewalk Width	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Crossing Distance at Crosswalks	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Added Safety from Refuge at Median	no	no	no	+	+	+		
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Shade from Canopy Trees	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Transit Experience								
Accessibility of Transit on Foot	-	--	-	+	++	++		
Ease of Crossing to Bus Stops	-	-	O	+	++	++		
Quality of Bus Stop Areas	-	-	-	+	++	++		
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Shade from Canopy Trees	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Bicycling Experience								
Bicycle Accommodation	O	-	-	+	++	++		
Crossing Distance at Crosswalks	O	O	O	+	++	+++		
Added Safety from Refuge at Median	no	no	no	+	+	+		
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++		
Shade from Canopy Trees	-	-	-	+	++	+++		

Comparison of Possible Futures for El Camino Real
 El Camino Real Master Schematic Design Plan - Planning and Transportation Commission

November 19, 2002

	Existing Conditions	BASELINE		6-Lane Throughout Option with Signal Timing Improvements	6-lane/4-Lane Hybrid Option - Configuration A	6-lane/4-Lane Hybrid Option - Configuration B	Your Notes
		Future without any Improvements	Future with Signal Timing Changes Only				
Experience of People with Disabilities							
Desired Sidewalk Width (5 ft. clear minimum)	-	-	-	O	+	++	_____
Crossing Distance at Crosswalks	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Added Safety from Refuge at Median	no	no	no	+	+	+	_____
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Shade from Canopy Trees	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Merchants' Experience							
Availability of Parking	+	+	+	O ¹	O ¹	O ¹	_____
Visibility of Signage	+	+	+	+ ²	+ ²	+ ²	_____
Accessibility of Businesses on Foot	O	--	-	+	++	++	_____
Available Sidewalk Width for Cafes etc.	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Neighborhood Experience							
Accessibility of El Camino on Foot	O	--	-	+	++	++	_____
Link between Community Character and El Camino	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____
Cut-through Traffic	O	O	O	O ³	O ³	O ³	_____
Aesthetic Quality of Streetscape	-	-	-	+	++	+++	_____

Column with heavy border indicates Future used as Baseline for Comparison

Scale ranges from -- (unacceptable) to +++ (excellent)

Notes

- ¹ Specific street design conditions may result in loss of some parking spaces.
- ² The City is committed to managing the growth of trees and working with property owners to help meet their needs for visibility of signage.
- ³ More detailed studies are required to determine whether and to what extent the alternative futures may result in the localized occurrence of cut-through traffic on specific neighborhood streets. The City is committed to working with neighborhoods to explore and implement measures that minimize